



**An exploration of operational excellence methodologies  
implementation in the logistics sectors: a global study**

Journal:	<i>The TQM Journal</i>
Manuscript ID	TQM-10-2023-0313.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Lean Six Sigma, Lean, Six Sigma, Continuous Improvement, Process efficiency

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Abstract

**Purpose:** The aim of this study reported in this paper was to explore the application of operational excellence methodologies in a global context.

**Design/methodology/approach:** A qualitative interview approach was used to understand the current state, benefits, challenges, success factors, tools, and techniques of operational excellence methodology implementation with relevance to logistics companies worldwide. Sixteen interviews were undertaken with practitioners working in leading companies and with leading academics in Asia, Europe, Africa, North America, South America and Australia.

**Findings:** The findings show that operational excellence methodologies including Lean, Six Sigma, Lean Six Sigma, and Agile can apply in logistics firms to improve operations and productivity, and save costs. Top management support and involvement play an important role in the success of operational excellence projects in the logistics service.

**Research limitations/implications:** The findings will be of interest to top and middle managers and logistics practitioners, with a dual aim of improving logistics performance and saving cost.

**Originality:** The present study has been one of the first global study attempts to explore the implementation of operational excellence methodologies in Logistics sectors.

**Keywords:** Operational Excellence Methodologies, Logistics, Transportation, Lean Six Sigma,

## 1. Introduction

The popular and widely adopted operational excellence methodologies that have been applied by scholars and practitioners are Lean, Six Sigma, and Lean Six Sigma (Lameijer *et al.*, 2016). It is a key element in improving process performance in every organization. Such methodologies have been used in several sectors such as manufacturing, services, Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), education, services and public organizations (Antony *et al.*, 2017). Previous studies have shown that the application of operational excellence methodologies can improve logistics operational performance, for example eliminate waste from logistics activities, reduce defects, reduce lead time and process time, improve customer satisfaction, and save costs (Trakulsunti *et al.*, 2021).

Logistics and supply chain management (SCM) have been considered as the leading edge of industrial innovation (Lagorio *et al.*, 2020). Logistics is a part of supply chain management that involves the flow of materials, information, and services from the point of origin to the point of consumption to meet customers' requirements (Christopher, 2016). The key logistics activities are inventory management, transportation, warehousing, and storage (Stock and Lambert, 2001). Several studies suggest that logistics operations can be improved by the use of innovative technologies such as radio-frequency identification (RFID), Internet of Thing (IoT), Big Data Analytics, mathematical modeling, operations research, and simulations (Sternberg *et al.*, 2013; Lagorio *et al.*, 2020). Abushaikha *et al.*, (2018) and Abhishek and Pratap (2020) proposed that logistics activities have been one of the areas in which operational excellence methodologies have been successfully implemented to improve logistics performance and gain significant benefits. The application of operational excellence methodologies with the associated tools and

1  
2  
3 techniques provides an opportunity to complement the existing improvement approaches (Garza-  
4 Reyes *et al.*, 2016; Villarreal *et al.*, 2016).  
5  
6  
7

8 To date, there is little published research on the use of operational excellence methodologies  
9 in logistics, especially in developing countries. Previous studies such as Zhang *et al.* (2016) have  
10 mainly examined the benefit and challenges of Lean and Six Sigma in Singapore. Much of the  
11 literature has focused on the utilization of Lean rather than other operational excellence  
12 methodologies in logistics sectors. The concept of Lean, along with its tools has been applied to  
13 improve road transport operations (Villarreal *et al.*, 2016; Villarreal, Garza-reyes and Kumar,  
14 2016; Garza-Reyes *et al.*, 2018) and warehousing (Oey and Nofrimurti, 2018; Abhishek and  
15 Pratap, 2020; Pereira *et al.*, 2021). Remarkably, there is a lack of studies that investigate the  
16 integration of operational excellence methodologies with Industry 4.0 technologies to improve  
17 logistics operations performance (Trakulsunti *et al.*, 2022). No study has focused on  
18 investigating the application of operational excellence methodologies in a global context.  
19 Moreover, operational excellence methodologies, particularly Lean, are mostly implemented in  
20 continents such as Europe, North America and Asia; however, the application of such  
21 methodologies in Africa is still in its infancy (Antony *et al.* , 2019; Trakulsunti *et al.*, 2022).  
22 Thus an analysis of operational excellence methodologies implementation in logistics sector with  
23 academics and practitioners across the continents will complement different perspectives  
24 (Antony *et al.*, 2022). Therefore, this paper focuses on the application of five key operational  
25 excellence methodologies including Six Sigma, Lean, LSS, Agile, and Leagile in logistics  
26 (McDermott *et al.*, 2021) through a study with leading academics and practitioners across the  
27 continents and aims to answer the following research questions.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **RQ1** What is the current state of operational excellence methodologies in the logistics  
4 industry?  
5  
6

7  
8 **RQ2** What are the benefits, barriers, and critical success factors of implementing operational  
9 excellence methodologies in logistics companies?  
10  
11

12  
13 **RQ3** What tools and techniques of operational excellence methodologies have been used to  
14 improve logistics operations?  
15  
16

17  
18 **RQ4** What innovative technologies have been integrated with operational excellence  
19 methodologies to improve logistics performance?  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 This paper contributes to the existing literature by providing a new understanding of how  
25 Industry 4.0 technologies can be integrated with operational excellence methodologies including  
26 Lean, Six Sigma, Lean Six Sigma, and Agile to improve logistics operations. This study adds to  
27 a growing body of literature on the application of Six Sigma, Lean Six Sigma, and Agile in  
28 Logistics sectors. The present study appears to be the first empirical investigation of the impact  
29 of applying operational excellence methodologies through a global study with different  
30 practitioners and leading academics. With regards to its practical contribution, the study and its  
31 results can benefit industrialists by providing guidance for a successful implementation of  
32 operational excellence methodologies in the logistics sector and a greater awareness of how  
33 operational excellence methodologies impact their business.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47  
48 The remaining parts of this paper are structured as follows: the second section gives a brief  
49 review of operational excellence methodologies; the third section describes the research  
50 methodology used in this study; the fourth part discusses the significant findings and practical  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 implications; and the final section summarizes the key findings and suggests recommendations  
4  
5 for future research directions.  
6  
7

## 8 **2. Literature Review**

9

### 10 *2.1 Operational excellence methodologies*

11

12  
13  
14 Operational excellence methodologies such as Lean, Six Sigma, Lean Six Sigma, Agile, and  
15  
16 Leagile have been widely applied by scholars and practitioners (Lameijer *et al.*, 2016). The  
17  
18 application of such methodologies can improve business processes (Abushaikha *et al.*, 2018) and  
19  
20 save logistics costs. Lean originated from the concept of Toyota Production System (TPS) in  
21  
22 Toyota Motor Corporation, a Japanese automotive manufacturer. The term “Lean” was coined in  
23  
24 the book entitled “The machine that changed the world” written by Womack, Jones and Roos in  
25  
26 1990 (Womack *et al.*, 2007). It is a philosophy that focuses on the elimination of wastes from the  
27  
28 process thus increasing speed, reducing costs (Trakulsunti *et al.*, 2021) and adding customer  
29  
30 values (Yadav and Desai, 2016). The principles of Lean are based on the assumptions that every  
31  
32 process in the organization consists of three types of activities including value added to the  
33  
34 customer, business non-value added and non-value-added (Womack and Jones, 2003). Therefore,  
35  
36 non-value-added activity or waste should be eliminated from the process.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 The concept of Six Sigma was introduced in 1980 by Bill Smith, an engineer at Motorola, and  
44  
45 was then popularized by the General Electric (GE) company (Antony, 2006). Six Sigma is a  
46  
47 business strategy and problem-solving methodology which aim to reduce variation within a  
48  
49 process resulting in the reduction of defects and thereby enhancing process performance. Six  
50  
51 Sigma methodology consists of key five phases: Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control  
52  
53 (DMAIC). By following these phases, the aim is to start with identifying a problem within the  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 process step, then implement solutions for the root causes and establish best practices to ensure  
4 that the improvement can be sustained in the long term (George *et al.*, 2005).  
5  
6  
7

8 Lean is appropriate for the first round of improvement with less data collection, while Six  
9 Sigma is suitable for complex problems which require advanced statistical tools. However, the  
10 integration of Lean and Six Sigma, called Lean Six Sigma (LSS), could contribute to better results  
11 than a separate implementation of each methodology (Salah *et al.*, 2010; Bhat *et al.*, 2014). Lean  
12 Six Sigma focuses on reducing variation within the process which is a source of defect and  
13 improving process flow resulting in improved customer satisfaction and bottom-line results  
14 (George *et al.*, 2004; McDermott *et al.*, 2021). The concept of agility aims for the organization to  
15 have flexibility, adaptability, robustness, an ability to manage demand fluctuations, variability, and  
16 a quick response to uncertain markets (Banomyong, 2008; Zielske and Held, 2021). As Lean is  
17 unable to deal with consistent change, Lean and Agile have been integrated as Leagile to achieve  
18 the advantages of both paradigms (McDermott *et al.*, 2021).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

### 33 34 **2.2 Operational excellence methodologies in logistics** 35

36  
37 Logistics is the process of moving materials and information from the point of origin to the point  
38 of consumption (Ghiani *et al.*, 2013). Logistics companies should continuously improve their  
39 operations to enhance customer satisfaction (Zhang *et al.*, 2016), meet customers' requirements  
40 and increase business profitability. The key logistics activities include inventory management,  
41 transportation, warehousing, and storage (Stock and Lambert, 2001). These logistics activities such  
42 as transport operations can be improved by the use of mathematical modeling, operations research,  
43 simulations (Sternberg *et al.*, 2013), and innovative technologies (e.g. Radio Frequency  
44 Identification (RFID), Information Technology (IT), big data analytics and Internet of Things  
45 (IoT)) (Lagorio *et al.*, 2020). However, in recent years, logistics and supply chain have been the  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 areas that have received attention from academics in ways in which waste and defect reduction  
4 practices have been implemented successfully by operational excellence methodologies, especially  
5 in warehousing and transportation processes (Abushaikha *et al.*, 2018). The application of  
6 operational excellence methodologies can be applied to supplement the existing improvement  
7 methods and techniques (Villarreal *et al.*, 2016). Logistics companies with limited resources and  
8 capital investment can apply operational excellence methodologies to improve their operations,  
9 customer satisfaction, profitability (Shokri *et al.*, 2014), and overall competitive position (Sharma  
10 and Shah, 2016). However, the barriers faced by most logistics companies when applying  
11 operational excellence methodologies are resistance to change, insufficient training and employees  
12 not understanding the reason for implementation (Zhang *et al.*, 2016).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 Thus far, a number of studies have applied operational excellence methodologies particularly  
28 Lean to eliminate waste from transport and warehouse activities resulting in reduced lead time and  
29 process time and improved warehouse operation performance (Abushaikha *et al.*, 2018; Abhishek  
30 and Pratap, 2020). The concept of Lean and its popular tools such as Value Stream Mapping have  
31 been used to improve road transport operations (e.g. Villarreal *et al.*, 2016; Villarreal, Garza-Reyes  
32 and Kumar, 2016; Garza-Reyes *et al.*, 2018) warehousing (e.g. Chen *et al.*, 2013; Reis *et al.*, 2017;  
33 Baby *et al.*, 2018). The research to date (e.g. Nabhani and Shokri, 2009, Wei *et al.*, 2010; Shokri  
34 *et al.*, 2014) has implemented Six Sigma to reduce defects in the logistics process such as wrong  
35 sale orders, returned goods, traffic accidents, and shipping errors. There are relatively few studies  
36 that have focused on the deployment of Agile and Leagile in the logistics sectors. For instance, a  
37 recent studies by Zielske and Held (2020) and Zielske and Held (2021) explored the application  
38 of agile methods in logistics startups and logistics companies which resulted in the improvement  
39 of the reaction to the changing of the customers' requirements. Additionally, the study by  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 Banomyong *et al.* (2008) explored the impact of the Leagile on reverse logistics of an electrical  
4 appliance manufacturer based in Bangkok, Thailand.  
5  
6

7  
8 However, Villarreal *et al.* (2016) and Trakulsunti *et al.* (2022) claimed that more research is  
9 required to identify the critical success factors (CSFs) when applying continuous improvement  
10 initiatives in the logistics sector. Moreover, to date, there appears to be no empirical research on  
11 the application of operational excellence methodologies in the logistics sector in a global context.  
12  
13 Therefore, it is argued that more research regarding operational excellence methodologies is  
14 required to be performed in the field of logistics to bridge this gap.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

### 23 **3. Research Methodology**

24  
25  
26 In order to address the research questions, a qualitative study was set up with operational  
27 excellence methodologies experts at a global level using an interview approach. Purposive  
28 sampling was used to select 16 participants from 10 different countries and six continents  
29 including Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, North America and South America from logistics  
30 firms providing services covering transportation, warehousing, distribution, and supply chain  
31 solution. The study also involved leading academics who are recognized experts and leaders in  
32 operational excellence methodologies participated and contributed to the research (Antony *et al.*,  
33 2019). Table 1 summarizes participants' details and backgrounds. The positions of the  
34 participants included operations excellence manager, senior manager, and assistant general  
35 manager from developed and developing countries, alongside academics and company directors.  
36  
37 The key responsibilities of the participants are to implement, lead and support the operational  
38 excellence projects and to build a continuous improvement culture in their organizations. The  
39 inclusion criteria for participants were 1) participants who have worked in managerial and  
40 process improvement positions related to key logistics activities for at least five years; 2) leading  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

academics in the field of operational excellence methodologies and 3) participants who were willing to participate in this study (Abushaikha *et al.*, 2018).

**Table 1** Participants Information

Participant	Academic	Non-academic	Organization	Position	Location
1		X	Thirds party Logistics Provider (3PL) covering warehouse and transport.	Operations Excellence Manager	Thailand
2		X	3PL provider - supply chain solutions - End-to-end transportation - Contract Logistics	Assistant General Manager	Thailand
3		X	3PL provider - Transportation and fulfilling - supply chain solutions	Logistics Process Excellence Expert	Thailand
4	X		St Joseph Engineering College	Professor, Lean Six Sigma Master Black Belt	India
5	X		University of the Witwatersrand	Senior Lecturer	South Africa
6	X		Federation University	Associate Professor, Lean Six Sigma Black Belt	Australia
7		X	Consultancy Company	Director	The UK
8	X	X	The São Paulo State	Director, Associate Professor	Brazil

			Technological Colleges		
9		X	3PL provider - contract logistics - freight forwarding - distribution and transportation management	Senior Manager, Business Process Excellence	Thailand
10		X	Consultancy Company	Director	The UK
11	X		Purdue University	Associate Professor, Six Sigma Black Belt	The USA
12		X	Thirds party Logistics Provider (3PL) covering warehouse and transport	Senior Manager, Head of Transport Operation Excellence	Thailand
13	X		RMIT University	Research Scholar	Australia
14		X	Thirds party Logistics Provider (3PL) covering warehouse and transport	Assistant Director, Head of Department - Operation Excellence	Thailand
15		X	A last mile shipping & delivery service	Process Improvement Manager	Germany
16		X	Consultancy Company	Managing Director	Bulgaria

### 3.2 Data collection

In this research, a semi-structured interview was used to capture the participants' views on the current state of benefits, challenges, and success factors in the use of operational excellence methodologies in logistics companies, tools and techniques used to improve logistics operation as well as the integration of innovative technologies and such methodologies. Using the medium of Microsoft Teams, the participants were asked if they were prepared to be interviewed, making it clear they can stop their involvement in the project at any time. At the beginning of the research, an email containing the interview questions and objectives was sent to the participants before the interview. Each interview lasted approximately 45-60 minutes. The researcher asked for permission from the participants for recording before starting the interview.

### 3.3 Data analysis

This study adopted thematic analysis to analyse the qualitative data gained from the interviews, leading to rich descriptions, explanations, and theorizing (Saunders *et al.*, 2016). The study followed the key steps of thematic analysis proposed by Hussey (2014) and Saunders *et al.* (2016) as follows.

#### 1) Preparing the data for analysis

In this step, the interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim, then the transcriptions were sent back to participants for checking, editing, and approving (Psychogios *et al.*, 2012).

#### 2) Familiarization with the data

1  
2  
3 Familiarization with the data is an important element in analyzing qualitative data (Saunders  
4 *et al.*, 2016). The researcher read and re-read the transcripts to become familiar with them.  
5  
6

7 After that, all collected data were further reduced by the use of coding.  
8  
9

### 10 3) Coding 11

12 The researcher used a manual approach to code the data regarding the research questions. In  
13 this research, codes were developed based on the literature related to the benefits, challenges,  
14 and critical success factors, tools and techniques of operational excellence methodologies  
15 implementation in the logistics sector. After coding the data, the researcher checked the  
16 accuracy of all codes compared to the unit of data.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

### 27 4) Identifying and refining themes 28

29 The codes were further grouped into categories and subsequently, main themes were generated  
30 (Collis and Hussey, 2014; O' Gorman and MacIntosh, 2015; Nilvarangkul *et al.*, 2016). The  
31 researcher checked these themes against the extracted codes to ensure that they were related to  
32 each other after the themes were created (O'Gorman and MacIntosh, 2015). At this stage, the  
33 researcher was able to reorganise the extracted codes under the relevant themes or sub-themes  
34 (Saunders *et al.*, 2016).  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

## 43 4. Key Findings 44 45

46 The following section presents the key findings from the implementation of operations excellence  
47 methodologies in logistics sectors. The first part explains the current status of operational  
48 excellence methodologies in the logistics industry in a global context. The next part describes the  
49 benefits, challenges, and success factors in the use of operational excellence methodologies in  
50 Logistics companies, followed by tools and techniques used for the improvement of logistics  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 services. Lastly, the final section explains the integration of innovative technologies with  
4 operational excellence methodologies to improve logistics performance. Table 2 summarises the  
5 KPIs used by Logistics companies worldwide, the benefits, challenges and success factors of  
6 operational excellence methodologies implementation in logistics sector.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

#### 13 ***4.1 The status of operational excellence methodologies in the logistics industry in a global*** 14 ***context*** 15 16

17  
18 The following presents the status of the applications of operations excellence methodologies in  
19 the logistics sector.  
20  
21  
22

##### 23 *(1) The number of operational excellence projects implemented per year*

24  
25  
26

27 Most participants reported that small projects (quick win & easy to implement) such as Lean and  
28 Kaizen projects have been executed out of approximately 20-70 projects per year. Middle and  
29 high-level projects such as Lean Six Sigma projects (with cost savings of more than 5,000 USD  
30 per year) have been executed through 10-20 projects per year. What emerges from the results  
31 reported here is that the logistic company in Thailand which has an operation excellence  
32 manager who is fully responsible to lead and support operational excellence initiatives could  
33 implement Six Sigma projects more successfully than the remaining companies.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

##### 43 *(2) The Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) used by Logistics companies*

44  
45

46 The followings are the typical KPIs used in Logistics companies worldwide when implementing  
47 operational excellence methodologies which significantly focus on time, cost, quality and  
48 accuracy:  
49  
50

51  
52  
53 -receiving accuracy and on time;  
54

55 -picking /packing accuracy and on time;  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- delivery accuracy and on time;
- inventory accuracy;
- defect & customer complaints
- customer satisfaction;
- truck utilization;
- cost and time saving; and
- productivity

Interestingly, all participants stated that cost saving is a primary KPI when implementing operational excellence projects. As one of the participants said:

*“In terms of organization, most projects must generate savings and we always considered how much money this project can make (P11).”*

### *(3) The number of operational excellence methodologies training programmes*

The training in operational excellence methodologies is divided into two levels: (1) supervisor and manager and (2) operatives. The results showed that about 5-10% of supervisors, assistant managers, and managers have been trained as Lean Six Sigma Yellow Belts in the organizations. Lean Six Sigma Green Belt (LSGB) is the upper level for management which has around 15 LSGB in the organization. Lean Six Sigma Black Belt (LSBB) is the advanced level and specializes in the operations excellence team who can lead and consult for the improvement project which has approximately five LSBBs in the organization. For LSS belts training is mandatory for supervisor and manager level due to a company's policy and is optional for support functions. Employees at the operative level receive at least the basic Lean methodology and training in its tools.

### *(4) Areas of implementation*

Operational excellence methodologies have been mostly applied in warehouse and transportation processes. Key activities of warehouses operation include receiving, putting away, storage, picking, sorting, and packing (Chen *et al.*, 2013). The results showed that palletizing and pallet loading/unloading were the main activities that have been improved by the use of Lean and Six Sigma tools and techniques. Moreover, the most commonly used tools such as values stream mapping have been applied to reduce transportation planning time which includes the activities that need to be performed before shipping goods. Participants explained about the areas of operational excellence methodologies implementation as follows:

*“We implemented operational excellence methodologies to improve productivity in terms of reducing the time for planning the vehicle before shipping, for example, the process in which we gathered information before planning the vehicle. Before using operational excellence, there were wastes in the transport planning process; we then redesigned the process and used technology and different tools to reduce such wastes such as manual work (P9).”*

In addition, the project team also executed the DMAIC methodology to reduce the incorrect shipment document (e.g. Bill of Lading, BOL). Participants stated:

*“We applied the DMAIC methodology to solve the existing problem in logistics operations which can reduce h as incorrect shipping documents (P3 and P5).”*

#### **4.2 Benefits**

The operational excellence methodologies including Kaizen, Lean, Six Sigma, Lean Six Sigma, and Agile have been applied to improve Logistics operations, especially in warehousing and transportation. The key benefits accrued from the application of such methodologies identified by the participants included:

- (1) cost savings of more than 5,000 USD per year;
- (2) increased customer and employee satisfaction;



1  
2  
3 (3) increased productivity, for example the number of pallets picking increased from 10 to  
4  
5 12 per hour;

6  
7  
8 (4) reduced waste;

9  
10 (5) improved cycle time;

11  
12 (6) improved delivery performance;

13  
14 (7) reduced defects such as incorrect shipping documents

15  
16 (8) supply chain and warehouse optimization  
17  
18

### 19 ***4.3 Challenges in the use of operation excellence methodologies in Logistics***

20  
21  
22 The main challenges that the project team encountered when implementing operation excellence  
23 methodologies in logistics operations were a lack of employee involvement and a lack of  
24 training. These challenges are explained as follows.  
25  
26  
27

#### 28 *(1) Lack of employee's involvement*

29  
30  
31  
32 All participants mentioned that the key challenge of applying operational excellence  
33 methodologies in logistics operations was a lack of employee involvement in the project. The  
34 middle managers who are responsible for leading, supporting, and implementing the operation  
35 excellence initiative encountered the difficulty of convincing employees to become involved in  
36 the implementation of such methodologies. The following described some participants' feelings.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44  
45 *"To convince people to be involved in the project was difficult (P4, P13 and P1)."*

46  
47  
48 *"It was difficult to approach employees to be involved in the projects; some of them have been working for  
49 a long time and they did not want to change (P3 and P15)."*  
50

#### 51 *(2) Lack of training*

52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Another reported challenge encountered by the project team was lack of training. Not all of the  
4 project team members had received training for the company that had no operational excellence  
5 department. As a result, some of the project team members lacked understanding of operational  
6 excellence methodologies and its tools and techniques and lacked awareness of how such  
7 methodologies could improve their work. One participant argued that time management for  
8 training and implementation in each project was also a barrier when applying operational  
9 excellence methodologies. Besides lack of training, participants further identified that designing  
10 training for employees at each level was also difficult. participant suggested:  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 *“It was difficult to design the operational excellence training to suit the employees at each level and we did*  
23 *not know what level the employees should be trained (P4).”*  
24  
25

#### 26 **4.4 Success Factor**

27  
28  
29 Two main themes emerged as important factors leading to the success of operational  
30 excellence methodologies implementation which included: (1) top management support and  
31 involvement and (2) project sponsor. Each theme is explained as follows.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

##### 37 (1) Top management support and involvement

38  
39  
40 All participants reported that top management support and involvement in improvement  
41 activities is a key factor leading to the success of the operational excellence project. The top  
42 management's perspective on implementing operational excellence methodologies and driving  
43 it to be the culture of the organization can make the project more successful. The participants  
44 mentioned several points regarding the support from top management as follows:  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3           *“The most important thing is the top management's perspective on implementing the operational excellence*  
4 *project. If they give importance to this matter and can drive it to be the culture of the organization, it will be*  
5 *possible for the project to succeed (P6 and P11).”*  
6  
7

8           *“The top management not only identify the policy, but are also involved with the employee to support the*  
9 *implementation of operational excellence projects (P9).”*  
10  
11

12           There were some suggestions that top management needs to visit the site and see the  
13 improvement activities such as Kaizen to encourage the staff to continue implementing  
14 operational excellence methodologies. One participant suggested that there should be a  
15 particular department to drive companies' policy from top management level to down level.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

## 22           (2) Project sponsor

23  
24           A project sponsor is another factor that facilitated the implementation of operational excellence  
25 methodologies and contributed to the project's success. The project sponsor is a leader in the  
26 organization working with the Black Belt or Green Belt to define the scope, objective, and  
27 deliver the project. The role of the project sponsor is to ensure that resources are available for the  
28 project members and create buy-in from senior management (Keller, 2001). One of the  
29 participants described this:  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40           *“We should have a project sponsor who supports the project and can see the value of the*  
41 *projec (P3 and P7).”*  
42  
43

44           *“The project team must prepare information such as ROI if implementing operational*  
45 *excellence for the project sponsor to accept the project (P3).”*  
46  
47  
48

## 49           **4.5 Tools and Technique**

50  
51  
52           Table 2 presents tools and techniques of operational excellence methodologies used to improve  
53 logistics services. The most popular tools and techniques used by the project team included value  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

stream mapping, cause and effect diagram, brainstorming, and waste analysis. The results highlight that the tools and techniques used to improve logistics operations are non-statistical tools. Moreover, Lean and Six Sigma methodology were also applied by the project team.

**Table 2** Tools and techniques used to improve Logistics operations

Most used tools and techniques	Least used tools and techniques
Value Stream Mapping (VSM) and Value Analysis	Control Chart
7+1 form of waste analysis	5S
Cause and Effect Diagram	Why Why analysis
Process redesign/reengineering	Workload balancing
Brainstorming	Poka-Yoke
Voice of the Customer (VOC)	Nominal Group Technique (NGT)
Root Cause Analysis (RCA)	SIPOC
Process Mapping	Pareto Chart
	New 7 QC tools
	ECRS
	7 Ways design
	Critical to Quality (CTQ)

Six Sigma methodology was also applied by the project team through the five phases of DMAIC which were used as a structured framework to improve logistics operations. The project team followed these phases to eliminate the root causes of the problems existing in the logistics process. Several tools and techniques from Lean and Six Sigma toolboxes have been applied in each phase of DMAIC methodology (Table 3). In the define phase, SIPOC was used to identify the scope of the project so that all the team members can understand a detailed overview of the

process. VOC was also used in this phase to understand customers' requirements and expectations of logistics services such as delivering at the right time and right place. In the measure phase, critical to quality and data collection plan were used to measure a service characteristic which was linked to the customer need as gathered from the voice of the customer (VOC) data collection (Antony *et al.*, 2019). The next phase is the improve phase which aims to identify the root causes of the problems. The popular tools used in this phase included VSM, brainstorming, cause and effect analysis, and Why-Why analysis. To implement potential solutions for addressing root causes, poka-yoke, 5S and RPA were mostly used by the project team. Finally, a control chart was used in the control phase to sustain the process performance.

**Table 3** tools and techniques applied in each phase of DMAIC methodology

Six Sigma methodology phases	Common tools and techniques
Define	SIPOC VOC
Measure	Critical to Quality
Analyze	Value stream mapping Brainstorming Cause and effect analysis Why-Why analysis
Improve	Poka-Yoke 5S
Control	Control Chart

#### ***4.6 Innovative technologies integrated with operational excellence methodologies***

The participants reported that after the process has been analyzed to identify and remove wastes mostly using VSM, the innovative technologies were further applied to support logistics operations to increase productivity, improve real time tracking, short lead time to customers, cost saving, and low cost per unit to compete with other logistics companies. These technologies that the participant

1  
2  
3 applied can be classified into 1) high technology implementation costs such as Automated  
4 Storage/Retrieval System (AS/RS), RFID, and Warehouse Management System (WMS) and 2)  
5  
6 low implementation costs such as Robotics Process Automation (RPA). Moreover, other Industry  
7  
8 4.0 technologies such as Internet of Things (IOT), Big Data, and Data analytics, have been adopted  
9  
10 in logistics operations. One participant explained as follows:  
11  
12  
13

14  
15  
16 *“Industry 4.0 is quite dominantly used in the logistics segment. We can use data analytics to understand the*  
17 *behavior of logistics, predict the customers’ needs to balance demand and supply and IOT can improve the real time*  
18 *tracking (P6 and P13).”*  
19

20 The key results showed that for internal resources, the participants improved the physical  
21 flow match with information flow by adjusting the warehouse management system (WMS)  
22 function to support operation, developing spreadsheet tools to create a report, dashboard, and  
23 developing an application to eliminate the manual task. Robotics Process Automation (RPA) was  
24 also applied to improve processes, logistics operations and increase productivity accuracy. On the  
25 other hand, for external resources, the participants studied the opportunity of new technology from  
26 various suppliers to apply with current and new forthcoming operations in the future, test  
27 technology, and study cost and benefit by the business case.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

### 39 **5. Discussion and Implications**

40  
41  
42 The study has produced some interesting results. To answer the first research question, the study  
43 found that the project team mostly implemented small projects such as Lean and Kaizen projects  
44 in the transportation and warehouse processes. It can be suggested that the logistics company  
45 should encourage staff to get operational excellence methodology training especially LSS  
46 training to gain a better understanding of its toolkits. However, there is a lack of use of Agile and  
47 Leagile methods, especially in Asia, to improve logistics operations in Logistics companies.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 With respect to the second research question, it was found that the use of operational  
4 excellence methodologies in the logistics sector in a global context contributed to considerable  
5 benefits which included: 1) cost-saving; 2) increased customer satisfaction; 3) increased  
6 productivity; 4) reduced waste and defects; and 5) improved process time and delivery  
7 performance. The finding is in line with several studies such as Villarreal (2016), Shokri *et al.*  
8 (2014), Zhang *et al.* (2016), and Abushaikha *et al.* (2018) who implemented operational  
9 excellence methodologies to improve logistics operations, particularly in warehousing and  
10 transportation. Moreover, these results are consistent with Trakulsunti *et al.* (2022) who  
11 conducted a systematic review of the application of operational excellence methodologies in  
12 logistics which showed that the key benefits of applying such methodologies are reduced wastes  
13 and defects from logistics activities, reduced lead time and process time, increased customer  
14 satisfaction and cost savings. In contrast to earlier findings, some benefits such as an improved  
15 responsiveness to meet unexpected needs did not emerge from this study. This might be due to  
16 the fact that few companies have applied Agile to improve their logistics operations. The  
17 findings of this study show that the main challenges of applying operational excellence  
18 methodologies in logistics companies were a lack of employee involvement and lack of training.  
19 These challenges have also been reported by several authors such as Gutierrez-Gutierrez *et al.*  
20 (2016), Zhang *et al.* (2016), and Kuvvetli and Firuzan (2019) regarding the application of  
21 operational excellence methodology in logistics services in The Netherlands, Singapore, and  
22 Turkey. It seems that a lack of employee involvement and lack of training are the common  
23 barriers when implementing operational excellence methodologies not only in logistics but also  
24 in manufacturing, education, and public services. The critical factors that lead to the successful  
25 implementation of operational excellent methodologies in Logistics companies worldwide are  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 top management support and involvement and project sponsorship. These factors are in line with  
4 those of previous studies (Shokri *et al.*, 2014; Gutierrez-Gutierrez *et al.*, 2016).  
5  
6  
7

8 The third question in this research aimed to identify the tools and techniques of operational  
9 excellence methodologies that are commonly used to improve logistics services. The most  
10 obvious finding is that most of the tools used by the project team to improve logistics service are  
11 non-statistical such as value stream mapping, cause and effect diagram, and brainstorming.  
12 These results are in line with those of previous studies such as Gutierrez-Gutierrez *et al.*, (2016)  
13 and Kuvvetli and Firuzan (2019). It can be considered that advanced statistical tools such as  
14 ANOVA, regression analysis and hypothesis testing, have not been applied in this study possibly  
15 because of lack of training and guidelines. In contrast to earlier findings, however, Agile tools  
16 such as scrum and Kanban, have not emerged from this study which might be because of a lack  
17 of application of Agile in Logistics companies.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 The final research question was to identify the innovative technologies that have been  
34 integrated with operational excellence methodologies to improve logistics performance. The  
35 study found that technologies such as RFID, WMS, and RPA have been integrated with LSS  
36 tools to improve logistics performance and increase productivity accuracy. These results agree  
37 with Buer *et al.* (2018) who concluded that the integration between Lean and Industry 4.0  
38 contributes to an increase in productivity and a reduction in waste and costs. The study also  
39 implies that the project team member such as Green Belts and Black Belts needed to be trained  
40 to apply industry 4.0 technologies such as big data analytics and machine learning with LSS  
41 tools and techniques (Chiarini and Kumar, 2021).  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



## 6. Conclusion and Future Research Direction

This study has shown that operational excellence methodologies including Lean, Six Sigma, Lean Six Sigma, Agile and Leagile can apply in logistics companies to improve their operations, productivity and save costs. The most obvious finding to emerge from this study is that value stream mapping is an effective non-statistical tool that can be applied to improve the logistics activities process. The results of this study indicate that top management support and involvement plays an important role in the success of operational excellence project in logistics service. The finding will be of interest to top and middle managers and logistics practitioners owing to the dual aim of improving logistics performance and saving cost. This present study has been one of the first global study attempts to explore the implementation of operational excellence methodologies in Logistics sectors. The findings from this study make several contributions to the current literature. First, this study adds to a growing body of literature on the application of Six Sigma, Lean Six Sigma, and Agile in the Logistics sectors, with Lean having the highest rate of implementation compared with other operational excellence methodologies in the logistics industry (Zhang *et al.*, 2016; Trakulsunti *et al.*, 2022). Second, this research highlights the potential usefulness of operational excellence methodologies and its tools and techniques to improve logistics operations. Prior to this study, few empirical studies have explored the use of operational excellence methodologies in logistics and the integration of such methodologies with innovative technologies to improve logistics performance. Finally, the study will prove useful in expanding a new understanding of how Industry 4.0 technologies can be integrated with operational excellence methodologies to enhance the competitive advantages of

1  
2  
3 logistics companies. A limitation of this study is that the number of participants from some  
4 continent such as Africa was low (Antony, Lizarelli and Machado Fernandes, 2022). This might  
5 be because only a small number of companies in Africa are implementing operational excellence  
6 methodologies such as Six Sigma (Antony *et al.*, 2019). Notwithstanding the relatively limited  
7 sample, this work offers valuable insights into the application of operational excellence  
8 methodologies to improve the performance of logistics operations. Future research should be  
9 undertaken to compare the application of operational excellence methodologies between the  
10 continents. Moreover, future research needs to explore more regarding the application of Agile  
11 and Leagile in other key logistics activities.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

### 26 **Disclosure statement**

27 The authors report there are no competing interests to declare.  
28  
29  
30

### 31 **Data availability statement**

32 The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding  
33 author, [YT]. The data are not publicly available due to the privacy of research participants.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

### 49 **References**

50 Abhishek, P. G. and Pratap, M. (2020) 'Achieving Lean Warehousing Through Value Stream  
51 Mapping', *South Asian Journal of Business and Management Cases*. doi:  
52 10.1177/2277977920958551.  
53

54 Abushaikha, I., Salhieh, L. and Towers, N. (2018) 'Improving distribution and business  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 performance through lean warehousing', *International Journal of Retail and Distribution*  
4 *Management*, 46(8), pp. 780–800. doi: 10.1108/IJRDM-03-2018-0059.

6 Antony, J. (2006) 'Six sigma for service processes', *Business Process Management Journal*,  
7 12(2), pp. 234–248. doi: 10.1108/14637150610657558.

9 Antony, J. *et al.* (2019) 'An evaluation into the limitations and emerging trends of Six Sigma: an  
10 empirical study', *TQM Journal*, 31(2), pp. 205–221. doi: 10.1108/TQM-12-2018-0191.

12 Antony, J. *et al.* (2022) 'Benefits, challenges, critical success factors and motivations of Quality  
13 4.0—A qualitative global study', *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, pp. 1–20.  
14 doi: 10.1080/14783363.2022.2113737.

16 Antony, J., Lizarelli, L. F. and Machado Fernandes, M. (2022) 'A Global Study Into the Reasons  
17 for Lean Six Sigma Project Failures', in *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, pp.  
18 2399–2414.

20 Antony, J., Snee, R. D. and Hoerl, R. (2017) 'Lean Six Sigma: yesterday, today and tomorrow',  
21 *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 34(7). doi:  
22 10.1108/09574090910954864.

24 Antony, J. and Sony, M. (2019) 'An evaluation into the limitations and emerging trends of Six  
25 Sigma: an empirical study', *International Journal for Quality & Reliability Management*, 31(2),  
26 pp. 205–221. doi: 10.1108/TQM-12-2018-0191.

28 Baby, B., Prasanth, N. and Jebadurai, S. S. (2018) 'Implementation of lean principles to improve  
29 the operations of a sales warehouse in the manufacturing industry', *International Journal of*  
30 *Technology*, 9(1), pp. 46–54. doi: 10.14716/ijtech.v9i1.1161.

32 Banomyong, R., Veerakachen, V. and Supatn, N. (2008) 'Implementing leagility in reverse  
33 logistics channels', *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 11(1), pp. 31–  
34 47. doi: 10.1080/13675560701403651.

36 Bhat, S., Gijo, E. V. and Jnanesh, N. A. (2014) 'Application of Lean Six Sigma methodology in  
37 the registration process of a hospital', *International Journal of Productivity and Performance*  
38 *Management*, 63(5), pp. 613–643. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-2015-0216>.

40 Buer, S. V., Strandhagen, J.O. and Chan, F.T.S. (2018). 'The Link between Industry 4.0 and  
41 Lean Manufacturing: mapping Current Research and Establishing a Research Agenda',  
42 *International Journal of Production Research*, 56 (8), pp. 2924–2940.  
43 doi:10.1080/00207543.2018.1442945.

45 Chen, J. C. *et al.* (2013) 'Warehouse management with lean and RFID application: A case  
46 study', *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 69(1–4), pp. 531–542.  
47 doi: 10.1007/s00170-013-5016-8.

49 Chiarini, A. and Kumar, M. (2021) 'Lean Six Sigma and Industry 4.0 integration for Operational  
50 Excellence: evidence from Italian manufacturing companies', *Production Planning and Control*.  
51 Taylor & Francis, 32(13), pp. 1084–1101. doi: 10.1080/09537287.2020.1784485.

53 Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management*. Pearson Uk.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Collis, J. and Hussey, R. (2014) *Business research : A practical guide for undergraduate and*  
4 *postgraduate students*. London: Palgrave.

5  
6 Garza-Reyes, J. A. *et al.* (2016) 'Lean and green in the transport and logistics sector – a case  
7 study of simultaneous deployment', *Production Planning and Control*, 27(15), pp. 1221–1232.  
8 doi: 10.1080/09537287.2016.1197436.

9  
10 Garza-Reyes, J. A. *et al.* (2018) 'Lean manufacturing adoption in the transport and logistics  
11 sector of Thailand - An exploratory study', *Proceedings of the International Conference on*  
12 *Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, 2018-March(Lm), pp. 104–115.

13  
14 Ghiani, G., Laporte, G., & Musmanno, R. (2013). *Introduction to logistics systems management*.  
15 John Wiley & Sons.

16  
17 Gutierrez-Gutierrez, L., de Leeuw, S. and Dubbers, R. (2016) 'Logistics services and Lean Six  
18 Sigma implementation: a case study', *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 7(3), pp. 324–  
19 342. doi: 10.1108/IJLSS-05-2015-0019.

20  
21 Keller, P. A. (2001) 'Recent trends in six sigma', in *ASQ World Conference on Quality and*  
22 *Improvement Proceedings*.

23  
24 Koshy, E., Koshy, V. and Waterman, H. (2011) 'Analysing data and generating evidence', in  
25 *Action research in healthcare*, pp. 381–393. doi: 10.4135/9781848607934.n33.

26  
27 Kuvvetli, Ü. and Firuzan, A. R. (2019) 'Applying Six Sigma in urban public transportation to  
28 reduce traffic accidents involving municipality buses', *Total Quality Management and Business*  
29 *Excellence*, 30(1–2), pp. 82–107. doi: 10.1080/14783363.2017.1297198.

30  
31 Lagorio, A. *et al.* (2020) 'A systematic literature review of innovative technologies adopted in  
32 logistics management', *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*. Taylor &  
33 Francis, 0(0), pp. 1–24. doi: 10.1080/13675567.2020.1850661.

34  
35 Lameijer, B. A. *et al.* (2016) 'Perceptions of lean six sigma: A multiple case study in the  
36 financial services industry', *Quality Management Journal*, 23(2), pp. 29–56. doi:  
37 10.1080/10686967.2016.11918470.

38  
39 McDermott, O., Antony, J. and Douglas, J. (2021) 'Exploring the use of operational excellence  
40 methodologies in the era of COVID-19: perspectives from leading academics and practitioners',  
41 *TQM Journal*, (March). doi: 10.1108/TQM-01-2021-0016.

42  
43 Nabhani, F. and Shokri, A. (2009) 'Reducing the delivery lead time in a food distribution SME  
44 through the implementation of six sigma methodology', *Journal of Manufacturing Technology*  
45 *Management*, 20(7), pp. 957–974.

46  
47 Nilvarangkul, K. *et al.* (2016) 'Developing primary care occupational health services for  
48 informal sector workers in Thailand', *Action Research*, 14(2), pp. 113–131. doi:  
49 10.1177/1476750315574351.

50  
51 O' Gorman, K. and MacIntosh, R. (2015) *Research Methods for Business & Management: A*  
52 *guide to writing your dissertation*. Oxford: Goodfellow Publisher Limited.

53  
54 Oey, E. and Nofrimurti, M. (2018) 'Lean implementation in traditional distributor warehouse - A  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 case study in an FMCG company in Indonesia', *International Journal of Process Management*  
4 *and Benchmarking*, 8(1), pp. 1–15. doi: 10.1504/IJPMB.2018.088654.

5  
6 Pereira, C. M. *et al.* (2021) 'Evaluation of lean practices in warehouses: an analysis of Brazilian  
7 reality', *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 70(1), pp. 1–20.  
8 doi: 10.1108/IJPPM-01-2019-0034.

9  
10 Psychogios, A. G., Atanasovski, J. and Tsironis, L. K. (2012) 'Lean Six Sigma in a service  
11 context: A multi-factor application approach in the telecommunications industry', *International*  
12 *Journal for Quality & Reliability Management*, 29(1), pp. 122–139. doi:  
13 10.1108/09574090910954864.

14  
15 Reis, A., Stender, G. and Maruyama, U. (2017) 'Internal logistics management : Brazilian  
16 warehouse best practices based on lean methodology', *International Journal of logistics and*,  
17 26(3), pp. 329–345.

18  
19 Salah, S., Rahim, A. and Carretero, J. A. (2010) 'The integration of Six Sigma and lean  
20 management', *Internation Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 1(3), pp. 249–274. doi:  
21 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-2015-0216>.

22  
23 Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2016) *for business students fi fth edition*.

24  
25 Sharma, S. and Shah, B. (2016), "Towards lean warehouse: transformation and assessment using  
26 RTD and ANP", *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, Vol. 65  
27 No. 4, pp. 571-599.

28  
29 Shokri, A., Oglethorpe, D. and Nabhani, F. (2014) 'Evaluating Six Sigma methodology to  
30 improve logistical measures of food distribution SMEs', *Journal of Manufacturing Technology*  
31 *Management*, 25(7), pp. 998–1027. doi: 10.1108/JMTM-12-2012-0114.

32  
33 Sternberg, H. *et al.* (2013) 'Applying a lean approach to identify waste in motor carrier  
34 operations', *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 62(1), pp. 47–  
35 65. doi: 10.1108/17410401311285291.

36  
37 Stock, J. R., & Lambert, D. M. (2001). *Strategic logistics management*. McGraw-Hill  
38 International Editions

39  
40 Trakulsunti, Y. *et al.* (2022) 'The application of operational excellence methodologies in  
41 logistics : a systematic review and directions for future research', *Total Quality Management*,  
42 (May), pp. 1–20. doi: 10.1080/14783363.2022.2071695.

43  
44 Trakulsunti, Y., Antony, J. and Douglas, J. A. (2021) 'Lean Six Sigma implementation and  
45 sustainability roadmap for reducing medication errors in hospitals', *TQM Journal*, 33(1), pp. 33–  
46 55. doi: 10.1108/TQM-03-2020-0063.

47  
48 Villarreal, B. *et al.* (2016) 'Improving road transport operations through lean thinking : a case  
49 study', *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 5567(May). doi:  
50 10.1080/13675567.2016.1170773.

51  
52 Villarreal, B., Garza-reyes, J. A. and Kumar, V. (2016) 'Lean road transportation – a systematic  
53 method for the improvement of road transport operations', *Production Planning & Control*,  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 7287(March). doi: 10.1080/09537287.2016.1152405.  
4

5 Wei, C. C. *et al.* (2010) 'Using Six Sigma to improve replenishment process in a direct selling  
6 company', *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 15(1), pp. 3–9. doi:  
7 10.1108/13598541011018076.  
8

9 Womack, J. P. and Jones, D. T. (2003). *Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your*  
10 *corporation*. Simon and Schuster.  
11

12 Womack, J. P., Jones, D. T., & Roos, D. (2007). *The machine that changed the world: The story*  
13 *of lean production--Toyota's secret weapon in the global car wars that is now revolutionizing*  
14 *world industry*. Simon and Schuster.  
15

16 Yadav, G. and Desai, T. N. (2016) 'Lean Six Sigma: a categorized review of the literature',  
17 *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 7(1), pp. 2–24. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-2015-0216)  
18 [2015-0216](http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-2015-0216).  
19

20 Zhang, A. *et al.* (2016) 'Lean and Six Sigma in logistics: a pilot survey study in Singapore',  
21 *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 36(11), pp. 1625–1643. doi:  
22 10.1108/IJOPM-02-2015-0093.  
23

24 Zielske, M. and Held, T. (2020) 'The Use of Agile Methods in Logistics Start-ups: An  
25 Explorative Multiple Case Study', *International Journal of Innovation and Technology*  
26 *Management*, 17(6), p. 2050042.  
27

28 Zielske, M. and Held, T. (2021) 'Application of agile methods in traditional logistics companies  
29 and logistics startups: Results from a German Delphi Study', *Journal of Systems and Software*.  
30 Elsevier Inc., 177, p. 110950. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2021.110950.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Reviewers' comments/suggestions	Authors' responses/changes made
Reviewer 2	
1. In the research methodology section why 16 sample size was chosen, what was the rationale. Besides, what was the rationale to choose academic and nonacademic samples.	The authors have clarified these points in the research methodology section. (paragraph 1)
2. How was the data analyzed? Were there multiple raters? What was the interrater reliability? How did authors account for authors bias in qualitative study?	The authors have explained these points in the data analysis part.
3. This study has a lot of practical applications and hence I suggest a section on managerial implications should be added.	The authors have added a section on managerial implications in the discussion and implications section (paragraph 5).

The TQM Journal

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60