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**Artificial Intelligence as an Enabler of Quick and Effective Production Repurposing** **Manufacturing: An Exploratory Review and Future Research Propositions**

**Abstract**

The outbreak of Covid-19 created disruptions in manufacturing operations. One of the most serious negative impacts is the shortage of critical medical supplies. Manufacturing firms faced pressure from governments to use their manufacturing capacity to repurpose their production for meeting the critical demand for necessary products. For this purpose, recent advancements in technology and artificial intelligence (AI) could act as response solutions to conquer the threats linked with repurposing manufacturing (RM). The study’s purpose is to investigate the significance of AI in RM through a systematic literature review (SLR). This study gathered around 453 articles from the SCOPUS database in the selected research field. Structural Topic Modeling (STM) was utilized to generate emerging research themes from the selected documents on AI in RM. In addition, to study the research trends in the field of AI in RM, a bibliometric analysis was undertaken using the R-package. The findings of the study showed that there is a vast scope for research in this area as the yearly global production of articles in this field is limited. However, it is an evolving field and many research collaborations were identified. The study proposes a comprehensive research framework and propositions for future research development.

***Keywords:*** Repurposing manufacturing; Artificial intelligence; Flexible; Structural topic modelling; Adaptable and reconfigurable manufacturing; Text mining; Bibliometric.

1. **Introduction**

The unpredictable spread of the coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic has greatly affected the operations and businesses of global economies. Scholars and consulting firms have investigated the impact of the Covid-19 emergency on the manufacturing industry, mainly machinery, electronics, and automobiles got significantly affected. Also, the supply chain interruption was caused due to required quarantine measures and its negative impact on countries dependent on other countries which have their regional industry chain centers (Cai and Luo, 2020). On February 27, 2020, it was reported by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) that a Covid-19 emergency would affect the supply chain of medical goods with the potential disruptions to shortages or supply of crucial medical supplies in the US (FDA, 2020). However, large-scale disruptions are also faced by supply chains and manufacturing operations because of political risks and natural disasters (Okorie et al., 2020). Therefore, tackling sudden disruptions or risks associated with manufacturing during or after pandemics is very crucial.

In this regard, the collaborations between companies in the form of evolution and ecosystem formation to develop and produce innovations, for instance, ventilators can be seen for Covid-19 related repurposing (Liu et al., 2021a). These companies not only repurposed their manufacturing but created or employed innovations (Rapaccini et al., 2020), and also collaborated with other companies they might not work with previously for doing so (Chesbrough, 2020). Due to the pandemic, firms' repurposing has been enabled by using specialized manufacturing capabilities to produce particular units with specific requirements within a period (Liu et al., 2021a). It has been reported that to tackle the worldwide scarcity of Covid-19 critical items, repurposing is a strategy that can use existing manufacturing capacity to save lives (López-Gómez et al., 2020; Pansare and Yadav, 2022). Repurposing manufacturing refers to a “methodology employed by manufacturers to swiftly shift to a new process of products” (Poduval et al., 2022). To provide critical public and medical materials and equipment amid the Covid-19 pandemic, several manufacturing companies have come forward (Jain et al., 2020) and successfully implemented repurposing in their existing plants (Poduval et al., 2022). Repurposing is a rapid response solution to address the global shortage of COVID-19 critical items (López-Gómez et al., 2020).

Also, the need for regionalized, cost-effective, and rapid production has surfaced because of the critical time scale linked with the Covid-19 emergency (Shokrani et al., 2020). For this, mobilization of a diverse workforce was made through existing networks among research facilities, medical institutions, and independent manufacturers. It involved the technology development to make use of a single ventilator for two patients and repurposing gear of scuba diving for personal protective equipment (PPE) (Shokrani et al., 2020; Pooler et al., 2020). The transition of a business model from a product-centric to a service-centric provides benefits to manufacturing firms to face disruptions and achieve stability (Kowalkowski et al., 2012). However, in terms of detrimental economic impact, the manufacturing sector is among the most severely affected sectors despite the availability of other options like collaborating or transitioning business models (Okorie et al., 2020).

Sell et al. (2021) reported that manufacturers are not completely prepared to confront novel threats and experts doubt that manufacturers could adequately deal with the catastrophic pandemic by scaling up a novel vaccine according to the timeline. Hence, several approaches were suggested for policymakers to adopt for expanding vaccine supplies during a pandemic such as stockpiling vaccines, reserving excess manufacturing capability, financing the assembling of new production capacity, and repurposing existing manufacturing services (Sell et al., 2021). In this regard, Okorie et al. (2020) observed that several manufacturing firms had adopted the repurposing approach during the pandemic by including several target products such as examination gloves, hand sanitisers, eye protection glasses, clinical care equipment, face shields, surgical masks, and medical PPE. Specifically, this transition of a manufacturing system to respond rapidly to sudden disruptions or changes in situations without excessive cost, effort, time, or reducing performance capability can be referred to as the flexibility of the system (Beach et al., 2000). Furthermore, when companies operate in turbulent conditions, achieving flexibility could be accomplished using digital technologies like 3D printing (Rong et al., 2020), robotics (Makris, 2021), Industry 4.0 (Hermann et al., 2016), information and communication technologies (ICT) (Jackson et al., 2016), artificial intelligence (Levin et al., 2020).

Digital technologies can assist companies to adjust production processes according to the high-demand products by using modelling tools to re-design manufacturing lines (PLU, 2020). A study proved that manufacturing firms having a high level of digitization exhibit higher adaptability and resilience in comparison to firms with lower digital adoption (Okorie et al., 2020). Also, resilient supply chains are less vulnerable to disruptions and can also handle any vulnerabilities that trigger problems (Ekanayake et al., 2021). There have been several studies published so far that examine the connection between advanced technologies and certain performance enhancements that may be attained through their implementation (Chiarini et al., 2020). Industry 4.0's cutting-edge digital technologies are being considered and adopted by manufacturing companies as a potential means of reducing the shock of pandemics by boosting the robustness and agility of the production function (Queiroz et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2020). During the COVID-19 pandemic, Behl et al. (2022) showed the power of using AI and BD to build production resilience and gain a competitive edge. According to Kumar et al. (2020), digital manufacturing with AI capabilities may be the most effective way to manufacture items during the pandemic. By adopting AI and IoT to improve the predictability and availability of production systems, Wipro (2020) revealed that businesses are seeing a 7 percent increase in income. On the other hand, Myant, a textile computing company based in Canada, utilized AI in repurposing its manufacturing operations. Myant leveraged AI algorithms and machine learning to reconfigure their production lines and manufacture face masks and medical gowns during the pandemic. They used computer vision systems to monitor and optimize the production process, ensuring compliance with quality standards and efficient utilization of materials (Myant, 2020). Therefore, technologies and digitization not only enable decentralized production but also improve the flexibility of the firms to quickly transition between product lines (Sell et al., 2021). Hence, it is necessary to shift from traditional repurposing by employing artificial intelligence-based technologies (Ho, 2020). According to Lu (2019), artificial intelligence is “a multidisciplinary technology, one with the capability of integrating cognition, machine learning, emotion recognition, human-computer interaction, data storage, and decision-making.” In the context of the Industry 4.0 paradigm, AI is being regarded as one of the key technologies to achieve the capabilities of self-optimization, self-awareness and self-monitoring and to disruptively redefine the way manufacturing processes and business models are structured (Peres et al., 2020). Coupled with the ability to comprehend high dimensional data, AI provides the ability to transform large amounts of complex manufacturing data, which has become commonplace in today’s factories, into actionable and insightful information (Arinez et al., 2020). The adoption of AI techniques has helped to enhance automation and provide better competitive advantages as compared to conventional approaches (Chien et al., 2020). AI is currently revolutionising industries such as manufacturing, retail, and telecommunications. The subfields of AI such as machine learning, natural language processing, robotics, computer vision, optimisation, automated planning and scheduling (Rao et al., 2022), have been applied to tackle complex problems and support decision-making for real-world problems. For instance, in the manufacturing industry, the advent of the fourth industrial revolution, commonly known as Industry 4.0 is geared towards automation, data-driven technologies and the application of advanced AI techniques (Yao et al., 2017). Around the world, the development of artificial intelligence has become a critical growth strategy to maintain security and enhance national competitiveness in countries (Rajkomar et al., 2018). Many industrial sectors are set to be revolutionized as a result of such advancements in techniques to deal with massive amounts of data, whereas it is also the dynamic force behind the creation of smart factories, in which everything is done intelligently and automatically throughout each cycle of the production process (Kim et al., 2021). Various AI applications may help to prevent the negative impact of the Covid-19 pandemic by identifying the virus, diagnosing and repositioning, or repurposing the drugs (Khan et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2020).

Numerous studies indicate that manufacturing is a crucial aspect of pandemic management. This industrial issue encompasses the mass manufacture of medical devices and other items classified by the WHO as personal protective equipment (PPE) (Prather et al., 2020; Kis et al., 2020). Other studies (Linton and Vakil, 2020; Ivanov and Dolgui, 2020) look at manufacturing from the standpoint of supply chain hazards and resilience. Manufacturing clearly has a crucial role to play in controlling the pandemic (Okorie et al., 2020). Regarding repurposing manufacturing during the sudden chain in the production system, it may be convenient to understand whether AI can contribute to the rapid recovery of systems and the potential role that it may play in this. Therefore, this study was conducted to provide an understanding of previous literature conducted to examine the role of AI in repurposing manufacturing and its related themes. A systematic literature review is presented by gathering and thoroughly discussing the articles related to AI and repurposing manufacturing. To collect the relevant articles, the SCOPUS database was used by appropriate utilization of related keywords to the selected field of research. In total, 437 article papers and 16 review papers were included to execute a systematic review after excluding other types of papers such as conference papers, reports, and non-English papers. The research was conducted to provide answers to the following questions:

*RQ1:* What are the different AI techniques used for solving repurposing problems in the manufacturing sector?

*RQ2:* What is the growth and development of the research in the field of AI and repurposing manufacturing?

*RQ3:* What could be the future research directions based on the existing literature for employing AI applications for manufacturing repurposing?

Furthermore, there is a dearth of literature that provides a systematic review of literature in the field of AI in RM. This may be the case because it is an emerging topic that may offer a huge potential to be worth exploring. The methodology of a systematic literature review is presented in section 2, which is used to generate articles from the SCOPUS database for the current study. The generated articles revealed that there were only seven review papers conducted in this field of study. Table 1 provides an overview of the review papers published between the period 2013-2023 in the selected field of study. It shows that only one study provided a bibliometric analysis, conducted by Ivanov et al., (2021). Their study, however, was limited to industry 4.0 in operations management and included 191 articles. The other reviewed papers presented in Table 1 are based on a narrative review in different research areas like flexible manufacturing systems, reconfigurable manufacturing systems, IT-based production, and scheduling problems. They provided scope for this study to build its basis on repurposing manufacturing during the pandemic. Therefore, the current study will attempt to fill this major research gap by conducting a systematic literature review in the field of AI in RM.

**Table 1.** Overview of the previous review articles in the related field of study

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Authors** | **Article type** | **Year** | **Area** | **Type of review** | **Objectives** |
| Tripathi et al. (2023) | Review | 2023 | Lean, green, and smart manufacturing | Systematic Literature review | Develop a framework to enhance the operational excellence and sustainability of shop floor organization in an industry 4.0 environment |
| Touckia (2023) | Review | 2023 | Reconfigurable manufacturing system | Systematic Literature review | Integration of digital twin concepts into reconfigurable manufacturing system |
| Qin et al. (2022) | Review | 2022 | Machine learning in additive manufacturing | State-of-the-art review with bibliometric study | Aimed to analyse the applications of machine learning in additive manufacturing  |
| Khorasani et al. (2022) | Review | 2022 | Industry 4.0 and additive manufacturing | A narrative review and research article | Examine the collaboration between Industry 4.0 and additive manufacturing (AM) while exploring the incorporation of data-driven manufacturing systems and product service systems as essential elements in the Industry 4.0 revolution. |
| Razak et al. (2021) | Review | 2021 | Supply chain traceability and supply chain Resilience | State-of-the-art review | To examine the relationship between supply chain traceability and supply chain resilience through potential industry 4.0 solutions. |
| Morgan et al. (2021) | Review | 2021 | Reconfigurable manufacturing system | State-of-the-art review | To examine the application of industry 4.0 manufacturing machines to enable smart and reconfigurable manufacturing systems. |
| Ivanov et al. (2021) | Review | 2021 | Operations management | Bibliometric study | To identify the current state of knowledge regarding the application of industry 4.0 technologies in operation management. |
| Fatorachian and Kazemi (2021) | Review | 2021 | Supply chain performance | Systematic Literature review | To examine the impact of Industry 4.0 on supply chain performance and resilience. |
| Kunovjanek et al. (2020) | Review | 2020 | Additive manufacturing | Systematic Literature review | To identify the impact, benefits, and challenges of additive manufacturing on the supply chain. |
| Yadav and Jayswal (2018) | Review | 2018 | Flexible Manufacturing System | Narrative review | To review various modeling techniques used in the flexible manufacturing system. |
| Li et al. (2015) | Review | 2015 | Scheduling Problem | A narrative review and research article | To review the scheduling problem in a flowline manufacturing cell and proposed a hybrid harmony search mathematical model. |
| Renzi et al. (2014) | Review | 2014 | Reconfigurable manufacturing system | State-of-the-art review | Explore the role of optimization in the design of cellular reconfigurable manufacturing systems by focusing on meta-heuristics and artificial intelligence methods. |

The current study is organized in the following pattern. First, the study provides a systematic literature review and text mining technique, named structural topic modeling, which was adopted to produce the topics. To explore and examine the research developments, a bibliometric analysis was employed. The generated thematic topics were then analyzed through a thorough review of the literature and the discussion of these topics was conducted along with providing future research propositions. Finally, discussion, implications, and conclusions are presented.

1. **Systematic literature review**

According to Xiao and Watson (2019), for academic research, the literature review is a crucial aspect that facilitates the advancement of knowledge based on existing prior research. Also, to develop an understanding of any field of research, it is crucial to know where the depth and breadth of the existing knowledge are, which can be done by the review of relevant literature (Ding et al., 2021). In addition, a review of the literature should contribute to the literature along with addressing the subject matter by encompassing a dual approach of presenting a scholarly critique of theory and combining the available material (Kekäle et al., 2009; Okoli and Schabram, 2010). Systematic literature review (SLR), according to Fink (2005) is described as “a systematic, explicit, comprehensive, and reproducible method for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing the existing body of completed and recorded work produced by researchers, scholars, and practitioners”. Systematic literature review corresponds to extracting literature with well-defined research questions, and the process of searching, extracting and presenting data (Kitchenham et al., 2009).

The current study follows the approach of SLR to achieve a thorough understanding of the selected field concerning AI in repurposing manufacturing. Prior research was investigated and discussed thoroughly after employing a comprehensive and detailed methodological approach, which is crucial to performing any form of literature review (Okoli and Schabram, 2010). The current study followed the SLR methodology as conducted by Agrawal et al. (2021). In this context, the methodological approach used in this study includes collecting relevant published articles related to the selected research area from the SCOPUS database, which is one of the largest databases with a significant and large number of peer-reviewed articles. The collection of articles for the systematic literature review was done by defining appropriate keywords and using those to search for study-related articles (Vinodh et al., 2020). On the other hand, various concepts like flexible manufacturing, adaptive manufacturing, reconfigurable manufacturing, etc. were used in the search terms as the concept is similar to repurposing manufacturing. For instance, according to Urtasun-Alonso et al. (2014), flexible manufacturing refers to “how organisations adapt their internal manufacturing-related processes and products to the uncertainties they face.” Also, Peng and Mcfarlane (2004) refer to the concept of adaptive manufacturing as “a manufacturing control system that is able to adapt its behaviour and/or its governing strategy in the face of changing environmental conditions.” Hence, after reviewing the literature and with experts’ opinions, the authors added these definitions to the search string to identify relevant articles and conduct the systematic literature review. In this study, several researchers were involved in the selection and exclusion of articles to subjugate individual bias (Tranfield et al., 2003). Table 2 shows the complimentary and equivalent concepts used for analysing the studies in the field of repurposing manufacturing.

**Table 2.** Important related concepts

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Concept** | **Definition** | **Source** |
| Repurposing manufacturing | “Methodology employed by manufacturers to swiftly shift to a new process of products”  | Poduval et al., 2022 |
| Flexible manufacturing | “To reconfigure manufacturing resources to produce efficiently different products of acceptable quality” | Sethi and Sethi, 1990 |
| Adaptive manufacturing | “The transferability of a process is its innate, host‐independent ability to be adapted (where necessary), transmitted and assimilated, within a reasonable time and resource constraints” | Grant and Gregory, 1997 |
| Reconfigurable manufacturing | “Designed at the outset for rapid change in structure, as well as in hardware and software components to quickly adjust production capacity and functionality within a part family in response to sudden changes in the market or in regulatory requirements” | Koren et al., 1999 |
| Agile manufacturing | “The capability of surviving and prospering in a competitive environment of continuous and unpredictable change by reacting quickly and effectively to changing markets, driven by customer-designed products and services” | Cho et al., 1996 |

The four-stage review approach was employed in this study in which the first stage corresponds to a collection of data using appropriate keywords. In the second stage, the bibliometric study of the collected papers was carried out by using the R package and VOS viewer. In the third stage, research field analysis was done by using structural topic modeling (STM). To perform the STM approach, an R package was used and 12 emerging research themes in AI and repurposing manufacturing were generated. These themes were thoroughly discussed along with providing future propositions. The fourth stage comprises a comprehensive framework for future studies and based on the results discussion and conclusion were elaborated. The four-stage review approach for the systematic literature review of this study is depicted in Figure 1.

Total number of articles finalized = **453**

Bibliometric and network analysis

Structural topic modelling to identify emerging research themes

Proposition development in the field of AI in RM

Objective: To review articles on AI applications for repurposing manufacturing

Database for article shortlisting: SCOPUS

Keywords: TITLE-ABS-KEY ("Artificial Intelligence" OR "Machine Learning" OR "Deep Learning" OR "Convolution Neural Network" OR "Decision Tree" OR "Natural Language Processing" OR "Clustering" OR "Artificial Neural Network" OR "Genetic Algorithm" OR "Support Vector Machine" OR "Bayesian Network" OR "Back Propagation" OR "Linear Regression" OR "Fuzzy Logic" OR "Logistic Regression")

AND

TITLE-ABS-KEY ("flexible manufacturing" OR "adaptable manufacturing" OR "reconfigurable manufacturing" OR "flexible production" OR "adaptable production" OR "reconfigurable production" OR “repurposing manufacturing” OR “agile manufacturing”)

Article retrieval from search string: 2436

Inclusion and exclusion criteria: considering only journal article written in English language

Article retrieval after inclusion and exclusion criteria: 1152

Time Span considered: 2013-2023 (A decade)

**Figure 1.** SLR process

1. **Bibliometric Study**

Bibliometric analysis is referred to as a scientific computer-assisted review methodology that helps researchers to recognize fundamental research or authors along with their association by encompassing all the published work in relation to a studied field of research (Bellis, 2009). The bibliometric analysis provides relational and abundant information on the selected field which makes researchers understand the overall landscape of the topic (Churruca et al., 2019). It offers a quantitative analysis of the written scientific research and publications (Ellegaard and Wallin, 2015) and is used to identify the major keywords, researchers, affiliations, journals (Wahyuni et al., 2019), and research collaborations among institutions, countries, and researchers (Rejeb et al., 2020). It is often used to extract and manipulate data based on a citation or content analysis (Wallin, 2005). It greatly benefits researchers due to its computerized data treatment which increased the number of publications in recent years within this field. Also, it is statistically reliable because it must incorporate a certain data volume to prove reliability (Ellegaard and Wallin, 2015).

Therefore, the current study employed bibliometric analysis to examine the existing information related to AI in repurposing manufacturing. Table 3 depicts the main information of the articles. The selected articles were published between the period 2013-2023 and the articles were collected from the SCOPUS database.

This section will provide an answer to the 2nd research question of the study i.e., the growth and development of the research in the field of AI and repurposing manufacturing. By analysing bibliometric data, we could be able to see the growth and development in the investigating area.

**Table 3.** Major information about collected articles

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Findings** |
| Primary information |
| Timespan | 2013:2023 |
| Sources (Journals, Books, etc) | 220 |
| Documents | 453 |
| References | 20990 |
| Document types |
| Article | 437 |
| Review | 16 |
| Authors |
| Author Appearances | 1585 |
| Single-authored documents | 24 |

Figure 2 shows the percentage of published articles based on the area of study in the field of AI in RM. As is shown that the maximum percentage of articles fall under the subject area of Engineering and Computer Science with 34.4% and 26.32% respectively, which are more than 50% of the total published articles. The research conducted in the area of AI in RM shows significant development in the engineering field. Also, other major subject areas are Business Management, Decision Science, and Mathematics.



**Figure 2.** AI in RM articles based on the subject area

Figure 3 depicts the type of articles in the field of AI in RM. It is evident from the figure that of the selected articles used in this study, around 96.5% are research articles and only 3.5% are review papers published during the period 2013-2023. The scarcity of review papers shows a major research gap in this field of study which can provide a comprehensive knowledge background. Hence, this review paper will attempt to fill this research gap by providing a systematic literature review in the field of AI in RM.



**Figure 3.** Documents by type of articles of AI in RM

The year-wise article statistics are presented in Figure 4. It shows the growth trajectory of the conducted research in the field of AI in RM. As it is evident that the academic research interest in the current area dropped from the year 2013 to 2014 but gradually increased after 2015. However, the development of research in this field still needs to be expanded to show a significant surge in the application of AI in providing solutions to RM.

**Figure 4.** Year-wise published papers in AI in RM

To understand the geographical distribution of the conducted research in the field of AI in RM, country-wise article statistics are presented (Table 4). Table 4 depicts the top ten leading countries producing high-quality research work in the selected field between the years 2013-2023. The table is based on publications where the country appears as the main affiliation of the first author. Although several countries have been involved in knowledge production in the selected field of study, we considered only the top ten countries with the maximum published papers in AI in RM. China is at first rank with 226 published articles out of a total of 453 articles selected in this study and became the most influential country to produce research work based on AI in RM. Furthermore, India, the USA, and Iran also contributed significantly to the selected research field with 133, 80, and 51 published articles, respectively. Some of the highly developed European countries produced substantial research work in this field and are listed in the top ten leading countries such as France, Germany, UK, Italy, and Turkey. However, the highest knowledge production in the field of AI in RM came from three leading nations in this list which belong to Asia, and Malaysia is at ninth rank with a total of 25 published articles.

**Table 4.** Country-wise number of articles published in AI in RM

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Country** | **Occurrence** |
| China | 226 |
| India | 133 |
| USA | 80 |
| Iran | 51 |
| France | 49 |
| UK | 44 |
| Germany | 42 |
| Italy | 29 |
| Malaysia | 25 |
| Turkey | 23 |

Table 5 presents the most prominent and important journals publishing articles in the fields of AI in RM. Although several journals have published scientific articles in the studied field, we presented here only the top ten journals that have published the maximum number of articles. It is crucial for future researchers to identify the role played by prominent journals in disseminating knowledge in their field of study so they can target those journals for future potential research and communicating innovations. As depicted in Table 5, the “International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology” and “International Journal of Production Research” published the highest number of articles in the timespan of 2013-2023 in the chosen study field. Next on the list are the “IEEE Access”, “Journal of Manufacturing Systems”, and “Computers and Industrial Engineering”, with a considerable contribution of published articles to this research field. As it is apparent from the list of top ten journals presented in Table 5 that these journals are involved in computer-integrated technology and engineering which is also demonstrated in Figure 2. So, it is recognized that research in the field of AI in RM is more intensive in the area of computers and engineering. Therefore, journals with other areas involving business, management, decision sciences, mathematics, etc., have a high scope of publishing potential research work in this field of study.

**Table 5.** Prominent journals which published papers on the topic of AI in RM

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Journals** | **Articles** |
| “International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology” | 45 |
| “International Journal of Production Research” | 31 |
| “IEEE Access” | 15 |
| “Journal of Manufacturing Systems” | 13 |
| “Computers and Industrial Engineering” | 9 |
| “IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters” | 7 |
| “Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing” | 7 |
| “Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing” | 7 |
| “European Journal of Operational Research” | 6 |
| “Expert Systems with Applications” | 6 |

The ten most productive and influential organizations concerning the selected fields of research of AI in RM are listed in Table 6. However, the search of articles revealed that there are several organizations that uplifted the work of AI in RM, but we showed only the top ten universities that have produced the maximum number of scientific articles. Future researchers interested in the current research field can focus on these universities for further research. The institutions with the highest number of published articles in the SCOPUS database in the studied field of research are Islamic Azad University, UAE and Southeast University, China with a total of 12 articles each. The following Chongqing University, China, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia, and Wuhan University of Science and Technology, China each produced eight scientific articles.

**Table 6.** Most prominent institutions that have published papers in AI in RM

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Affiliations** | **Articles** |
| Islamic Azad University | 12 |
| Southeast University | 12 |
| Chongqing University | 8 |
| King Saud University | 8 |
| Wuhan University of Science and Technology | 8 |
| Huazhong University of Science and Technology | 7 |
| Indian Institute of Technology (Ism) | 6 |
| Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee | 6 |
| Tsinghua University | 5 |
| Université De Lorraine | 5 |

To identify and extract relevant articles from the SCOPUS database, well-defined and appropriate keywords were used. Based on those keywords, a total of 453 relevant articles were extracted. Then using those articles, we identified the total number of keywords used in those articles, and the occurrence of each keyword was identified. It is important to understand the occurrence and major keywords related to the selected field of research. Table 7 shows 20 such mostly occurred keywords when searching for articles in the field of AI in RM. It shows that the keywords ‘genetic algorithms’ and ‘flexible manufacturing systems’ occurred 152 and 134 times respectively while searching for the articles in the current field of the present study. It is followed by ‘manufacture’, ‘scheduling’, ‘Decision making’, ‘reconfigurable manufacturing system’, ‘computer aided manufacturing’, ‘artificial intelligence’, etc. As this study is based on the applications of artificial intelligence, therefore various AI techniques occurred as top keywords in the search such as, ‘optimization’, ‘decision making’, ‘integer programming’, ‘algorithms’, etc.

**Table 7.** Top keywords occurred in the selected documents

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Words** | **Occurrences** | **Words** | **Occurrences** |
| Genetic algorithms | 152 | Integer programming | 34 |
| Flexible manufacturing systems | 134 | Industrial research | 29 |
| Manufacture | 104 | Learning systems | 29 |
| Scheduling | 82 | Multiobjective optimization | 28 |
| Agile manufacturing systems | 77 | Optimization | 28 |
| Decision making | 60 | Fuzzy logic | 26 |
| Reconfigurable manufacturing system | 58 | Job shop scheduling | 26 |
| Computer-aided manufacturing | 55 | Deep learning | 25 |
| Artificial intelligence | 47 | Flexible manufacturing | 25 |
| Production control | 35 | Heuristic methods | 24 |

For the visual presentation of the most occurred and common keywords in the field of AI in RM, a word cloud was generated by using the Biblioshiny program of the R package. The word cloud is shown in Figure 5 which depicted the most occurred keywords related to the selected research field. The size of the word in the word cloud represents the occurrence of the word during the search process. The major keywords like ‘manufacture’, ‘scheduling’, ‘computer aided manufacturing’, and ‘reconfigurable manufacturing system’ appeared in the centre of the word cloud which is also present in the top keywords given in Table 7.



**Figure 5.** Word Cloud of occurred keywords

1. **Network analysis**

To examine the collaboration among authors, countries, and keywords, network analysis was conducted in this study. According to Chiesi (2015), network analysis is a “set of techniques with a shared methodological perspective, which allow researchers to depict relations among actors and to analyze the social structures that emerge from the recurrence of these relations”. Several structures which comprise variables are referred to as networks in which variables are represented by nodes and edges represent the relationship among the nodes (Hevey, 2018). The most widely used software packages for network analysis are Pajek, Gephi, VOSviewer, Histcite, Gephi, however, we used VOSviewer software as it provides clear and understandable visual maps to show the collaborations. It is one of the most widely used software packages for bibliometric analysis, also used in cluster analysis, thematic analysis, and cartography (Shah et al., 2019). A wide range of bibliometric networks could be examined by using VOS viewer comprising journals, countries, authors, etc. (Van Eck and Waltman, 2010).

* 1. ***Authors collaboration***

To investigate the collaboration among authors in the field of AI in RM, we conducted network analysis using the VOS viewer software package of the R program. To conduct the analysis, a total of 336 articles were used which were collected from the SCOPUS database by using appropriate keywords. There were around 931 authors in the considered articles and authors who have a minimum of two articles were considered to form the clusters, hence the number of authors was reduced to 124. The analysis formed seven clusters which included 23 authors and other authors were removed because of low connectivity. Finally, the network analysis generated seven clusters which comprise 32 links and 36 total link strengths. Figure 6 depicts the clusters, with the red cluster being the biggest, with five authors, and the blue and green clusters each having four authors. With only two authors, the orange cluster is the smallest. The authors’ cooperation network also reveals that Li X has the most connections with other writers, with a total of eight relationships and a total link strength of nine.

 

**Figure 6.** Author collaboration network in the field of AI in repurposing manufacturing

***4.2 Country collaboration***

To identify the collaboration between countries in publishing papers in the area of AI in RM, the generated 336 articles were used which consisted of around 60 countries. Only nations with at least two articles were included in the network analysis, bringing the total number of countries down to 37. After this, the clusters were generated and some countries were removed because of low connectivity, therefore seven clusters were formed comprising 28 countries. The network of seven clusters consists of 68 links and 95 total link strengths (Figure 7). The largest top three clusters are green, red, blue, and yellow comprising five countries each. The smallest cluster is the orange cluster with only two countries namely Germany and Egypt. The country collaboration network also shows that the country China has the maximum connection with other countries with a total of 15 links and the total link strength is 25.



**Figure 7.** Country collaboration network in the field of AI in repurposing manufacturing

1. **Text Analytics using Structural Topic Modeling**

Topic models are computer algorithms used to characterize the latent patterns of word occurrence with the word distribution in a collection of documents, and it provides a set of topics that comprise clusters of words that follow a specific pattern and co-occur in the selected documents (Jacobi et al., 2016). Topic modeling was used by researchers to interpret and organize a large volume of text data and it recently gained prominence (Kuhn, 2018) as it classifies the main themes which exist in a large and unstructured dataset (Blei, 2012). However, there exist very few qualitative papers that utilized topic modelling to categorize research papers (Nikolenko et al., 2017; Asmussen and Møller, 2019). STM is used to offer fast, replicable, and transparent analyses (Das et al., 2017). STM's findings show which topics occur throughout time, as well as their predominance and word connection (Kuhn, 2018). This work used STM to analyze a huge dataset of AI-related publications published in RM. This text mining approach analyzed the text based on word frequency and similarity from the documents and generated thematic topics using STM. The steps of the generative procedure of STM are adapted from Agrawal et al. (2021).

The text from the abstract, title, and keywords was used to generate the thematic topics from selected documents and used in the STM approach as input. Before the analysis, text cleaning was conducted by eliminating stop words and commonly used words. Then, equations, special characters, numbers, and non-English words were removed to make the text input compatible with the STM approach. Figure 8 illustrates the generated thematic topics which are obtained by using the selected 336 articles in the inbuilt STM library in R-package.

****

**Figure 8.** Generated topic labels from the STM approach

Table 8 shows the probabilistic distribution of the most commonly used keywords for the derived subject label. For example, as shown in Table 8, the terms 'product', 'model', 'optimum', 'flexible', 'assembly', 'line', and 'simulation' have the highest likelihood of generating subject label 1. Other subjects are created in the same way, based on the keywords in table 8.

**Table 8.** Top identified words under each topic

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No.** | **Topic label** | **Words with the highest probability** | **Frex** | **Lift** |
|  | Flexible assembly in manufacturing | product, model, optimum, flexible, assembly, agile, line, simul | assembly, buffer, line, product, simul, labor, balance | mixed-model, truck, encounter, indispensable, yet, buffer, labor  |
|  | Robotic systems in manufacturing | system, robot, use, control, machine, approach, method | robot, grind, rts, rule, error, control, human | ensemble, learning-bas, disadvantage, grind, heavily, insert, intervention |
|  | Scheduling in flexible manufacturing | schedule, problem, algorithm, repurposing, flexible, time, manufacturer | schedule, makespan, job, shop, colony, ant  | bidirect, chemic, energy-sav, conflict-free, deadlock-prone, disjunct, flowshop  |
|  | Smart machine tools for manufacturing | tool, manufacturer, system, machine, use, flexible, model | neural, wear, tool, cut, ANN, predict, reliable | ANN, forearm, iso, limb, piece, worn  |
|  | Process planning in manufacturing | process, plan, manufacturer, product, reconfiguration, configuration, approach  | plan, configuration, multi-object, process, minimis, total, reconfiguration | cad, defect, greenhouse, region, single, unit, emit  |
|  | Systems operations flexibility | problem, system, machine, operation, flexible, propose, approach | rout, load, type, problem, literature, heurist, operation | metaheuristic, unbalance, unequal-area, constraint-chromosome, giffler, imbalance |
|  | Reconfigurable manufacturing | part, system, reconfigure, manufacture, family, design, machine | family, reconfigure, format, part, rms, cell, similar | bypass, rearrange, scs, borrow, cater, coefficient, color |
|  | Intelligent technology in manufacturing | manufacture, system, intelligent, industries, technology, product, smart | digit, intelligent, smart, technology, inform, innovation, industry | holist, perceive, prognostic, resilient, SMEs, tag |
|  | Flexible manufacturing system | flexible, model, manufacturer, use, FMS, method, measure | attribute, measure, criteria, company, rank, weight, custom | incomplete, credit, reproduction, satisfaction, supplier, unrestricted |
|  | Manufacturing support system | manufacturer, change, support, differ, component, can, design | organize, active, mobile, loss, continual, people, worker | head, organize, people, frame, mention, unfortunate, now |
|  | Optimization algorithm in manufacturing | algorithm, problem, optimal, solution, genet, propose, search | particle, search, swarm, PSO, algorithm, solute, popular | retrieval, unequal, act, cuckoo, cyclic |
|  | Data-based manufacturing model | product, data, model, order, manufacture, approach, use | inventories, stock, policies, planner, margin, supplier, management | imprecise, record, saturate, stock, contra, determinist, sell |

Table 8 presents Frex and Lift metrics. The lift metrics highlight the most common words within a topic (Kuhn, 2018). Though, it is recommended to use Frex metrics which correspond to the restricted word frequency in a topic (Bischof and Airoldi, 2012).

1. **Emerging Research Themes of AI and repurposing manufacturing**

This section provides a brief description of the generated thematic topics that were obtained by using the selected 336 articles in the inbuilt STM library in R-package. These thematic topics are discussed based on existing literature. The application of AI under each theme is discussed and evidence of different studies is provided to analyze the use of AI techniques in solving the problems associated with a particular area. To discuss these thematic topics, a thorough review of the literature was conducted, and a research gap was identified and analyzed. Finally, to fill the gap in the existing literature and advance the existing knowledge, future research propositions were offered. This section will also provide the answers to the 3rd research question of the study i.e., the future propositions for the research by employing AI applications for repurposing manufacturing. The propositions offered were suggested by several authors involved in the study.

* 1. ***Flexible assembly in manufacturing***

Sawik (1999, p. 1) defined a flexible assembly system as “*A*flexible assembly system*(FAS) is a fully integrated production system consisting of computer numerically controlled assembly stations, connected by an automated material handling system, all under the control of a central computer. A FAS is capable of simultaneously assemble a variety of product types in small to medium-sized batches and at high rate comparable to that of conventional transfer lines designed for high volume/low variety manufacture*”. In recent years concerning automation and manufacturing, the notions of reconfigurability and flexibility are heaping great relevance. A new standard for manufacturing systems has emerged with the integration of Industry 4.0 (Hermann et al., 2016). In this regard, collaboration and interaction of humans and robots play a major role in the new manufacturing concepts (Tan et al., 2009). The integration of automation in high-volume manufacturing which is backed up by digital manufacturing tools created a more flexible or compliant production system, which can deal with unpredictable or unstable market demands (Jackson et al., 2016).

Makris (2021) discussed the flexibility attributes of manufacturing systems that employ autonomous and highly interactive mobile robotic tools and used a multi-criteria decision-making method. Li and Huang (2021) developed a five-phase “Graduation intelligent Manufacturing System” (GiMS) to enable resilience and flexibility in production intralogistics and studied flexible assembly lines in an air-conditioner assembly workshop. Guo et al. (2021) constructed a novel optimization model combining flexible cellular manufacturing with digital twins to optimize air conditioner lines. Luo et al. (2021) studied automated flexible production lines in manufacturing enterprises and proposed a data-driven cloud simulation architecture. Kim and Lee (2021) stressed on flexible assembly system to minimize cycle time and for this, they analyzed and proposed an efficient robot task sequence that involved assembly, 3D printers, material handling robots, post-processing, and inspection machines.

***Proposition 1:*** To provide an AI-enabled business model to enable flexibility in manufacturing systems to repurpose operations in the time of severe and unexpected disruptions.

***Proposition 2:*** To identify general configurations of manufacturing systems and provide flexible solutions to produce high-demand products in parallel to other products.

* 1. ***Robotic systems in manufacturing***

The extent of automation and mechanization in substituting humans for various functions partially reflects technological development (Jiang et al., 2014). Medina et al. (2015) asserted that in terms of minimizing human efforts, human behavior anticipation assistance has revealed exceptional performance, however, the prediction of human behavior is a critical issue associated with prediction errors. So, a data-driven stochastic modeling approach was proposed where robot assistance is integrated to solve a complex optimal control problem (Medina et al., 2015). In addition, to battling risks associated with the Covid-19 pandemic, humanoid robots, drones, autonomous vehicles, and other intelligent robots were used in various ways to diminish human contact and the spread of coronavirus (Zeng et al., 2020). Khan et al. (2020) asserted the advancing role of robotics to limit the spread of the coronavirus in the healthcare sector and stressed the usage of medical robots in several medical procedures. Additionally, Malik et al., (2020) presented an integrative model of collaborative robots to reconfigure ventilator production by exploring human-robot collaboration to increase the production of emergency products with modern production technologies.

Neythalath et al. (2021) asserted the cost-effective development of extremely diverse robotic control applications in a manufacturing concept and proposed a multi-layered knowledge encapsulation model. Currie et al., (2020) identified Covid-19 pandemic challenges and proposed the role of simulation modeling to create a decision support system in making informed decisions in the wake of the Covid-19 pandemic. To boost manufacturing efficiency Realyvásquez-Vargas et al., (2019) created a procedure to implement a human-robot collaboration system. Ji et al., (2021) focused on smart manufacturing and built an auto programming approach based on an automated robotic assembly to decrease cost and setup time for robots and minimal human assistance.

***Proposition 3:*** Future research must focus the human-robot integration in RM to ease operations and combat sudden disruptions.

***Proposition 4:*** To develop a flexible robotics integrated manufacturing model to repurpose the manufacturing immediately during the disruption.

* 1. ***Scheduling in flexible manufacturing***

To solve problems related to scheduling over the years, various techniques were established (Baker and Trietsch, 2013). The allocation of machines is determined by scheduling like performing jobs by machines (Paul et al., 2021). In the area of production scheduling, the most critical problems are flexible job-shop and flow-shop scheduling problems (Zan et al., 2020). In this regard, Zhang and Wong (2018) established enhanced ant colony optimization metaheuristics in the job-shop environment to achieve integrated process planning and scheduling problem. Zhang et al. (2021) addressed a realistic state of a smart manufacturing system concerning complex multi-level product production scheduling using a hybrid multi-objective approach in the context of Industry 4.0. Yang and Xu (2021) proposed a novel “distributed assembly permutation flow-shop scheduling problem with flexible assembly and batch delivery” (DAPFSP-FABD) which included seven algorithms to solve the planning problem of distributed manufacturing. Li and Xing (2021) studied deadlock-prone flexible assembly systems and their scheduling problem by proposing the heuristic beam search algorithm to reduce the make span. Zan et al. (2020) focused on multi-objective scheduling problems and offered a Pareto-based genetic algorithm solution in automated manufacturing systems.

***Proposition 5:*** To develop an AI-based model to solve multi-objective scheduling problems apart from flexible flow-shop and flexible job-shop, such as a deadlock-prone automated manufacturing system.

***Proposition 6:*** To develop a multi-objective meta-heuristic model to overcome scheduling problems during an unpredictable disruption.

* 1. ***Smart machine tools for manufacturing***

The sudden disruptions or risks for instance Covid-19 pandemic have severely disturbed the manufacturing operations and supply chains (Tisdell, 2020). Hence, manufacturers are pursuing effective solutions in the form of smart and reconfigurable machines to provide immediate solutions for demand upsurges or mitigating risks across the lifecycle of products (Morgan et al., 2021). Also, to adapt to the changing manufacturing environment like flexible production lines, personalized production, etc., industry 4.0 enabling technologies are required to incorporate into the current manufacturing environment (Jeon et al., 2020). Liu et al. (2017) with a focus on Agent-based design and Internet of Things (IoT), proposed an Intelligent Assembly System for Mechanical Products which is enabled by IoT technologies. Another study provided the solution for custom microsystem manufacturing to identify a dynamic transitional tool chain for 3D printed parts across the product life cycle and proposed a SMARTLAM reconfigurable manufacturing system (Scholz et al., 2016).

Chang et al. (2018) mentioned various AI techniques applications in their review article for smart machine tools, for instance, artificial neural networks, fuzzy modeling systems, cyber-physical systems based on industry 4.0, etc. Ghosh et al. (2021) asserted that digital twins can provide assistance to machine tools in the context of smart manufacturing by enabling autonomous troubleshooting and monitoring, and they proposed two computerized systems represented as Digital Twin Adaptation System (DTAS) and Digital Twin Construction System (DTCS) to adapt and construct the twin. Liu et al. (2020) emphasized bridging the gap between upper software applications and physical machine tools and provided a bi-directional data and control flows framework for this purpose, and an integrated model in smart factories was developed involving numerical control machine tool intelligent monitoring and data processing system.

***Proposition 7:*** To develop a mathematical model for solving the problems that arise from smart technologies because of the sudden increase in production during the pandemic.

***Proposition 8:*** Future studies should develop a systematic literature review-based research to evaluate the application and effectiveness of several smart technologies included in manufacturing by providing real-time data during disruption.

* 1. ***Process planning in manufacturing***

Process planning concerns analyzing the suitable assembly and manufacturing processes and the classification of the order in which the production is to be carried out, so it meets the specifications according to the product design documentation (Tarba et al., 2015). In process planning, the use of a computer automates the process of formulating a series of a product’s manufacturing operations (Kumar, 2017). AI techniques applications provide solutions for computer-aided process planning (CAPP) and manufacturing (Kumar, 2017) and various algorithms have proven considerable advantages to solve complex process planning problems (Liu et al., 2021). In this regard, Jin et al. (2019) proposed a novel position-based mixed-integer linear programming (MILP) model for process planning concerning the reduction of total energy consumption and production time. Djurdjev et al. (2021) emphasized finding an optimal process plan for the operation sequencing problem and proposed a novel genetic crow search approach.

Rong et al. (2021) developed a data-driven operation and optimization approach for adaptive high-order modification in CAPP for manufacturing hypoid gears and spiral bevels. Li et al. (2021) asserted that the remanufacturing process planning affected the performance of remanufacturing and hence a hybrid method was developed with the integration of blockchain and case-based reasoning. Erden et al. (2021) solved problems related to scheduling, integrated process planning, and due date assignment and proposed a particle swarm optimization approach. Chen (2021) stressed the need for a method that can enable complete automated process planning in the manufacturing process and explored an artificial neural network (ANN) based approach. Yuan et al. (2021) established a novel automated processing planning algorithm and incorporated an automated robot offline programming for multi-directional wire arc additive manufacturing.

***Proposition 9:*** To develop an AI algorithm for improving the efficiency and computational output of the manufacturing system during the pandemic.

***Proposition 10:*** To provide a mathematical model enabling support in process planning and comparing other algorithms, optimization models, and meta-heuristics.

* 1. ***Systems operations flexibility***

Operational flexibility is an ability to respond to uncertainty in a proactive or reactive notion and this ability has various dimensions which vary across environments based on its importance (Stevenson and Spring, 2007). However, the detrimental impact of the Covid-19 pandemic brought severe consequences and many manufacturing industries are fraught to manage and absorb its growing impact (Paul and Chaudhary, 2020). Therefore, it is necessary to frame appropriate and effective operational policies to overcome losses in manufacturing and expand the consumption pattern to boost and recover the economy (Kumar et al., 2020). Operational flexibility is crucial for any manufacturing firm under such conditions of turbulence or disturbance. As, operations risks can be tackled by flexible process strategies through the adoption of a flexible manufacturing system (Gualandris and Kalchschmidt, 2013).

Rajesh (2020) stressed upon flexibility and resilience of the manufacturing system during the Covid-19 pandemic and recognized five major flexible business strategies for accomplishing resilience. On the other hand, Schmidt et al., (2021) focused on vaccine manufacturing and supplying during the Covid-19 pandemic and proposed a digital twin model to optimize the operations of manufacturing and improve flexibility, robustness, and speed. Zhang et al., (2020) developed stochastic models to examine the operations of various types of flexible manufacturing cells and to optimize the performance indexes. Alolayyan and Ibrahem (2021) asserted system operational flexibility to recover from pressure and disruptions and proposed a mathematical model to examine the relationship between hospital performance and dimensions of operational flexibility.

***Proposition 11:*** To provide an AI-based model for operational flexibility of the manufacturing in the healthcare sector for producing PPE under immediate need or scarcity.

***Proposition 12:*** To develop a mathematical model to achieve higher outcomes from the flexible operations of the manufacturing firms during disturbances or an outbreak of pandemics.

* 1. ***Reconfigurable manufacturing***

Due to global competition, high-frequency and unpredictable changes are faced by manufacturing companies. A new type of manufacturing system namely a reconfigurable manufacturing system (RMS) enables companies to stay competitive by adapting to rapid changes and achieving cost-effectiveness (ElMaraghy, 2005). According to Koren et al. (1999), RMS is a system “whose components are reconfigurable machines and reconfigurable controllers, as well as methodologies for their systematic design and rapid ramp-up, are the cornerstones of this new manufacturing paradigm”. RMS is an updated category of manufacturing systems that possess adjustable structures in both software and hardware structure. Its main objective is to improve the responsiveness of manufacturing systems to deal with unpredictable fluctuations in product demand (Koren et al., 2018).

A high automation RMS was exhibited by He et al. (2019), which had sequential stages classified into cells and each cell contained various machines and rail-positioned robots to explore line balancing algorithms aimed at obtaining optimal performance and minimising costs in a distributed production scheduling. Park et al. (2020) focused on a convergence architecture with a central robot for RMS and provided a convergence framework that involved various technologies like Digital Twin (DT), cyber-physical production system (CPPS), and the P4R information model. On the other hand, Bortolini et al. (2021) asserted on dynamic management of RMS and proposed an optimization linear programming model with the balanced incorporation of reconfigurable machine tools or intelligent machines.

***Proposition 13:*** To develop a model for RMS using an optimization algorithm, heuristics, or meta-heuristics methods.

***Proposition 14:*** To identify the challenges and barriers of using smart technologies and advanced algorithms in RMS.

* 1. ***Intelligent technology in manufacturing***

During the current emergency of the Covid-19 pandemic, medical experts and researchers worked very hard for searching new and effective technology to curb the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic (Khan et al., 2021). By enhancing resource efficiency and sustainability of the production processes, industry 4.0 can have an impact on the nature of manufacturing processes (Sony and Naik, 2020). Recent studies provided evidence to show that AI is an effective and promising technology to get implemented in various sectors like healthcare, manufacturing, agriculture, etc. (Levin et al., 2020; Ishack and Lipner, 2020). To combat risks associated with novel diseases like Covid-19, drug repurposing could be done by coupling it with AI technologies to detect the drugs and these technologies has the potential to reduce significant issues concerning drug repurposing (Khan et al., 2021). In this regard, Evans et al. (2021) used machine learning and artificial intelligence to assess the standards for hand hygiene in hospitality, food manufacturing, and catering environment.

Peng et al. (2021) proposed the internet-enables resilient manufacturing for industries from a Covid-19 perspective. Improvement in the manufacturing of semiconductors in IoT by using intelligent technology was reported by Li et al. (2021). They used statistical process control and a real-time feedback algorithm to optimize the manufacturing parameters. Pang et al. (2021) developed a new intelligent product quality control system by using BP neural network in the CPS valve manufacturing process. They concluded that the new system has good accuracy and practicability in controlling manufacturing processes. Vlachos (2021) applied socio-technical system theory to examine the adoption of a supply chain control tower (SCCT) in an effort to build an intelligent supply chain by a big manufacturing company. Fan and Zhang (2021) used cloud edge computing and deep neural network-based multi-fusion system in intelligent manufacturing. It was concluded that the used system can increase the work efficiency of up to 20% of different systems in the industry.

***Proposition 15:*** To develop a manufacturing framework using intelligent technologies to produce high-demand items to tackle sudden changes in demand.

***Proposition 16:*** To develop a drug repurposing model using intelligent technologies and advanced algorithms for recovering vaccine/drug shortage.

* 1. ***Flexible manufacturing systems***

A flexible manufacturing system is defined as “a system that combines with the existing technology of numerical control manufacturing, automated material handling, and computer hardware and software to create an integrated system for the automatic random processing of palletized parts across various workstations in the system” (Collins, 1980). During the current situation of the Covid-19 pandemic, a flexible manufacturing system enabled many firms to rapidly transform their production processes and manufacture some ungently required tools like ventilators, hand sanitisers, medical gloves, etc. (Brem et al., 2021). The techniques of flexible manufacturing enable manufacturing facilities to shift more rapidly between scaling up production and products or relocating manufacturing capacity (Sell et al., 2021). Qi et al. (2021) stressed the scarcity of essential emergency supplies during the Covid-19 pandemic and gave importance to rapid response manufacturing by utilizing AI, big data, IoT, etc.

According to Zimmerling and Chen (2021), the capability of advanced and flexible production technologies to offer enhanced adaptability of production capacity which is less vulnerable to disruptions like the Covid-19 pandemic proved that these technologies would transform the manufacturing operations. Javaid et al. (2020) discussed ten major industry 4.0 technologies and the firm’s flexible technological capability to shift production rapidly for manufacturing specialized healthcare products to fight global medical emergencies. Ishack and Lipner (2020) addressed the problem of scarcity of ventilator valves, face shields, respirator masks, and PPE during the Covid-19 pandemic, and proposed a three-dimensional (3D) printing technology a robotic platform to shift production to critical products.

***Proposition 17:*** To develop a resilient and flexible manufacturing model using AI-based technologies like 3D printing, robotics, optimization algorithms, etc to combat risks of the unpredictable outbreaks of viruses.

***Proposition 18:*** To analyze and evaluate existing technologies by real-time applicability to manufacturing operations during uncertain situations.

* 1. ***Manufacturing support systems***

The advancements in technology have created opportunities for web technology and proposed approaches to establish a web-based support system for designing and manufacturing (Cheng et al., 2001). However, the development of a decision-support tool is essential for demand management in the healthcare sector during the pandemic (Govindan et al., 2020). Also, a manufacturing support system enabled by intelligent and advanced technology is required to tackle the rising demands for medical products like masks, gloves, face shields, sanitisers, etc. Deb and Bhattacharyya (2005) developed a distinct decision support system based on a multifactor fuzzy inference system for manufacturing facilities. Stavropoulos et al., (2021) proposed a decision-support system framework for the manufacturing process of electric vehicles and applied a two-stage decision-support system. Paul and Chowdhury (2020) developed a production recovery model by using a mathematical modeling approach to recover the manufacturing system from essential items' demand during the Covid-19 pandemic.

***Proposition 19:*** To develop an AI-based mathematical model using various modeling and optimization approaches to tackle the demand and supply during the pandemic.

***Proposition 20:*** There is a dearth of literature in this area of manufacturing, therefore more support models can contribute to this field.

* 1. ***Optimization algorithm in manufacturing***

The Covid-19 pandemic created an entire shutdown of manufacturing activities (Goodarzian et al., 2021), and many other processes were widely affected. However, it could be a driving force for the implementation of innovative technology throughout society with the long-term potential of many innovations (Zimmerling and Chen, 2021). Many manufacturing firms shifted their focus to producing critical goods during the pandemic and used their resources in an effective way. In this regard, optimization algorithms can play the role of a support tool for manufacturers to make effective decisions in increasing production efficiency. Therefore, to solve production and distribution problems during Covid-19, Goodarzian et al., (2021) proposed three hybrid meta-heuristic algorithms. Furthermore, Cao et al. (2021) conducted Laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) experiments and proposed a machine learning framework to generate optimal process parameters to obtain dimensional accuracy and surface roughness after optimization.

Feng et al. (2021) proposed a hybrid approach of particle swarm optimization (PSO) and genetic algorithm (GA) to save printing time and wastage of materials and it is very helpful for manufacturers and designers. On the other hand, Tian et al. (2021) believed that classical PSO cannot assure to attain optimal solutions and they proposed the CMOPSO approach (“combined multi-objective particle swarm optimization”) to offer solutions for emissions and energy consumption concerning green manufacturing. For manufacturing workshops, Zhou et al. (2021) proposed a three-staged resource allocation optimization method to enable rapid resource distribution decision-making. Aqil and Allali (2021) assessed a manufacturing optimization problem namely the hybrid flow shop scheduling problem and proposed six algorithms based on the water wave optimization algorithms and the migratory bird optimization.

***Proposition 21:*** To solve RM and scheduling problems using optimization algorithms during the pandemic and other disasters.

***Proposition 22:*** To develop an RM model using artificial neural network (ANN), meta-heuristics methods, and other AI-based techniques for emergency production of critical items during the pandemic.

* 1. ***Data-Driven manufacturing model***

Manufacturing firms try to achieve the considerable potential to enhance their efficiency and productivity by implementing data-driven manufacturing (Gökalp et al., 2021). In recent years, the competencies of empirical methods have significantly extended because of the advancements in the field of machine learning and computational intelligence which are incorporated into the data-driven modeling field (Solomatine et al., 2008). Data-driven models develop the relationship in the system by employing an algorithm for manufacturing data such as ANN, linear regression, etc. (Kim, 2017). Data-driven models are required to examine the manufacturing data which faced an unprecedented rise in terms of its generated volume because of the adoption of smart factories, cyber-physical systems, internet of things (IoT) in an industry (Sadati et al., 2018). According to Konchak et al., (2021), for the operational recovery from the Covid-19 crisis, a transparent and coordinated data-driven approach is essential which is based on a robust workshop testing capability.

Majeed et al., (2021) proposed a big data-driven framework for smart and sustainable additive manufacturing that can control energy consumption and product quality. Suvarna et al., (2021) asserted smart manufacturing and proposed the employment of data-driven modeling in cyber-physical production systems to transform manufacturing by making it more automated and intuitive. Wang et al., (2021) asserted sensor technologies and information technologies in data-augmented production systems and proposed a data-driven method integrated with an optimization method to evaluate the impact of disruptions. Guo et al., (2021) developed an industrial internet of things and digital twin-enabled graduation intelligent manufacturing system to support the reconfiguration of manufacturing during the Covid-19 pandemic.

***Proposition 23:*** A data-driven manufacturing model should be developed using fuzzy logic, a Bayesian network, and big data-enabled technology to consider the impacts of the pandemic.

***Proposition 24:*** To provide and compare various data-analytical approaches for RM under ambiguity.

1. **Discussion and Proposed Research Model**

In the wake of the coronavirus (Covid-19) pandemic, the demand and usage of PPE have risen sharply e.g. face masks and medical gloves, etc. (Silva et al., 2020). The covid-19 situation along with social distancing, lockdowns, and quarantine measures across the globe had restricted the normal operations of the firms. In this regard, many firms used existing capacity by rapidly repurposing technologies to meet the demand, and firms of different sectors repurposed their manufacturing and design to produce critical products immediately (Liu et al., 2021a). Similarly, it was argued that open innovation and repurposing manufacturing is the key at the time of disruption (Chesbrough, 2020). According to López-Gómez et al. (2020), repurposing manufacturing is a rapid response solution by using existing manufacturing capacity to deal with the scarcity of critical items during the Covid-19 pandemic. However, the RM can be cost-intensive and could bring severe challenges in operations because of limited findings so far. Therefore, this study is conducted to provide a significant contribution to the field of AI in RM. The main aim of this study is to analyze the role of AI-based applications in solving the issues concerning RM under disruptions. Furthermore, bibliometric analysis was conducted to identify the growth in research in the selected study. The findings suggest that there is a surge in published articles in this field since 2015, however, the global output of research in this field still needs to be developed and provide more scope to fill this research gap. As far as, type or published papers are concerned, only approximately 3.5% are review papers and the rest are articles, hence, future researchers can work in this area to provide significant contributions through review papers. The keyword analysis provides researchers with an overview of relevant keywords associated with RM and can help to develop future studies. Also, network analysis shows major authors and countries and their collaboration stages globally. This provides an understanding of the collaboration scope between the authors and countries as well.

Moreover, the current study provided 12 generated thematic topics using STM such as Flexible assembly in manufacturing, Robotic systems in manufacturing, Scheduling in flexible manufacturing, Smart machine tools for manufacturing, Process planning in manufacturing, Systems operations flexibility, Reconfigurable manufacturing, Intelligent technology in manufacturing, Flexible manufacturing system, Manufacturing support system, Optimization algorithm in manufacturing, Data-Driven manufacturing model. These thematic topics are discussed in section 6 and relevant studies were cited to prove a significant contribution of the role of advanced technology like big-data analytics, genetic algorithm, optimization algorithm, particle swarm optimization, etc. in enabling solutions for RM. Scaling up production and repurposing existing manufacturing to address the urgent shortage of critical supplies is essential during the Covid-19 pandemic or other life-risking disruptions (López-Gómez et al., 2020).

To manage Covid-19 related disruptions and surge in demand, many firms took the initiative of repurposing to meet the high demand for critical medical products and partly because of government pressure on manufacturing firms to tackle this shortfall (Mamo, 2020; López-Gómez et al., 2020). Several technologies were employed to overcome the risks associated with Covid-19 such as 3D printing (Ishack and Lipner, 2020), optimization algorithms (Goodarzian et al., 2021), robotics (Khan et al., 2020), intelligent manufacturing systems (Guo et al., 2021). However, it is asserted that regarding the common innovation objective, companies must accelerate the process of innovation by working together and by repurposing existing technology instead of developing a new one (Liu et al., 2021a). In addition, under technological turbulence and disruptions in market conditions, manufacturing flexibility and design capability is crucial for innovation (Auernhammer, 2020). In the present Industry 4.0 context, according to the findings of the study conducted by Pandey et al. (2021), supply chain managers should concentrate on disruption risk, cyber security risk, and safety risk. Since supply chain risk management is a developing subject of study in the context of Industry 4.0.

This section provided an answer to the 1st and 4th research question of the study i.e., the different techniques of AI and an AI-based model used in repurposing manufacturing which can help manufacturers to recover from the post-Covid-19 pandemic. The research framework (Figure 9) proposed in this study consists of 12 emerging research themes for RM and the incorporation of innovative technologies to combat risks associated with pandemics and severe disruptions. An attempt to group all generated emerging research themes along with manufacturing processes and advanced technologies based on the existing evidence of literature eventually led to proposing a comprehensive conceptual framework. The comprehensive research framework disseminates the pros of AI-based emerging technologies in executing RM during the Covid-19 pandemic. Improving industrial technology competence is critical to overcoming the pandemic's disruptions. Companies should employ digitalization, data analytics, information technology, and other tools to monitor production processes and manage related risks for this goal. Several technologies, such as AI, 3-D printing, Big data analytics, cloud computing, and the Internet of Things (IoT) are advocated in this respect by numerous studies addressed in section 6. The processes mentioned in the manufacturing system box in Figure 9 are adapted from Mehrabi et al. (2000) and Meziane et al. (2000). Based on a thorough review of the literature on AI technologies and the generated thematic topics of this study using an STM approach, the research framework was proposed in Figure 9. This conceptual framework will serve as a roadmap for researchers, guiding them in developing their research plan. It will provide a clear structure for organizing ideas and concepts related to the research topic. This structure enables them to think systematically and develop a coherent research plan which will enhance the rigor, relevance, and significance of the research, contributing to the advancement of knowledge in the current area of study. The framework allows researchers to build upon each other's work, compare findings, and engage in meaningful discussions to advance knowledge in the field. This process helps researchers identify gaps or inconsistencies in current knowledge and research. It helps them identify the central research question and objectives, ensuring that the study remains focused and relevant.

Future studies may carry out a meta-analysis that solely focuses on the connections between the notions described in order to further support the framework. As a result, this thorough conceptual framework enables academics and practitioners to aggregate the linkages and make sense of them so that they may act on them in the context of an area or sector.

Data-Driven manufacturing model

**Figure 9.** A proposed research framework for AI in RM
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1. **Implications**

The current study aimed to provide a systematic literature review in the research field of AI in RM. The bibliometric study was performed to investigate the research developments and evaluate the existing studies in the selected field of study. The STM approach was employed to generate the emerging research themes associated with AI in RM and these themes were analyzed and discussed thoroughly. The propositions for future studies were provided under each theme. This research was performed to fill the major research gap as there is a lack of SLR articles in the field of AI in RM. It provides the application of bibliometric techniques, structural topic modeling, and network analysis to integrate and discuss the most influential studies in the selected field of study.

As discussed above that there is a dearth of literature which provides a systematic review of literature in the field of AI in RM. Therefore, this study will provide evidence for future researchers to conduct empirical studies, case studies, and literature surveys in this field of research. The results of the present study provide possible directions for future research while other investigations discussed above provide further evidence. Knowing the increase of AI-related publications in RM in the Scopus database would be useful. This study may have given future researchers the opportunity to compare data from various academic databases without consideration to Scopus only and to gather more samples. The position of AI in the field of RM research as well as the breadth and productivity of academic databases research engines will be shown by comparing the findings from various academic databases. Future researchers will gain insight from this research and can develop their studies based on the propositions offered in this study. Also, the generated thematic topics in the area of AI in RM will help researchers to identify areas for their focused research.

The research findings of this study will provide significant help for managers to evaluate the application of recent intelligent technologies in repurposing their manufacturing operations. The review of the literature will provide practical applications, challenges, and benefits of AI-based applications and algorithms in recovering or repurposing the manufacturing system during the time of the pandemic. The study also provides practical applications of AI in other sub-areas of RM, see section 6. This study will provide multiple optimal solutions and opportunities for manufacturing firms and practitioners to achieve competitiveness and repurpose their manufacturing by employing recent technologies during an outbreak of a pandemic or other unexpected disruptions. In dealing with the challenges, various opportunities including flexible manufacturing, application of digital technologies, repurposing, scheduling, and reconfiguring have been identified. The manufacturing industry will ultimately bounce back as a reinforcing pillar of global economies, as this study suggests if it is provided with the correct instruments and initiatives. Future research should not be limited to examining the present Covid-19 difficulties; instead, it should focus on resilient manufacturing, which is capable of absorbing and minimizing the impact of any large-scale disturbances.

1. **Conclusions**

In the year 2020, the outbreak of the novel coronavirus (Covid-19) disturbed the operations of the entire manufacturing system across the globe. The shortage of high-demand items such as face masks, hand sanitisers, toilet paper, and other PPE has put pressure on manufacturing firms to repurpose their operations to meet this surge in demand. In this regard, firms and companies are encountering social, governmental, and competitive pressures to incorporate a repurposing approach and collaborate with other firms to produce such items and overcome this disruption. For this purpose, AI-based intelligent technology has provided multiple optimal solutions to create resilient and repurposing manufacturing. These technologies enable firms to solve issues related to scheduling, flexibility, process planning, optimizing production, reconfigurability, etc. The current study contributed to the field of research by providing a systematic literature review and by filling the major research gap in the field of AI in RM. The study focused on the review and thorough evaluation of the studies conducted in the selected field of study between the period 2013-2023. To produce a SLR in the chosen subject of research, bibliometric analysis and STM were used. The STM generated 12 emerging research themes which were reviewed and discussed in detail. This study will provide a better and deep insight into the field of repurposing existing manufacturing systems during the pandemic and the integration of AI in RM.

This study also has some limitations, such as other aspects of the production system that can be included in future research to generate review articles. Because this study is confined to journal articles, future studies might include other papers to broaden the scope of the articles. In the review article based on AI in RM during a virus epidemic, it is also advised to look at the obstacles and barriers. This study only focused on the published papers under SCOPUS database, therefore, future studies can generate articles from other databases as well like WOS, DOAJ, Science direct, etc. Apart from this, publication years can be expanded as well.
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