

**The dynamic linkage between renewable energy, tourism,  
CO2 emissions, economic growth, foreign direct investment,  
and trade**

**Mehdi Ben Jebli**

University of Jendouba, FSJEG de Jendouba, Tunisia

Univ. Manouba, ESCT, QUARG UR17ES26, Campus Universitaire Manouba, 2010,

Tunisia

[benjebli.mehdi@gmail.com](mailto:benjebli.mehdi@gmail.com)

**Slim Ben Youssef\***

Univ. Manouba, ESCT, QUARG UR17ES26, Campus Universitaire Manouba, 2010,

Tunisia

[slim.benyoussef@gnet.tn](mailto:slim.benyoussef@gnet.tn)

**Nicholas Apergis**

University of Piraeus, Piraeus, Greece

[napergis@unipi.gr](mailto:napergis@unipi.gr)

---

\* Corresponding author.

# **The dynamic linkage between renewable energy, tourism, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, economic growth, foreign direct investment, and trade**

**Abstract:** Because of the lack of econometric studies in relevance to the link between tourism and renewable energy, the goal of this study is to remedy this lack and to explore the causal relationship between renewable energy consumption, the number of tourist arrivals, the trade openness ratio, economic growth, and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions for a panel of 22 Central and South American countries, spanning the period 1995-2010. The empirical findings document that the variables under investigation are cointegrated, while short-run Granger causality tests illustrate unidirectional causalities running from: i) renewable energy to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and trade; ii) tourism to trade; and iii) economic growth to trade and tourism. In the long-run, there is evidence of bidirectional causality between renewable energy consumption, tourism, trade openness and emissions. Thus, renewable energy and tourism are in a strong long-run causal relationship. Moreover, long-run fully modified ordinary least square (FMOLS) and dynamic ordinary least square (DOLS) estimates highlight that tourism and renewable energy contribute to the reduction of emissions, while trade and economic growth lead to higher carbon emissions. Therefore, encouraging the use of renewable energy and tourism developments, particularly green tourism, are good policies for this region to combat climate change.

**Keywords:** renewable energy; tourism; trade; CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; panel cointegration.

**Jel Classification:** C33; F18; O54; Q42; Z38.

## **1. Introduction**

Nowadays tourism plays an important role in most countries as the number of international tourists has considerably expanded (United Nations Environment Program, 2011). The tourism sector represents an important part of the world gross domestic product (GDP), employs directly and indirectly an important proportion of the global workforce, and represents an important share in total exports. The expansion of this sector resulted in an increase in fossil energy consumption and in important green house gas (GHG) emissions. However, investments in energy efficiency and renewable energy related to the touristic sector seem generating significant returns within a short payback period. In addition, a great proportion of travelers are found to promote environmentally-friendly tourism and are willing to pay for related experiences (United Nations Environment Program, 2011). All these reasons make studying the interaction of tourism with these economic variables, and particularly with renewable energy, worth considering. To the best of our knowledge, with the exception of the Ben Jebli et al. (2015a) study for Tunisia, no research has been implemented on the dynamic linkages between renewable energy and tourism. This paper attempts to remedy this lack of studies by investigating the interaction of tourism with renewable energy, economic growth, carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) emissions and international trade. To this end, the analysis employs data on a panel basis coming from Central and South American countries, while it focuses on the relationship between tourism and renewable energy.

Central and South America are both considered among the richest regions in biodiversity and renewable energy potential. Central America belongs to the Mesoamerican Biodiversity Hotspot and contains nearly 8% of the earth's biodiversity (Global Energy Network Institute, 2012). In addition, it is a geologically active region with both earthquakes and volcanic eruptions usually happening, and 80% of it is mountainous, while the coastal areas are flat. These features make this region rich in renewable energy resources for electricity generation.

Regional integration can help this region to move to 100% dependency on renewable energy for electricity generation, while increasing economic and energy security, decreasing dependency on foreign oil, developing greenly, and decreasing poverty (Global Energy Network Institute, 2012).

This paper examines the causal link between renewable energy consumption, international tourist arrivals, trade openness ratio, economic growth, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by considering a panel composed of 22 Central and South American countries. We choose this panel of countries because this group of countries has been characterized by a substantial rise in tourist arrivals and earnings from the sector, while the contributions of tourism to the economic growth of this group of countries have been noted in several studies. For instance, using data from 1985 to 1998 for a cross section of Latin American countries, Eugenio Martín et al. (2004) document the presence of a positive effect of tourism receipts on the economic growth of low- and medium-income countries in the region. Furthermore, Clancy (1999) and Brida et al (2008) for Mexico, Vanegas and Croes (2007) for Nicaragua, Divino and McAleer (2008) for Brazil and Divino and McAleer (2009) for Peru indicate that tourism plays a significant role in the economic growth of the respective countries. In addition, Latin America is an interesting case study for exploring problems in relevance to carbon emissions, because their CO<sub>2</sub> emissions have become an important issue in international agreements related to trade and the environment. Certain studies, such as Cole and Ensign (2005), Barbier and Hultberg (2007) and Waldkirch and Gopinath (2008) have documented that multinational corporations locate operations in Latin America as a result of low

environmental standards. Cole and Ensign (2005) do not find any empirical evidence either that Mexico became a pollution haven with NAFTA. By contrast, Birdsall and Wheeler (2001) find support for the “pollution halo” hypothesis in the case of Chile.

We also estimate the long-run impact of renewable energy consumption, international tourist arrivals, trade, and GDP on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The paper is closely related to that by Ben Jebli et al. (2015a), but it differs from it on several aspects. First, in the current paper, we use panel methods, whereas in the previous paper, time series methods are used. For this purpose, the Pesaran (2004) cross-sectional dependence (CD) statistic, and the Pesaran (2007) and Smith et al. (2004) second-generation panel unit root tests are used. Second, in the current paper, the renewable energy consumption comprises all types of renewable resources, whereas in the previous paper, only combustible renewables and waste are considered. Third, in the current paper, we add an international trade variable that is not there before.

This study is organized as follows: Section 2 gives an idea about tourism and renewable energy in the world. Section 3 is a literature review, while Section 4 is concerned with data presentation and empirical methodology description. Section 5 is designated for long-run parameters estimates, while Section 6 reports Granger causality. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper with policy recommendations.

## **2. Tourism and renewable energy**

Tourism plays an important role nowadays in most countries, where 935 million international tourists were recorded in 2010 (United Nations Environment Program, 2011).

The tourism economy represents 5% of the world GDP and accounts for 6-7% of total employment. With a 6% share of total exports, international tourism is ranked fourth in world exports after fuels, chemicals and automotive products. For 60 countries, tourism is the first export sector, while for over than 150 countries it is among the five top export sectors. Tourism is the principal source of foreign exchange for 33% of developing countries and for 50% of least developed countries. It employs directly and indirectly 8% of the global workforce, while one job in the core tourism industry generates nearly one and a half additional indirect jobs (United Nations Environment Program, 2011).

The rapid growth in both international and domestic travel, the trends to travel over shorter periods of time and further, and the focus on energy-intensive transportation all increase the non-renewable energy dependence on tourism, resulting in a 5% contribution of the sector to global GHG emissions. Other challenges include excessive water consumption in relation to residential water use, untreated water discharge, waste generation and damage to local terrestrial and marine biodiversity. Investments in energy efficiency and renewable energy have been found to generate significant returns in a short payback period. Improved waste management should help tourism businesses save money, create more jobs and improve destination attractiveness (United Nations Environment Program, 2011). Traditional mass tourism has reached a steady growth stage. On the other hand, ecotourism, nature, heritage, culture and ‘soft adventure’ tourism take the lead and are expected to grow rapidly over the next two decades. Global spending on ecotourism is estimated to increase about six times the growth rate of the tourism industry.

Public spending on public goods, such as waste management and renewable energy infrastructure can reduce the cost of green private sector investment in green tourism. Governments can also use tax breaks and subsidies to encourage private investments in green tourism. Time-limited subsidies may be granted, for example, to the purchase of equipment or

technologies that reduce waste, promote energy efficiency or the use of renewable energy. At the same time, the use of resources and energy, as well as the production of waste must be properly evaluated to reflect their real cost to society. The United Nations Environment Program (2011) recommends tourism promotion and marketing initiatives that emphasize sustainability as a core option. As the tourism industry is dominated by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), it is also essential to facilitate their access to decision support tools, information and knowledge, as well as capital. Partnership approaches aimed at reducing the costs and risks of financing sustainable tourism investments and supporting SMEs should be considered in order to facilitate the transition to green tourism activities.

Prinsloo (2015) explores whether renewable energy is beneficial for tourism and focuses particularly on the environmental impact, consisting of the noise and/or sight or visual pollution, that might have renewable energy structures, i.e. buildings, civil or mechanical structures. He recommends that renewable energy structures must be erected and managed carefully not of being detrimental to the environment. There are bad feelings from residents in and around areas where renewable energy structures, such as wind turbines or solar panels, are erected. Nonetheless, eco-tourists see renewable energy structures as ethically and morally the correct thing to do. These tourists engage in improving the quality of the environment through their participation in tourism. Thus, renewable energy may have a positive impact on tourism.

### **3. Literature review**

Our study is linked to the strand of the literature dealing with renewable energy consumption and tourism. There is a rich and interesting literature concerned by studying renewable energy consumption and its interactions with other interesting variables like

pollution emissions, non-renewable energy consumption, international trade and gross domestic product (Al-mulali, et al., 2014; Apergis and Payne, 2010a, 2010b, 2011; 2012, 2014; Ben Jebli and Ben Youssef, 2015a, 2015b; Ben Jebli et al., 2015b; Dogan, 2016; Menegaki, 2011; Menyah and Wolde-Rufael, 2010; Ocal and Aslan, 2013; Sadorsky, 2009a, 2009b; Shafiei and Salim, 2014; Tugcu et al., 2012).

Sadorsky (2009b) considers a panel of 18 emerging countries and shows the absence of short- and long-run causal relationships between output and renewable energy consumption. However, in the long-run, increasing output increases renewable energy consumption. Tugcu et al. (2012) compare renewable and non-renewable energy sources in the G7 countries (i.e., Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, UK and US) in order to decide which type of energy is more important for economic growth. In the case of a classical production function, they find bidirectional causality between economic growth, renewable and non-renewable energy consumption for all considered countries. Ocal and Aslan (2013) study the causal relationship between renewable energy consumption and output in Turkey. They document that renewable energy consumption has a negative impact on output, while they show the presence of unidirectional causality running from output to renewable energy consumption.

Al-Mulali et al. (2014) study the impact of renewable and non-renewable electricity consumption on economic growth by considering 18 Latin American countries. Their results highlight the presence of long-run bidirectional causality between economic growth, renewable and non-renewable electricity consumption, capital, labor, and trade. In addition, they show that renewable electricity consumption is more significant than non-renewable electricity consumption in promoting economic growth both in the short- and in the long-run. Apergis and Payne (2014) explore

the determinants of per capita renewable energy consumption for a panel of seven Central American countries. They show the presence of long-run cointegration between per capita renewable energy consumption, economic growth, carbon emissions, real coal prices and real oil prices. Ben Jebli and Ben Youssef (2015b) consider a panel of 69 countries and show the presence of short-run unidirectional causality running from renewable energy consumption to trade (exports or imports). There is also long-run bidirectional causality between trade and renewable energy consumption. Long-run parameter estimates suggest that renewable energy consumption, non-renewable energy consumption, and trade have a beneficial impact on economic growth.

Our study is also related to the strand of the literature dealing with tourism. There is a growing literature concerned for tourism and its causal relationships with other economic variables, such as economic growth, energy consumption, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, urbanization and foreign direct investment (Balaguer and Cantavella-Jorda', 2002; Belloumi, 2010; Dogan et al., 2017; de Vita et al., 2015; Dristakis, 2004; Gunduz and Hatemi-J, 2005; Katircioglu, 2009a, 2009b, 2014a, 2014b; Katircioglu et al., 2014; Lee and Brahma-Srene, 2013; Ozturk et al., 2016).

Katircioglu (2009a) investigates the tourism-led-growth (TLG) hypothesis for Turkey by employing the bounds test and Johansen approach for cointegration. He does not find any cointegration between tourism and economic growth, while he concludes that the TLG issue still deserves further attention from researchers for comparison purposes. Belloumi (2010) studies the relationship between tourism receipts, the real effective exchange rate and GDP growth in Tunisia, spanning the period 1970-2007. He shows the presence of long-run unidirectional causality running from tourism receipts to economic growth. In addition, increasing tourism

receipts increase GDP in the long-run. Finally, he suggests that a policy relying on tourism to generate economic growth seems to be convenient for Tunisia.

Lee and Brahmstrene (2013) consider a panel of 27 nations of the European Union (EU) and use panel cointegration method and fixed-effects models to investigate the presence of long-run equilibrium among tourism, carbon dioxide emissions, GDP and foreign direct investment (FDI). Increasing tourism, emissions, and FDI increase output. In addition, increasing GDP leads to higher CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while increasing tourism and FDI reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. They conclude that when policymakers make important efforts to attract international tourists, for instance through marketing campaigns, both the economy and the environment benefit. De Vita et al. (2015) find that international tourist arrivals, GDP, squared GDP, energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions cointegrate for the case of Turkey. In the long-run, tourist arrivals, GDP and energy consumption have a positive impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while the inverted U-shaped environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis is supported. They suggest that despite the environmental deterioration coming from more tourism, policies aimed at environmental protection should not be conducted at the expense of TLG.

Dogan et al. (2017) explore the long-run dynamic relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, GDP, the square of GDP, energy consumption, trade openness and tourism for the case of Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries. In the long-run, increasing energy consumption or tourism increases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while increases in trade openness lead to environmental improvements. They show the presence of unidirectional causality running from tourism to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption and trade, and from GDP to tourism. They recommend to OECD policy-makers to impose policies in favor of environmental protection and to encourage the use of cleaner technologies in the tourism sector. Ozturk et al. (2016) examine the EKC hypothesis by using the ecological

footprint as an ecological indicator and GDP from tourism as an economic indicator. They establish an environmental degradation model for 144 countries. They show that the number of countries having a negative relationship between the ecological footprint and its determinants (i.e., tourism, energy, trade and urbanization) is relatively more important in the upper-middle and high-income countries. In addition, the inverted U-shaped EKC hypothesis is more present in the upper-middle and high-income countries. They recommend implementing ecological footprint and taxes for energy conservation in the tourism sector to reduce the tourism environmental pressure.

To the best of our knowledge, Ben Jebli et al. (2015a) was the first paper studying the dynamic causal relationships between tourism and renewable energy consumption, more precisely combustible renewables and waste consumption. They employ the autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) approach to investigate the causal relationship between GDP, combustible renewables and waste (CRW) consumption, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and international tourism for the case of Tunisia. They show the presence of short-run unidirectional causality running from GDP and CRW to international tourism, while there is long-run bidirectional causality between all considered variables. Long-run parameter estimates indicate that CRW consumption increases international tourism, while both CRW consumption and international tourism increase CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and GDP. These authors recommend that Tunisia should use more CRW energy, because this contributes to eliminate wastes from touristic zones, while it increases both the number of tourist arrivals and GDP. Our contribution in the present paper comes from studying the dynamic interaction between renewable energy and tourism and their long-run impact on

$CO_2$  emissions by using dynamic panel cointegration methods and a panel of Central and South American countries.

#### **4. Data, empirical methodology, stationary, and cointegration**

We obtain annual data, spanning the period 1995-2010 for a panel of 22 Central and South American countries<sup>1</sup>. The variables included in the empirical analysis are  $CO_2$  emissions measured in kilo tons (kt), real gross domestic product (GDP,  $Y$ ) measured in billion US \$ constant 2005 prices, renewable energy consumption ( $RE$ ) that involves all the available spectrum of renewable sources measured in billions of kilowatt hours (kwh), international tourism ( $TRS$ ) defined as the total number of arrivals, and the trade openness ratio ( $TR$ ) measured as a share of GDP<sup>2</sup>. Data on  $CO_2$  emissions,  $Y$ ,  $TRS$ , and  $TR$  are obtained from the World Bank (2014), while data on  $RE$  are obtained from the U.S. Energy Information Administration (2014). The Central and South American countries are selected to include the maximum number of observations depending on data availability.

#### **Insert Table 1 about here**

Table 1 provides certain descriptive statistics of the selected country sample. These statistics are based on the tendency of the analysis variables across the selected time period. We deduce that Brazil has the highest level of real GDP during the selected period and reaches its

---

<sup>1</sup> Selected countries: Argentina – Belize – Bolivia – Brazil – Chile – Costa Rica – Cuba – Dominica – Dominican Republic – Ecuador – El Salvador – Guatemala – Guyana – Honduras – Nicaragua – Panama – Paraguay – Peru – St Vincent- Suriname – Uruguay – Venezuela.

<sup>2</sup> The trade openness ratio is defined as the sum of exports and imports divided by the value of GDP.

maximum level (1100 billion US dollars) in 2010, while Dominica has reached the smallest level of real GDP (0.32 billion US dollars) in 1995. Brazil has also the highest levels of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reaching its maximum level of 419754.2 kt in 2010, whereas 73.34 kt is the lowest level of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions recorded in Dominica in 1996. The biggest renewable energy consumer is Brazil, reaching the highest level of 432.93 billion kwh in 2010, whereas Guyana is the smallest consumer of renewable energy having an approximately nil consumption during the period 2000-2010. The biggest total number of tourist arrivals is recorded in Brazil (5358000 in 2005), while the smallest number of total tourist arrivals is recorded in Suriname (43000 in 1995). Guyana has realized the biggest trade openness ratios (163.65 % in 1997) and Brazil has realized the smallest one (12.45% in 1996).

Theoretically, we follow the same specification time series model developed by Katircioglu et al. (2014b) in which CO<sub>2</sub> emissions are affected by the tourism volume, economic growth, and energy consumption. In our paper, we have panel data, while we consider that international trade is also a driver for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and use renewable energy consumption in place of energy consumption. Thus, the panel empirical model investigates the impact of economic growth, renewable energy consumption, international tourism and international trade on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions:

$$CO_{2it} = f(Y_{it}, RE_{it}, TRS_{it}, TR_{it})$$

(1)

The natural logarithmic transformation of Eq. (1) yields the following equation:

$$co_{2it} = \alpha_i + \delta_i t + \beta_{1i} y_{it} + \beta_{2i} re_{it} + \beta_{3i} trs_{it} + \beta_{4i} tr_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

(2)

where  $i = 1, \dots, N$  for each country in the panel,  $t = 1, \dots, T$  denotes the time period, and  $\varepsilon_{it}$  denotes the stochastic error term. The parameter  $\alpha_i$  allows for country-specific fixed effects.

To examine the dynamic causal relationship between variables, the empirical analysis will first test the integration order of each variable. Panel unit root tests of the first-generation may lead to spurious results (due to size distortions) if significant degrees of positive residual cross-section dependence exist and are disregarded. Therefore, the implementation of second-generation panel unit root tests is only desirable when it has been determined that the panel is subjected to a significant degree of residual cross-sectional dependence. In cases where cross-section dependence is not high enough, power loss may occur if second-generation panel unit root tests that allow for cross-section dependence are used. Consequently, before selecting the appropriate panel unit root test, it is important to provide some evidence of the degree of residual cross-section dependence.

The cross-sectional dependence statistic developed by Pesaran (2004) is based on a simple average of all pair-wise correlation coefficients of the ordinary least squares (OLS) residuals obtained from standard augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF, 1979) regressions for each variable in the panel. Under the null hypothesis of cross-sectional independence, a two-tailed standard normal distribution is asymptotically followed by the CD test statistic. The

results presented in Table 2 reject the null hypothesis of cross-section independence. Thus giving evidence of cross-sectional dependence in the data due to the statistical significance of the CD statistics in any cases of the number of lags (from 1 to 4) comprised in the ADF regressions.

**Insert Table 2 about here**

Two second-generation panel unit root tests are employed to determine the degree of integration in the respective variables. The Pesaran (2007) panel unit root test does not require the estimate of factor loading to eliminate cross-sectional dependence. Specifically, the usual ADF regression is augmented to comprise the lagged cross-sectional mean and its first difference to capture the CD that arises with a single-factor model. The null hypothesis is a unit root with the Pesaran (2007) test. The bootstrap panel unit root tests by Smith et al. (2004) use a sieve sampling scheme to take into account both the time series and CD in the data via bootstrap blocks. All four tests of Smith et al. (2004) are constructed with a unit root under the null hypothesis. Under the alternative hypothesis, they are constructed with heterogeneous autoregressive roots. The results of these panel unit root tests are given in Table 3 and support the existence of a unit root in all considered variables.

**Insert Table 3 about here**

Given the unit root test results, we investigate the presence of cointegration within a heterogeneous panel context by using the Pedroni (2004) methodological approach. Pedroni (2004) proposes seven tests of cointegration which can be divided in two sets. The first set contains four panel statistics (v-statistic, rho-statistic, PP-statistic, and ADF-statistic) and

assumes common autoregressive coefficients (within-dimension). The second set contains three group statistics (rho-statistic, PP-statistic, and ADF-statistic) and assumes individual autoregressive coefficients (between-dimension). All these tests are examined with intercept and deterministic trend. The null hypothesis is that there is no cointegration, while the alternative hypothesis is that there is cointegration between variables. Deviations from the long-run equilibrium relationship are represented by the estimated residuals  $\varepsilon_{it}$ . The null hypothesis of no cointegration ( $\rho_i = 1$ ) is tested via the following unit root test on the residuals:

$$\varepsilon_{it} = \rho_i \varepsilon_{it-1} + \omega_{it}$$

(3)

The results from panel cointegration statistics are reported in Table 4. The number of lags is selected through the Schwarz information criterium (SIC), which sets it equal to 2. The panel cointegration results document that both panel statistics reject the null of no cointegration at the 1% significance level and confirm that there is a long-run relationship across the variables under study.

**Insert Table 4 about here**

## 5. Long-run estimates

Once the long-run association between the variables under investigation is identified, we proceed to estimate the long-term structural coefficients of Equation (2) by using the fully modified OLS (FMOLS) and

the dynamic OLS (DOLS) panel estimate methods, which are more efficient than the OLS method. In fact, the estimator computed through the OLS method is asymptotically biased and its distribution depends on nuisance parameters in the context of a panel estimate. Thus, to correct the estimator bias, Pedroni (2001, 2004) propose to estimate systems of cointegrated variables by using the FMOLS technique. To correct the problems of endogeneity and serial correlation, the FMOLS method uses a non-parametric approach. The DOLS method is a parametric approach of panel estimate recommended by Kao and Chiang (2001) and by Mark and Sul (2003). The results are reported in Table 5 where the estimates include both an intercept and a trend factors.

**Insert Table 5 about here**

Table 5 indicates that all estimated coefficients are statistically significant. The FMOLS and DOLS estimates are very similar in terms of value, sign, and statistical significance. Overall, for the selected panel of countries, long-run results highlight that economic growth and trade are the major drivers for increasing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, renewable energy consumption and the number of tourist arrivals are major drivers for a significant decline in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Indeed, for the FMOLS estimates, increasing GDP by 1% increases emissions by 1.33%. This is an expected result that can be explained by the fact that more economic growth necessitates more fossil energy for goods production leading to more CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This result is similar to the majority of studies, such as in Lee and Brahmashrene (2013), Katircioglu (2014b), and de Vita et al. (2015). However, this finding is contrary to that of Ben Jebli et al.

(2015a) who show that increasing GDP reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Tunisia. This last result can be attributed to the efforts made by Tunisia in abatement technologies, energy efficiency and renewable energy use during the last three decades. The FMOLS estimates indicate that an increase of 1% in the trade openness ratio increases emissions of 0.34%. Such expected results can be explained by the fact that more trade openness may imply more imported and/or exported merchandises requiring more fossil energy to transport, to consume, or to produce them, implying increases in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This result is contrary to those found by Jayanthakumaran et al. (2012) on China and Dogan et al. (2017) on OECD countries.

Moreover, a 1% increase in renewable energy consumption reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of 0.12% with the FMOLS method. This is an expected result that can be explained by the substitutability between fossil and renewable energy and by the reduction in the use of the former energy when renewable energy consumption is increased. This result is in accordance with those reached by Ben Jebli and Ben Youssef (2015a, exports model) and Ben Jebli et al. (2016). However, it is contrary to the findings by Apergis et al. (2010) on 19 developed and developing countries and by Ben Jebli et al. (2015a) study on Tunisia.

Interestingly, increasing the number of tourist arrivals by 1%, decreases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by 0.38% with the FMOLS method. This result may be explained by the green tourism hypothesis, because an important proportion of tourists visiting Central and South America come for the rich biodiversity of this region and for its cleanliness and wild beauty. Supported by the important revenues obtained from tourism, this pushed

these countries to reduce their emission of pollution. This result is in accordance with those by Katircioglu (2014a) and Lee and Brahmashrene (2013). However, it is opposite to the findings by de Vita et al. (2015) and Katircioglu (2014b) on Turkey, Dogan et al. (2017) on OECD countries, and Ben Jebli et al. (2015a) on Tunisia.

## 6. Granger causality

We use Granger causality testing to examine the presence of any causal links across the variables under study. To achieve this, we run the pairwise Granger causality tests and the vector error correction model (VECM) for the short- and long-run relationships, respectively. Engle and Granger (1987) suggest two stages: the first stage recovers the estimated residuals from Equation (2), while the second stage estimates the parameters related to the short-run adjustment. The estimate of the dynamic VECM is given as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta co_{2it} \\ \Delta y_{it} \\ \Delta re_{it} \\ \Delta trs_{it} \\ \Delta tr_{it} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_5 \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{p=1}^q \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{11p} & \varphi_{12p} & \varphi_{13p} & \varphi_{14p} & \varphi_{15p} \\ \varphi_{21p} & \varphi_{22p} & \varphi_{23p} & \varphi_{24p} & \varphi_{25p} \\ \varphi_{31p} & \varphi_{32p} & \varphi_{33p} & \varphi_{34p} & \varphi_{35p} \\ \varphi_{41p} & \varphi_{42p} & \varphi_{43p} & \varphi_{44p} & \varphi_{45p} \\ \varphi_{51p} & \varphi_{52p} & \varphi_{53p} & \varphi_{54p} & \varphi_{55p} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \Delta co_{2it-1} \\ \Delta y_{it-1} \\ \Delta re_{it-1} \\ \Delta trs_{it-1} \\ \Delta tr_{it-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \\ \theta_4 \\ \theta_5 \end{bmatrix} ect_{it-1} + \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1it} \\ \mu_{2it} \\ \mu_{3it} \\ \mu_{4it} \\ \mu_{5it} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where  $\Delta$  is the first difference operator; the autoregression lag length,  $q$ , is determined by the Schwarz information criterion;  $ect$  is the error correction term derived from the long-run relationship of Equation (2);  $\mu$  is a random error term. By using the pairwise Granger causality tests we obtain the short-run interactions across the variables. The estimated coefficients of the error correction term indicate the adjustment of the

dependent variable to its long-run equilibrium. We use both t-statistic and F-statistic tests for the significance of the long- and short-run dynamic relationships, respectively. The results of these short- and long-run causalities are reported in Table 6.

**Insert Table 6 about here**

Table 6 shows the presence of short-run unidirectional causality running from output to trade. This signifies that economic growth stimulates exports and/or imports of merchandise even in the short-run. There is also short-run unidirectional causality running from output to the number of tourist arrivals, which implies that economic growth generates better services and is a good signal for attracting tourists. These results are in accordance with those reported by Katircioglu (2009b). There is also short-run unidirectional causality running from renewable energy consumption to the trade openness ratio. Indeed, because of the substitutability between renewable and fossil energy, increasing the former may reduce the imports of fossil energy in these countries. In addition, more renewable energy consumption impacts on the quantity of produced and traded goods. This result is similar to that by Ben Jebli and Ben Youssef (2015b), while is in the opposite direction to that by Ben Youssef and Ben Jebli (2015a) showing, for the case of Tunisia, the presence of short-run unidirectional causality running from trade (exports or imports) to renewable energy. We also document short-run unidirectional causality running from renewable energy consumption to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions that may be due to the substitutability between renewable and fossil energy. This finding is in accordance with that by Ben Jebli et al. (2015a), but it differs

from that by Apergis et al. (2010) who show the presence of bidirectional causality between renewable energy and emissions in the short-run for the case of a panel of 19 developed and developing countries.

Short-run causality running from the number of tourist arrivals to the trade openness ratio without feedback occurs. Indeed, the tourism sector contributes to the exports efforts of these countries through the foreign currency given by tourists, while it contributes to imports of merchandise, because these tourists consume goods and services among which some are imported. This result is opposite to that by Katircioglu (2009b) who shows the presence of unidirectional causality running from trade to tourism for the case of Cyprus.

Table 6 also reports that the error correction term (ECT) is statistically significant and is comprised between -1 and 0 for the equations of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, renewable energy consumption, the number of tourist arrivals and trade. However, the ECT is not statistically significant for the economic growth equation. Therefore, there are long-run bidirectional causalities between the four variables renewable energy, tourism, trade and emissions. The presence of long-run bidirectional causality between renewable energy consumption and the number of tourist arrivals is an interesting result similar to that established by Ben Jebli et al. (2015a) for the case of Tunisia. It implies that increasing renewable energy use attracts more tourists, because the latter are more concerned with environmental protection. In addition, more international tourists give more foreign currency to these countries, thus, enabling them to invest in renewable energy projects. Thus, a policy designed for the development of

the tourism sector could be a good supportive policy for the expansion of the share of renewable energy in the total energy mix.

## **7. Conclusion and policy implications**

This paper examined the dynamic causal linkages between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, economic growth, renewable energy consumption, the number of tourist arrivals and the trade openness ratio for a panel of 22 Central and South American countries, spanning the period 1995-2010. This empirical analysis also explored the long-run impact of the number of tourist arrivals, renewable energy consumption and trade on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. A particular attention was given to the causal relationships between tourism and renewable energy. By using panel cointegrations, the empirical findings documented that the long-run relationship between the considered variables is strongly supported when CO<sub>2</sub> emissions turned to be the dependent variable.

Based on the FMOLS and DOLS estimates, long-run results documented that economic growth and trade significantly contributed to more CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Indeed, any increase in real GDP or in the share of merchandise trade exchanges increased the level of pollution in the region under study. However, and interestingly, both renewable energy consumption and the number of tourist arrivals were substantial drivers for the decline of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The first result is due to the substitutability between fossil and renewable energy, implying that an increase in renewable energy consumption reduces fossil energy consumption and the associated pollution emissions. We can explain the second result by the green

tourism hypothesis. Indeed, an important proportion of tourists visiting Central and South America come for its rich biodiversity, cleanliness and wild beauty. The important revenues obtained from tourism incited these countries to reduce their pollution emissions.

Short-run Granger causality tests highlighted unidirectional causality running from renewable energy consumption to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, indicating the pivotal role of renewable energy in the reduction of such emissions. Moreover, there was ample evidence for the presence of unidirectional causality running from economic growth, renewable energy consumption and the number of tourist arrivals to trade. In the long-run, the vector error correction model displayed the presence of bidirectional causalities between all the relevant variables, except for that of economic growth. The presence of long-run bidirectional causality between renewable energy consumption and the number of tourist arrivals was a worth considering result. It can be explained by the fact that increasing renewable energy use attracts more tourists, because they are more sensitive to environmental protection, while more international tourists can bring more foreign currency for investing in renewable energy projects.

The empirical findings raise a number of substantial policy implications for this region related to: i) encouraging the adoption of clean technologies using renewable energy for production purposes seems to be a major driver for significantly enhance environmental quality levels; ii) policies that support the development of the tourism sector seem to be a good vehicle to combat global warming in this region. Emphasizing the

development of green tourism is of great interest for this region on both the economic and environmental sides. As recommended by the United Nations Environment Program (2011), public-private partnerships can spread the costs and risks of large green tourism investments. In addition, administrative fees related to these projects can be reduced by public authorities by offering favorable interest rates and in-kind support, such as technical, marketing, or business administration assistance; iii) the long-run dynamic bidirectional causal relationship between the number of tourist arrivals and renewable energy consumption indicates that a policy designed to the development of the tourism sector could be a good supportive strategy for the expansion of the share of renewable energy in the total energy mix. On the other side, encouraging the use of renewable energy enhances the venue of tourists to this region. Finally, one extension of our work could be the study of the relationship between tourism and renewable energy by including other variables, while considering other countries or a panel of countries.

## **References**

- Al-Mulali, U., Fereidouni, H.G., Lee, J.Y.M., 2014. Electricity consumption from renewable and non-renewable sources and economic growth: Evidence from Latin American countries. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 30, 290-298.
- Apergis, N., Payne, J.E., 2010a. Renewable energy consumption and economic growth: Evidence from a panel of OECD countries. *Energy Policy*, 38, 656-660.
- Apergis, N., Payne, J.E., 2010b. Renewable energy consumption and growth in Eurasia. *Energy Economics*, 32, 1392-97.

- Apergis, N., Payne, J.E., 2011. The renewable energy consumption-growth nexus in Central America. *Applied Energy*, 88, 343-347.
- Apergis, N., Payne, J.E., 2012. Renewable and non-renewable energy consumption-growth nexus: Evidence from a panel error correction model. *Energy Economics*, 34, 733-738.
- Apergis, N., Payne, J.E., 2014. Renewable energy, output, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and fossil fuel prices in Central America: Evidence from a nonlinear panel smooth transition vector error correction model. *Energy Economics*, 42, 226-232.
- Apergis, N., Payne, J.E., Menyah, K., Wolde-Rufael, Y., 2010. On the causal dynamics between emissions, nuclear energy, renewable energy, and economic growth. *Ecological Economics*, 69, 2255-60.
- Balaguer, J., Cantavella-Jorda', M., 2002. Tourism as a long-run economic growth factor: the Spanish case. *Applied Economics*, 34, 877-884.
- Barbier, E.B., Hultberg, P.T., 2007. Economic integration, environmental harmonization and firm relocation. *Environment and Development Economics*, 12, 379-401.
- Belloumi, M., 2010. The relationship between tourism receipts, real effective exchange rate and economic growth in Tunisia. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 12, 550-560.
- Ben Jebli, M., Ben Youssef, S., 2015a. The environmental Kuznets curve, economic growth, renewable and non-renewable energy, and trade in Tunisia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 47, 173-185.
- Ben Jebli, M., Ben Youssef, S., 2015b. Output, renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and international trade: Evidence from a panel of 69 countries. *Renewable Energy*, 83, 799-808.
- Ben Jebli, M., Ben Youssef, S., Apergis, N., 2015a. The dynamic interaction between combustible renewables and waste consumption and international tourism: The case of Tunisia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22, 12050-61.

Ben Jebli, M., Ben Youssef, S., Ozturk, I., 2015b. The role of renewable energy consumption and trade: Environmental Kuznets curve analysis for sub-Saharan Africa countries. *African Development Review*, 27, 288–300.

Ben Jebli, M., Ben Youssef, S., Ozturk, I., 2016. Testing environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis: The role of renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and trade in OECD countries. *Ecological Indicators*, 60, 824-831.

Birdsall, N., Wheeler, D., 2001. Trade policy and industrial pollution in Latin America: where are the pollution havens? *International trade and the environment. International Library of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 355-367.

Brida, J.G., Carrera, E.S., Risso, W.A., 2008. Tourism's impact on long-run Mexican economic growth. *Economic Bulletin*, 3, 1-8.

Clancy, M.J., 1999. Tourism and development: evidence from Mexico. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 26, 1-20.

Cole, E.T., Ensign, P.C., 2005. An examination of US FDI into Mexico and its relation to NAFTA: understanding the effects of environmental regulation and the factor endowments that affect the location decision. *International Trade Journal*, 19, 1-30.

de Vita, G., Katircioglu, S., Altinay, L., Fethi, S., Mercan, M., 2015. Revisiting the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis in a tourism development context. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22, 16652-63.

Dickey, D., Fuller, W., 1979. Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74, 427-431.

Divino, J.A., McAleer, M.J., 2008. Modeling sustainable international tourism demand to the Brazilian Amazon. *Econometric Institute Report No EI 2008-22*, Erasmus University, Rotterdam (<http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/dgrevreir/1765013773.htm>, accessed 26 October 2011).

Divino, J.A., McAleer, M.J., 2009. Modeling and forecasting daily international mass tourism to Peru. CIRJE F-Series CIRJE-F-651, CIRJE, Faculty of Economics, University of Tokyo.

Dogan, E., 2016. Analyzing the linkage between renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and economic growth by considering structural break in time-series data. Renewable Energy, 99, 1126-36.

Dogan, E., Seker, F., Bulbul, S., 2017. Investigating the impacts of energy consumption, real GDP, tourism and trade on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by accounting for cross-sectional dependence: A panel study of OECD countries. Current Issues in Tourism, 20, 1701-1719.

Dristakis, N., 2004. Tourism as a long-run economic growth factor: An empirical investigation for Greece using causality analysis. Tourism Economics, 10, 305–316.

Energy Information Administration, 2014. International Energy Outlook. Available at: [www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo](http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo).

Engle, R.F., Granger, C.W.J., 1987. Co-integration and error correction: Representation, estimation, and testing. Econometrica, 55, 251-276.

Eugenio-Martin, J.L., Martin-Morales, N., Scarpa, R., 2004. Tourism and economic growth in Latin American countries: a panel data approach. FEEM Working Paper, No 26.2004.

Global Energy Network Institute, 2012. Achieving 100% reliance on renewable energy for electricity generation in Central America. Accessed at: <http://www.geni.org>.

Gunduz, L., Hatemi-J, A., 2005. Is the tourism-led growth hypothesis valid for Turkey? Applied Economics Letters, 12, 499-504.

Jayanthakumaran, K., Verma, R., Liu, Y., 2012. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, energy consumption, trade and income: A comparative analysis of China and India. Energy Policy, 42, 450-460.

Kao, C., Chiang, M.H., 2001. On the estimation and inference of a cointegrated regression in panel data. Advances in Econometrics, 15, 179-222.

- Katircioglu, S.T., 2009a. Revisiting the tourism-led-growth hypothesis for Turkey using the bounds test and Johansen approach for cointegration. *Tourism Management*, 30, 17-20.
- Katircioglu, S.T., 2009b. Trade, tourism and growth: The case of Cyprus. *Applied Economics*, 41, 2741–50.
- Katircioglu, S.T., 2014a. Testing the tourism-induced EKC hypothesis: The case of Singapore. *Economic Modeling*, 41, 383-391.
- Katircioglu, S.T., 2014b. International tourism, energy consumption, and environmental pollution: The case of Turkey. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 36, 180-187.
- Katircioglu, S.T., Feridun, M., Kilinc, C., 2014. Estimating tourism-induced energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: The case of Cyprus. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 29, 634-640.
- Lee, J.W., Brahmase, T., 2013. Investigating the influence of tourism on economic growth and carbon emissions: Evidence from panel analysis of the European Union. *Tourism Management*, 38, 69-76.
- Mark, N.C., Sul, D., 2003. Cointegration vector estimation by panel DOLS and long-run money demand. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 65, 655-680.
- Menegaki, A. N., 2011. Growth and renewable energy in Europe: a random effect model with evidence for neutrality hypothesis. *Energy Economics*, 33, 257–263.
- Menyah, K., Wolde-Rufael, Y., 2010. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, nuclear energy, renewable energy and economic growth in the US. *Energy Policy*, 38, 2911-15.
- Ocal, O., Aslan, A., 2013. Renewable energy consumption–economic growth nexus in Turkey. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Review*, 28, 494-499.
- Ozturk, I., Al-Mulali, U., Saboori, B., 2016. Investigating the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis: The role of tourism and ecological footprint. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23, 1916-1928.

- Pedroni, P., 2001. Purchasing power parity tests in cointegrated panels. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 83, 727-731.
- Pedroni, P., 2004. Panel cointegration: Asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis. *Econometric Theory*, 20, 597-625.
- Pesaran, M. 2004. General diagnostic tests for cross section dependence in panels. Cambridge Working Papers in Economics 435, and CESifo Working Paper Series 1229.
- Pesaran, M., 2007. A simple panel unit root test in the presence of cross-section dependence. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 22, 265-312.
- Prinsloo, F.C., 2015. Impact of renewable energy structures on tourism. Accessed at: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/262948582>.
- Sadorsky, P., 2009a. Renewable energy consumption, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and oil prices in the G7 countries. *Energy Economics*, 31, 456-462.
- Sadorsky, P., 2009b. Renewable energy consumption and income in emerging economies. *Energy policy*, 37, 4021-28.
- Shafiei, S., Salim, R.A., 2014. Non-renewable and renewable energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in OECD countries: A comparative analysis. *Energy Policy*, 66, 547-556.
- Smith, V., Leybourne, S., Kim, T.H., 2004. More powerful panel unit root tests with an application to the mean reversion in real exchange rates. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 19, 147–170.
- Tugcu, C.T., Ozturk, I., Aslan, A., 2012. Renewable and non-renewable energy consumption and economic growth relationship revisited: Evidence from G7 countries. *Energy Economics*, 34, 1942-50.

United Nations Environment Program, 2011. Tourism: investing in energy and resource efficiency. Accessed at: [http://www.unep.org/resourceefficiency/Portals/24147/scp/business/tourism/greenconomy\\_tourism.pdf](http://www.unep.org/resourceefficiency/Portals/24147/scp/business/tourism/greenconomy_tourism.pdf).

Vanegas, M., Croes, R., 2007. Tourism, economic expansion and poverty in Nicaragua: investigating cointegration and causal relations. Staff Working Paper No 7306, Department of Applied Economics, University of Minnesota.

Waldkirch, A., Gopinath, M., 2008. Pollution control and foreign direct investment in Mexico: an industry-level analysis. Environmental and Resource Economics, 41, 289-313.

World Bank, 2014. World Development Indicators. Accessed at:  
<http://www.worldbank.org/data/onlinedatabases/onlinedatabases.html>.

**Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the analysis variables**

Variables	Y	CO <sub>2</sub>	TRS	TR	RE
<b>Mean</b>	72.7	40380.58	1173091	58.03	25.33
<b>Median</b>	16.5	7145.15	716000	52.73	2.77
<b>Maximum</b>	1100	419754.2	5358000	163.65	432.93
<b>Minimum</b>	0.32	73.34	43000	12.45	0.00
<b>Std. Dev.</b>	178	79263.06	1237864	30.88	69.42
<b>Skewness</b>	4.02	2.76	1.57	1.22	4.13
<b>Kurtosis</b>	18.92	10.27	5	4.54	19.77
<b>Jarque-Bera</b>	4666.12	1223.80	204.54	121.65	5124.74
<b>Probability</b>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Notes: Y = gross domestic product (in constant 2005 billion US dollars); CO<sub>2</sub>=carbon dioxide emissions (in kilo tons); TRS= number of tourist arrivals; TR= trade openness ratio (in %) ; RE= renewable energy consumption (in billions of kilowatt-hours).

**Table 2. Cross-section dependence (CD) test: Cross-section correlations of the residuals in ADF (p) regressions**

Variables	Lags			
	1	2	3	4
co <sub>2</sub>	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.01] <sup>a</sup>	[0.04] <sup>b</sup>
re	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.01] <sup>a</sup>	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>
y	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>
trs	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.00] <sup>a</sup>	[0.02] <sup>b</sup>	[0.03] <sup>b</sup>
tr	[0.01] <sup>a</sup>	[0.02] <sup>b</sup>	[0.01] <sup>a</sup>	[0.02] <sup>b</sup>

Notes: Under the null hypothesis of cross-sectional independence, the CD statistic is distributed as a two-tailed standard normal.

Results are based on the test of Pesaran (2004). Figures in brackets denote p-values. Significance levels: a (1%) and b (5%).

**Table 3. Panel unit root tests**

Variable	Pesaran	Pesaran	Smith et al. t-	Smith et al.	Smith et al.	Smith et al.
----------	---------	---------	-----------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

	<b>CIPS</b>	<b>CIPS*</b>	<b>test</b>	<b>LM-test</b>	<b>max-test</b>	<b>min-test</b>
$co_2$	-1.25	-1.31	-1.42	3.02	-1.25	1.35
$\Delta co_2$	-5.52 <sup>a</sup>	-5.23 <sup>a</sup>	-5.32 <sup>a</sup>	17.93 <sup>a</sup>	-6.62 <sup>a</sup>	6.43 <sup>a</sup>
$re$	-1.28	-1.26	-1.25	3.15	-1.29	1.28
$\Delta re$	-5.59 <sup>a</sup>	-5.21 <sup>a</sup>	-6.24 <sup>a</sup>	19.51 <sup>a</sup>	-7.75 <sup>a</sup>	7.21 <sup>a</sup>
$y$	1.14	-1.22	-1.28	2.36	-1.33	1.25
$\Delta y$	-6.34 <sup>a</sup>	-6.48 <sup>a</sup>	-5.63 <sup>a</sup>	18.74 <sup>a</sup>	-8.64 <sup>a</sup>	6.46 <sup>a</sup>
$trs$	-1.52	-1.42	-1.24	1.23	-1.29	1.18
$\Delta trs$	-7.84 <sup>a</sup>	-7.59 <sup>a</sup>	-6.11 <sup>a</sup>	21.88 <sup>a</sup>	-7.94 <sup>a</sup>	8.83 <sup>a</sup>
$tr$	-1.41	-1.29	-1.38	1.74	-1.37	1.14
$\Delta tr$	-7.39 <sup>a</sup>	-6.32 <sup>a</sup>	-5.53 <sup>a</sup>	17.98 <sup>a</sup>	-7.71 <sup>a</sup>	6.65 <sup>a</sup>

Notes:  $\Delta$  denotes first differences. A constant is included in the Pesaran (2007) tests. Rejection of the null hypothesis indicates stationary in at least one country. CIPS\* = truncated CIPS test. Critical values for the Pesaran (2007) test are -2.40 at 1%, -2.22 at 5%, and -2.14 at 10%. “a” denotes rejection of the null hypothesis. Both a constant and a time trend are included in the Smith et al. (2004) tests. Rejection of the null hypothesis indicates stationary in at least one country. For both tests the results are reported at lag = 4. The null hypothesis is that of a unit root.

**Table 4. Panel residual cointegration test results**

<i>Alternative hypothesis: Common AR coeffs. (within-dimension)</i>					
		Weighted			
		Statistic	Prob.	Statistic	Prob.
<i>Intercept and</i>	Panel v-stat	-0.939302	0.826	-3.157883	0.999
	Panel rho-stat	3.108884	0.999	3.721938	0.999
	Panel PP-stat	-8.168414	0.000***	-5.490795	0.000***
	Panel ADF-stat	-5.947938	0.000***	-3.767152	0.000***

<i>trend</i>	<i>Alternative hypothesis: Individual AR coeffs. (between-dimension)</i>		
Group rho-stat	5.461172	1.000	
Group PP-stat	-12.66171	0.000***	
Group ADF-stat	-4.246178	0.000***	

Notes: Null hypothesis: No cointegration. \*\*\* indicates statistical significance at the 1% level. Trend assumption: we consider the cases intercept and deterministic trends. Lag length selection is based on SIC with a max lag of 2. Newey-West automatic bandwidth selection and Bartlett kernel.

**Table 5. FMOLS and DOLS long-run estimates**

Variable	FMOLS			DOLS		
	Coefficient	t-Statistic	Prob.	Coefficient	t-Statistic	Prob.
y	1.328570	13.71356	0.0000***	1.277276	13.00459	0.0000***
re	-0.115628	-2.255053	0.0248**	-0.107339	-2.064634	0.0397**
trs	-0.380224	-3.652889	0.0003***	-0.307836	-2.917508	0.0038***
tr	0.338421	2.487614	0.0134**	0.271113	1.965782	0.0502*

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\* and \*\* indicate statistical significance at the levels 1%, 5% and 10%, respectively.

**Table 6. Short and long-run causality tests**

Dependent variable	Short-run					Long-run
	$\Delta co_2$	$\Delta y$	$\Delta re$	$\Delta trs$	$\Delta tr$	ECT
$\Delta co_2$	-	2.24074 (0.1353)	5.84145 (0.0162)**	0.01027 (0.9193)	0.41464 (0.5200)	-0.04475 [-2.29013]**
$\Delta y$	0.68536 (0.4083)	- (0.1042)	2.65395 (0.6543)	0.20082 (0.7011)	0.14756 [1.79850]	0.10257
$\Delta re$	0.12732 (0.7214)	0.00364 (0.9519)	- (0.1182)	0.16155 (0.6880)	0.09139 (0.7626)	-0.06339 [-2.46375]**
$\Delta trs$	2.11131 (0.1471)	4.57020 (0.0332)**	2.45320 (0.0803)*	- (0.0524)*	2.71653 (0.1002)	-0.19133 [-4.43297]***
$\Delta tr$	2.06291 (0.1518)	3.85331 (0.0504)*	3.07655 (0.0803)*	3.78798 (0.0524)*	-	-0.074586 [-3.12155]***

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\* and \*\* indicate statistical significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively. P-values are listed in parentheses, and t-statistics are listed in brackets.