

# Searching Compassion in a Crowd: Evaluation of a Novel Compassion Visual Search Task to Reduce Self-Criticism

Kirsten McEwan<sup>1</sup>, Stephane Dandeneau<sup>2</sup>, Paul Gilbert<sup>1</sup>, Frances Maratos<sup>1</sup>, Lucy Andrew<sup>1</sup>, Shivani Chotai<sup>1</sup>, James  
Elander<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Psychological Research, University of Derby, Derby, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Address for Correspondence:

Kirsten McEwan

College of Health and Social Care,

University of Derby,

Kedleston Road, Derby, DE22 1GB

Email:K.Mcewan@derby.ac.uk

Tel: 01332 592291

## Abstract

**Background:** The ability to appropriately process social stimuli such as facial expressions is crucial to emotion regulation and the maintenance of supportive interpersonal relationships. Cognitive Bias Modification Tasks (CBMTs) are being investigated as potential interventions for those who struggle to appropriately process social stimuli. **Aims:** Two studies aimed to assess the effectiveness of a novel computerised ‘Compassion Game’ CBMT compared with a validated ‘Self-Esteem Game’ (Study 1,  $n=66$ ) and a Neutral Control Game (Study 2,  $n=59$ ). **Method:** In each study, baseline, post-task, and one-month follow-up measures of 3 self-reported forms of self-criticism (inadequate self, hated self, and self-reassurance) were used to examine the benefits of two weeks’ attentional training. **Results:** Analyses show that the novel Compassion Game significantly reduced inadequate self-criticism at post *and* one-month follow-up (Studies 1 and 2) and increased self-reassurance (Study 1). Results also show that the Self-Esteem (Study 1) and the Neutral Control Game (Study 2), which also used social stimuli, produced reductions in inadequate self-criticism. **Conclusions:** Results suggest that training one’s attention toward social stimuli can improve inadequate self-criticism. Implications for the use of compassionate stimuli in such CBMTs are discussed.

**Key words:** Attentional training; Cognitive bias; Compassion; Social stimuli; Self-criticism;

## Introduction

Attachment and affiliative relationships act both physiologically and psychologically as emotional regulators to attenuate feelings of threat, and increase feelings of safeness [1-2]. Forming and maintaining relationships involves making judgements about other people’s feelings and intentions. Non-verbal communications, especially facial expressions, are fundamental to the way affiliative/supportive versus indifferent/hostile attitudes or intentions of others are communicated [3-4]. However, research has found that individuals experiencing anxiety, depression, social anxiety and attachment insecurity show attentional biases, with enhanced attention to negative/threatening facial expressions and reduced attention to positive/affiliative facial expressions [e.g. 5-7].

Interventions to facilitate the processing of affiliative or compassionate emotions could therefore have great therapeutic value [8]. Indeed, interventions training compassionate/affiliative emotions have been effective in improving wellbeing and health [e.g. 9-11]. Approaches to training people to be more focused on affiliative emotion are emerging in different forms, one of which is attentional training in the form of Cognitive Bias Modification Tasks (CBMTs).

CBMTs are used therapeutically to ‘re-train’ or ‘de-bias’ pathogenic attentional processing/biases, by reducing attention to threatening stimuli and/or increasing attention to non-threatening (neutral) or positive stimuli [12-13]. In one CBMT, participants’ attention was trained away from threat-related words and towards neutral words, and

performance on a measure of attentional bias was associated with reduced stress responses to an acute stressor [14]. The effects were replicated in online studies showing reductions in anxiety [13, 15-16].

Wadlinger and Issacowitz [17] compared this standard training task to a modified task which retrained participant's attention towards *positive* rather than neutral word stimuli, and subsequently found participants attended significantly less to negative scenes, compared with those who completed a standard neutral word training task. This suggests that it may be of more therapeutic value to retrain attentional biases towards positive rather than neutral stimuli. Consistent with this, Dandeneau et al. [12] developed a Self-Esteem Game in which participants repeatedly located a smiling/accepting face in an array of angry/rejecting faces. Dandeneau et al. [12] found that after practising the game, telemarketers working in a high stress environment showed higher state self-esteem, lower perceived stress, better sales performance, and reduced cortisol (a stress hormone) compared to their control group counterparts.

Previous training studies have used stimuli specifically related to the targeted emotional vulnerability; for example, angry and happy facial expressions for anxiety and social anxiety [e.g. 18-21], sad and happy facial expressions for depression [e.g. 22-24], accepting and rejecting facial expression for self-esteem [e.g. 25-28]. Stemming from research and clinical work on compassion as a reparative process for self-criticism [29-30], the aim of the current study was to positively influence self-criticism using a CBMT which features more subtle social stimuli. More specifically, this involves introducing compassionate stimuli [6] into a CBMT. Informed by recent research on self-compassion and self-criticism, the rationale for using compassionate stimuli was that whilst affiliative emotions can be useful in down-regulating threat emotions [31], some types of positive stimuli such as smiling faces, can be aversive or threatening (e.g. the 'cruel smile', [32]). For some people, especially those vulnerable to affective disorders, smiles may also signify social dominance, making the perceiver feel subordinate [33], or may signify social approach, which may be threatening to socially anxious individuals [34].

In the context of self-criticism, compassionate facial expressions could therefore offer greater beneficial effects in that they convey a more "reassuring" social cue. Self-criticism is a transdiagnostic factor in common mental health problems [35] and a barrier to the effectiveness of talking therapies [36], therefore this variable is a worthy target of wellbeing interventions. Compassion-based interventions specifically target self-criticism and have been consistently found to reduce self-criticism in clinical samples [9-11]. The following two studies therefore assessed whether compassionate stimuli in a CBMT would have beneficial effects on self-criticism.

Research suggests that there are multiple components/sources to self-criticism. For example, Thompson and Zuroff [37] proposed a two-component model of self-criticism consisting of *comparative* self-criticism and *internalized* self-criticism. In parallel, and more closely associated with self-compassion theorising, Gilbert, Clarke, Hemple, Miles, and Irons [38] proposed a three component model of self-criticism consisting of *inadequate self* which captures a sense of putting oneself down and feeling inadequate after failures and setbacks, *reassured self* which captures the degree of positive warm disposition toward oneself, and *hated self* which captures a more destructive, aggressive, and persecuting desire to hurt oneself. The current studies therefore investigate the potential positive effect of CBMT on distinct components of self-criticism.

In Study 1, we compared a Compassion Game with a validated Self-Esteem Game, to see whether compassionate stimuli would have equitable or more specific effects with an active control featuring more generic

positive facial stimuli. In Study 2, we compared the Compassion Game with a Neutral Control Game that involved searching for a young neutral face amongst older neutral faces, to establish whether game playing effects were due to the compassion element of the game or simply the intrinsic reward of exposure to social stimuli. In Study 1, we hypothesised that the Compassion Game would improve participants' self-criticism scores to the same extent, if not better than the Self-Esteem Game. In Study 2, we hypothesised that participants in the Compassion Game would show greater improvement of their self-criticism scores than those in the Neutral Control Game.

### **Study 1**

The goal of Study 1 was to compare the Compassion Game to a previously validated task, the Self-Esteem Game. We hypothesised that participants would benefit from both games as they both train attention toward positive social stimuli (smiling/compassionate) and away from negative social stimuli (rejecting/critical), but that there may be subtle differences in how self-criticism affected by the games. For example, training attention toward compassionate faces may be more beneficial than training attention toward smiling faces for the reasons outlined above (i.e. broad-smiles can signal social dominance and therefore be a threat). In addition, reducing self-criticism is a primary target of compassion-based interventions and so one might hypothesise that a compassion-based CBMT may be more effective than a generic positive affect-based CBMT.

### **Materials and Method**

#### **Design**

A mixed design was employed with Game Type (Compassion Game vs. Self-Esteem Game) as the between-subjects independent variable, and Time (baseline, post and follow-up) as the within-subjects independent variable. Self-criticism can affect how compassionate interventions are received [39], therefore we used a stratified randomization method to ensure an equal distribution of participants with high and low inadequate self-criticism scores (using the lower cut-off from Duarte et al. [39]) in both conditions.

#### **Participants**

Four participants were identified as outliers and were excluded, so the analyses were conducted on the data from the remaining 66 participants. Participants ( $N = 66$ ) comprised University of Derby students and non-lecturing/research staff (42 females and 24 males) aged 19 to 76 years ( $M = 36.77$ ,  $SD = 11.43$ ). Participants were randomised to play either the Compassion Game ( $N = 33$ ) or the Self-Esteem Game ( $N = 33$ ). Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Derby Psychology Department ethics committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in Tokyo in 2004).

#### **CBMT Training Conditions**

**The Compassion Game.** Stimuli for the Compassion Game consisted of black and white compassionate and critical faces of men and women taken from the McEwan Faces facial stimuli set [6]. Compassion pictures are characterised as having a softer and more subdued close-mouthed smile expressing kindness and compassion compared to broad open-mouthed or expressive smiles that denote happiness or joy. Critical faces are characterised by frowning eyebrows with downturned lips to denote disapproval and criticism compared to scowling expressions with contracted nose muscles that denote anger and threat. Compassionate and neutral faces were rated by participants and showed good retest reliability ( $r=.86$  for compassionate faces and  $r=.60$  for neutral faces – see [6] for further validation details). The game replicated the Self-Esteem Game [12] in every other respect and asked

participants to identify the compassionate face in a 4 x 4 grid of critical distractor faces as quickly as possible. The game took approximately 5-6 minutes to complete, including 10 practice trials and 80 experimental trials. For each trial, one of the 16 compassionate faces was randomly assigned to one of the 16 squares in the grid, with 15 critical faces assigned to the remainder of the grid. Participants accessed the game through the internet. The Compassion Game was programmed in AdobeFlash and is available on request from the authors. Examples of the Compassion Game and Self-Esteem Game screens are shown in Figure 1.

[Insert Figure 1 here]

**The Self-Esteem Game.** The Self-Esteem training condition was the same as used in Dandeneau et al. [12, 26-27] (see [12] for full details). The stimuli consisted of grayscale pictures of smiling and frowning faces as depictions of accepting and rejecting information. Participants were asked to “identify the smiling/accepting person as quickly as possible”. The game involved the same 4x 4 grid structure, with one target among 16 faces, and 80 experimental trials.

### Procedure

Participants who gave consent were scheduled to attend a laboratory session where they completed baseline measures of the 22-item Forms of Self-Criticism/Attacking and Self-Reassurance Scale, measuring Inadequate Self-Criticism,  $\alpha = .90$ , Hated Self-Criticism,  $\alpha = .86$ , and Self-Reassurance,  $\alpha = .86$ , [38]. Participants were asked to rate on a five-point scale (ranging from 0 = not at all like me to 4 = extremely like me) how they might typically think and react when things go wrong for them. Participants also completed the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale ( $\alpha = .82$ ) to assess general feelings of self-worth [40]. They were then assigned using stratified randomisation (controlling for baseline self-criticism level) to either the Compassion or the Self-Esteem CBMT training group and were asked to play the games a minimum of 10 times over a period of two weeks. Daily email reminders were sent to encourage participants to practice the game online for approximately 5 minutes daily. Each participants' game sessions were time-stamped online at the beginning and end to track game playing compliance. After the two-week training, participants attended a post-training laboratory session where they completed the same self-report measures as during the baseline. This was repeated one month later for follow-up evaluations.

### Data Analysis

The data were analysed using a mixed MANOVA with game condition (Compassion versus Self-Esteem) as the between-subjects variable and time (baseline vs. post) as the within-subjects variable to test the hypothesis that the Compassion Game would reduce self-criticism to a greater extent than the Self-Esteem Game. Additional MANOVAs assessed whether any effects were maintained between baseline vs. follow-up, and post-task vs. follow-up. Scores were screened for outliers using scatter-plots and z-scores. The data were screened for normality of distribution. The scores on the hated self-criticism subscale were positively skewed (3.1) and kurtotic (14.19) and were therefore were log-transformed for analysis.

### Results

Independent measures *t* tests showed that there were no significant differences in game playing compliance or baseline scores between game conditions (all *ps* >.07). Of importance, the stratified randomisation method created groups with equivalent levels of inadequate self-criticism. In other words, participants in the Compassion Game and Self-Esteem Game conditions did not differ on baseline scores of inadequate self-criticism,  $F(1, 64) = 1.71, p = 0.165$  (See Table 1 for means and SD). Game playing compliance was high in both the Compassion Game condition ( $M = 9.58$ ,

$SD = 1.52$ ) and the Self-Esteem Game ( $M = 9.89, SD = 1.20$ ). Table 1 shows the means and standard deviations for all three components of self-criticism scores at baseline, post, and follow-up for the two game conditions.

[Insert Table 1 around here]

**Effects of game condition.** There was a multivariate main effect of time (baseline vs. post),  $F(4, 60) = 4.87, p = .002, \eta_p^2 = .245$ . The 2 (Time: baseline vs post) by 2 (Game Type) repeated-measures univariate tests revealed a significant main effect of Time for inadequate self-criticism,  $F(1, 63) = 9.82, p = .003, \eta_p^2 = .135$ , hated self-criticism,  $F(1, 63) = 6.67, p = .012, \eta_p^2 = .096$ , and self-reassurance,  $F(1, 63) = 137.09, p = .010, \eta_p^2 = .101$ , indicating that participants playing both games showed reductions in inadequate and hated self-criticism, and increases in self-reassurance. All three interaction terms were non-significant,  $F(1, 63) = 0.30, p = .585$ ;  $F(1, 63) = 0.24, p = .625$ ; and  $F(1, 63) = 0.24, p = .625$  (inadequate, hated, and self-reassurance respectively). There were no further significant between-subjects, within-subjects or interaction effects ( $ps$  all  $> .28$ ).

Post-hoc within-subjects contrasts comparing baseline vs. post self-criticism scores for both conditions *separately* showed that participants in the Compassion Game condition showed a significant decrease in inadequate self-criticism,  $F(1, 32) = 5.41, p = .026, \eta_p^2 = .145$ , and an increase in self-reassurance,  $F(1, 32) = 8.88, p = .005, \eta_p^2 = .217$ , and a significant reduction for hated self-criticism,  $F(1, 32) = 4.97, p = .033, \eta_p^2 = .134$ . Participants in the Self-Esteem Game condition showed a significant decrease from baseline to post in inadequate self-criticism,  $F(1, 31) = 4.57, p = .041, \eta_p^2 = .128$ , but not for self-reassurance,  $F(1, 31) = .93, p = .34$ , and hated self-criticism,  $F(1, 31) = 2.38, p = .16$ .

A 2 (Time: baseline vs post) by 2 (Game Type) repeated-measures univariate ANOVA was also conducted on trait self-esteem scores and revealed non-significant main and interaction effects,  $F(1, 63) = 0.000397, p = .984$  and  $F(1, 63) = 4.76, p = .493$ , respectively.

The presence of a main effect of time in combination with the absence of a condition and interaction effect suggested that participants in *both* game conditions showed significant improvements. As both training conditions showed significant changes, it was difficult to say whether these changes are due to the positive training effects of both conditions or due to a regression to the mean effect [41]. Using a statistical approach developed by Ostermann, Willich, and Lüdtkke [42], we therefore estimated the range of the population mean ( $\mu$ ) where observed training effects were likely to occur. This method calculated, using the observed data, a range of values for the population mean for which a training effect would occur. When the observed data (see Table 1 for means of observed data) are completely within the range of population estimates, one can conclude that the observed changes are *most likely* due to a treatment effect [42]. Conversely, when the observed data (i.e. group means) show partial overlap or are far from overlapping, one concludes that the observed changes are, respectively, *probably* and *unlikely* due to a treatment effect. These estimates were calculated only for the significant contrasts reported above. Estimates for the changes observed in inadequate self-criticism for participants in the Compassion condition indicated the presence of a training effect when population scores were 13.3 and above (i.e.,  $\mu_{Compassion} = 13.3$  and above). The observed data overlap this estimated range ( $M_{baseline} = 14.50$ , and  $M_{post} = 12.27$ ) suggesting that the decrease in inadequate self-criticism scores were probably due to a *training effect*. Changes observed in the Self-Esteem condition estimate a  $\mu_{Self-Esteem} = 12.2$  and above, suggesting that the observed decrease again was probably due to a *training effect*. In regard to self-reassurance scores, estimates

indicated that increases observed in the Compassion Game condition were probably due to a training effect ( $\mu_{Compassion} = 18.5$  and lower).

**Effects at follow-up.** To explore whether any effects were maintained at follow-up, the same mixed measures MANOVA analyses were repeated for variables at baseline vs. follow-up, and post vs. follow-up.

*Baseline to follow-up.* For baseline vs. follow-up analyses there was a multivariate main effect for time with significant differences between baseline and follow-up  $F(7, 58) = 6.34, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .434$ . Univariate tests revealed that follow-up scores for inadequate and hated self-criticism, and self-reassurance, were significantly different than baseline scores,  $F(1, 64) = 29.97, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .319$ ;  $F(1, 64) = 7.93, p = .006, \eta_p^2 = .110$ ;  $F(1, 64) = 11.45, p = .001, \eta_p^2 = .152$ , respectively. Within-subject contrasts showed that for participants in the Compassion Game condition, there was a significant decrease from baseline to follow-up in inadequate self-criticism,  $F(1, 32) = 28.20, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .468$ , and an increase in self-reassurance,  $F(1, 32) = 10.97, p = .002, \eta_p^2 = .225$ , but a marginal effect for hated self-criticism,  $F(1, 32) = 3.97, p = .055, \eta_p^2 = .110$ . For participants in the Self-Esteem Game condition, there was a significant decrease from baseline to follow-up for inadequate self-criticism,  $F(1, 32) = 5.52, p = .025, \eta_p^2 = .147$ , and hated self-criticism,  $F(1, 32) = 4.35, p = .045, \eta_p^2 = .120$ , but a non-significant change for self-reassurance,  $F(1, 32) = .251, p = .25$ . Estimates for the population range of the training effects were again computed for the observed significant differences. Population ranges of training effects for inadequate self-criticism indicated that changes were *most likely* due to training effects for both the Compassion ( $\mu_{Compassion} = 7.6$  and above) and for the Self-Esteem Game condition ( $\mu_{Self-Esteem} = 10.2$  and above). Estimates for the changes in self-reassurance between baseline and follow-up in the Compassion Game condition suggested that changes were most likely due to a training effect ( $\mu_{Compassion} = 24.0$  and lower). Finally, changes in hated self-criticism for the Self-Esteem Game condition suggested an unlikely presence of a training effect ( $\mu_{Self-Esteem} = 2.0$  and above).

*Post to follow-up.* Comparing post with follow-up, there were no multivariate effects of time (post vs. follow-up),  $F(4, 61) = 1.24, p = .32$ , indicating that significant changes between baseline and post were maintained at follow-up.

## Discussion

Study 1 indicated that individuals responded favourably to both types of training games that utilized positive facial stimuli. Results suggest that this type of training could be particularly helpful in reducing self-criticism. Interestingly, the Compassion Game and Self-Esteem games did not show increases in trait self-esteem scores which may indicate that both training tasks have more specific effects on self-criticism (i.e. self-attacking and pejorative self-talk) than on trait self-esteem (i.e. general feelings of self-worth and social acceptance). Indeed, Dandeneau et al.'s [12, Studies 2a and 2b] did not measure trait self-esteem as an outcome measure but rather participants' *state* self-esteem when recuperating from a social stressor [12, Studies 3a and 3b]. The current results show a novel effect of the Self-Esteem (and the Compassion) game on trait-like measures of self-criticism.

The compassion faces did not lead to any further reduction in self-criticism over and above the positive faces of the self-esteem task. It may be that both games are beneficial as they train attention toward positive social stimuli and simultaneously away from critical or rejecting facial expressions. It could also be that the differences between compassionate and smiling facial expressions are too subtle to be distinguished. Further research comparing these different types of expression would be invaluable in establishing this. Importantly, the benefits of

reducing self-criticism were maintained over time at follow-up. Thus, a two-week intervention using social stimuli can have beneficial effects at 1-month post evaluation.

## Study 2

Results from Study 1 provided initial evidence for the benefits of the novel Compassion Game, showing comparable results to a previously validated task. A limitation of Study 1 was that the beneficial effects could be an artefact of intrinsic reward, i.e. finding something one was searching for or finding positive stimuli in general (regardless of whether these stimuli are compassionate or accepting). To further explore the benefits of the novel Compassion task, we aimed to compare its effects to a “neutral” control task to test for the intrinsic reward of repeated exposure to non-threatening social stimuli. In Study 2, we compared the Compassion Game to a task involving identifying the “young” adult in a crowd of “older” adults as a way to control for the interaction and exposure to “social stimuli” in the Compassion Game.

## Materials and Method

Three participants were identified as outliers and eliminated from analyses because their scores were greater than 3.00 SDs above the group mean (leaving a total of 59 participants). Participants ( $N = 59$ ) were students recruited from the University of Derby who did not participate in Study 1. They comprised 43 females and 16 males aged from 18 to 55 ( $M = 26.19$ ;  $SD = 9.45$ ). Stratified randomisation was used to allocate participants to either the Compassion Game ( $n = 31$ ) or the Neutral Control Game of searching for a younger neutral face amongst older neutral faces ( $n = 28$ ). The measures, procedure and analyses were identical to those of Study 1. The stimuli for the Neutral Control Game consisted of black and white pictures of older and younger men and women showing a neutral expression. The Neutral Control Game was programmed exactly the same as the Compassion Game with the exception that participants were instructed to identify the young neutral face amongst older neutral distractor faces as quickly as possible. The game took approximately 5 minutes to complete and included 10 practice trials and 80 experimental trials. The task was programmed in Adobe Flash and is available on request from the authors.

## Results

Independent measures  $t$  tests showed that there were no significant differences in game playing compliance or baseline scores between game conditions (all  $ps > .38$ ). Table 2 shows the means and standard deviations for study variables at baseline, post, and follow-up for the Compassion Game and Neutral Control Game conditions.

[Insert Table 2 around here]

### *Effects of Compassion and Neutral Control Games.*

Mixed measures MANOVAs comparing baseline and post-game playing on measures of inadequate self-criticism, hated self-criticism, and self-reassurance revealed a significant multivariate main effect for time,  $F(3, 53) = 8.81$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .333$ . Univariate repeated measures tests revealed a significant reduction in inadequate self-criticism from baseline to post-task,  $F(1, 55) = 23.52$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .300$ . The univariate tests for hated self ( $p = .109$ ) and reassured self ( $p = .074$ ) were not significant. There were no significant condition or interaction effects (all  $ps > .23$ ). Separate within-subjects contrasts for each condition showed a significant decrease in inadequate self-criticism score for those in the Compassion Game condition,  $F(1, 29) = 5.91$ ,  $p = .021$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .169$ , as well as for those in the Neutral Control Game condition,  $F(1, 26) = 20.93$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $\eta_p^2 = .446$ .

As in Study 1, estimates of the population range for the training effects were conducted for measures where significant differences were observed. In regard to the decrease in inadequate self-criticism scores, estimates indicated that the decrease observed in the Compassion Game condition was probably due to a *training effect* ( $\mu_{Compassion} = 14.5$  and above), and the decrease in the Neutral Control Game condition was most likely due to a training effect ( $\mu_{Control} = 7.2$  and above).

**Effects at follow-up.** To explore whether the effect on inadequate self-criticism was maintained at follow-up, the same mixed measures MANOVA analysis was conducted comparing baseline to follow-up scores and showed a significant effect of time,  $F(3, 51) = 12.13, p = .001, \eta_p^2 = .416$ . Univariate tests showed a significant effect of time for inadequate self-criticism,  $F(1, 53) = 30.38, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .364$ . Follow-up contrasts for each condition showed a significant decrease from baseline to follow-up for those in the Compassion Game condition,  $F(1, 28) = 18.40, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .397$ , and a significant decrease for those in the Neutral Control Game condition,  $F(1, 25) = 12.45, p = .002, \eta_p^2 = .332$ . Estimates of the population range of the training effects suggested that the decrease observed in both conditions from baseline to follow-up were most likely due to a training effect ( $\mu_{Compassion} = 11.1$  and above,  $\mu_{Control} = 7.2$  and above). A multivariate repeated measures MANOVA comparing post to follow-up scores showed no within-subjects or between-subjects effects (all  $ps > .27$ ) indicating that the effects at post were likely stable and maintained at follow-up.

## Discussion

Study 2 revealed significant improvements in inadequate self-criticism for both games. These changes were maintained at one-month follow-up, providing promising evidence for more long-term benefits of re-training ones' attentional patterns. Regression to the Mean analyses showed that the changes from baseline to post-training in inadequate self-criticism were due to a training effect. We had originally constructed the Neutral Control Game using young and older faces as a way to control for the interaction and exposure to "social stimuli" in the Compassion Game. However, the facial stimuli used in the Neutral Control Game seem to have created an unexpected effect. Upon further review, this effect could be explained by the combination of the following five factors. First, previous studies showed that training attention toward neutral stimuli could be beneficial in altering attentional biases [e.g. 13-16]. Second, own-age bias may have inadvertently induced feelings of social connection and social acceptance, which in turn may have helped reduce inadequate self-criticism [43-44]. Third, research has shown that stimuli which are repeatedly inhibited in the context of a visual attention task (in our case older faces) acquire a negative valence [45]. Fourth, it could be that simple exposure to non-threatening facial expressions is socially rewarding in itself [46]. Fifth, it could simply be that the neutral task was easier than the Compassion Game and was therefore rewarding. Taken together, these factors suggest that the Neutral Control Game may have inadvertently had positive training effects.

## General Discussion

Overall the two studies indicated that training attention toward social stimuli, either compassionate/accepting/in-group member, while inhibiting critical/rejecting/out-group members had beneficial effects on people's feelings of inadequate self-criticism. Encouragingly these effects were maintained at one-month follow-up with some evidence of *further* reductions in inadequate self-criticism. Few studies of Cognitive Bias Modification Tasks (CBMTs) have pursued follow-up measurements, and hence it was previously unclear how enduring these training effects were. Our finding that intervention effects were maintained at follow-up was consistent with the few previous studies which pursued follow-up data [47-48]. These are particularly salient findings as the

extent of cognitive training was modest (i.e. 80 trials, five minutes per day over 10 days) compared with the more intensive training of previous studies [15-16, 47, 49]; and the ease with which the training was done (i.e. training was completed as home practice).

We reason that all training conditions reduced inadequate self-criticism because of our innate need to approach and affiliate with others who are compassionate or kind [1-2] as a way of regulating emotion or attenuating feelings of threat and increasing feelings of safeness. In the case of the novel Compassion Game (Study 1 and 2), participants repeatedly focused on and approached compassionate smiles, whereas in the Self-Esteem Game (Study 1) participants focused on and approached overtly smiling faces. In these two conditions, social stimuli were represented by positive social stimuli (smiles). Unexpectedly, the Neutral Control Game (Study 2) also had positive effects on participants' feelings of inadequate self-criticism, and upon further reflection, likely because participants *also* repeatedly focused on, and approached positive social stimuli however of a slightly different nature. In particular in the case of the find-the-younger-face training (Neutral Control Game), own-age bias [43-44] of our relatively young student sample may have inadvertently induced feelings of social connection and social acceptance thereby effectively training them to focus on and approach positive social stimuli, which in turn may have helped reduce inadequate self-criticism. Research using neutral faces has shown that participants are quicker to recognise faces of their own age group [43-44]. Repeatedly identifying an own-age person in a crowd of older distractors is akin to finding an in-group member in a crowd of an out-group crowd. This constant and repeated searching for an in-group member may have induced an "us vs. them" or "young vs. old" mindset and possibly triggered feelings of social connection and social belonging when the in-group member was "found". Further research directly comparing these types of positive facial expressions is needed to further illuminate this.

### **Limitations and Future Research**

The two studies presented here were not without limitations. Given the different time span between baseline and follow-up it would be important to capture people's "naturally occurring" inadequate self-criticism trajectory. Further research could benefit from measuring longer-term outcomes to assess the enduring effects of CBMTs. It would also be informative to include a third arm of no treatment or waiting list control to see how much scores improve naturally over time without intervention (we have assessed this using regression to the mean analyses). The forms of self-criticism scale has reported good retest reliability [38], therefore these scores should remain fairly consistent over time without intervention. Also, the current reported effects are on self-reported measures which are good indicators of people's self-reflective and introspective thoughts, however future studies could investigate behavioural measures related to self-criticism in an effort to investigate whether these effects translate to more concrete and actionable benefits. Our sample of participants was also limited to university students and some non-academic staff. Future research could also focus on whether these paradigms are beneficial for people outside of a University setting and in clinical populations as an adjunct to therapy.

### **Conclusion**

A meta-analysis [50] provided evidence across 12 studies that CBMTs reduce clinical anxiety (more than control) and have recently garnered attention for their promising effects. Indeed, CBMTs showed comparable effect sizes with Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) [50]. There is also evidence from neuroimaging studies that CBMTs may more directly and implicitly target subcortical attentional processes than other treatments, which target a broader

array of processes [51]. Online materials are increasingly seen as a cost-effective solution to healthcare [52] and it could be that CBMTs are an inexpensive addition to other interventions, if effective. To sum, this research indicated that CBMTs which feature social facial stimuli (whether this be compassionate, accepting, or in-group members) could be used to reduce self-criticism among the general population. CBMTs may have potential as an inexpensive adjunct to increase treatment impact; particularly where self-criticism is a major factor.

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was undertaken at the University of Derby and was funded by a grant awarded by the Leverhulme Trust (Ref: F/02 224/A). The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Leverhulme Trust.

### **Conflicts of interest**

The funding for publication fees was kindly provided by the Compassionate Mind Foundation. The Compassionate Mind Foundation has had no input into the data analyses or interpretation of findings in this research.

## References

1. Carter CS. Neuroendocrine perspectives on social attachment and love. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 1998 Nov 1;23(8):779-818.
2. Mikulincer M, Shaver PR. Attachment in adulthood: Structure, dynamics, and change. Guilford Press; 2007 May 14.
3. Ekman P, Friesen WV. Constants across cultures in the face and emotion. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 1971 Feb;17(2):124.
4. Owen S, Maratos FA. Recognition of subtle and universal facial expressions in a community-based sample of adults classified with intellectual disability. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*. 2016 Apr;60(4):344-54.
5. Mansell W, Clark DM, Ehlers A, Chen YP. Social anxiety and attention away from emotional faces. *Cognition & Emotion*. 1999 Oct 1;13(6):673-90.
6. McEwan K, Gilbert P, Dandeneau S, Lipka S, Maratos F, Paterson KB, Baldwin M. Facial expressions depicting compassionate and critical emotions: The development and validation of a new emotional face stimulus set. *PloS one*. 2014 Feb 19;9(2):e88783.
7. Mogg K, Bradley BP. Some methodological issues in assessing attentional biases for threatening faces in anxiety: A replication study using a modified version of the probe detection task. *Behaviour research and therapy*. 1999 Jun 1;37(6):595-604.
8. Hofmann SG, Grossman P, Hinton DE. Loving-kindness and compassion meditation: Potential for psychological interventions. *Clinical psychology review*. 2011 Nov 1;31(7):1126-32.
9. Braehler C, Gumley A, Harper J, Wallace S, Norrie J, Gilbert P. Exploring change processes in compassion focused therapy in psychosis: Results of a feasibility randomized controlled trial. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2013 Jun;52(2):199-214.
10. Fredrickson BL, Cohn MA, Coffey KA, Pek J, Finkel SM. Open hearts build lives: positive emotions, induced through loving-kindness meditation, build consequential personal resources. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 2008 Nov;95(5):1045.
11. Judge L, Cleghorn A, McEwan K, Gilbert P. An exploration of group-based compassion focused therapy for a heterogeneous range of clients presenting to a community mental health team. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*. 2012 Dec;5(4):420-9.
12. Dandeneau SD, Baldwin MW, Baccus JR, Sakellaropoulo M, Pruessner JC. Cutting stress off at the pass: reducing vigilance and responsiveness to social threat by manipulating attention. *Journal of personality and social psychology*. 2007 Oct;93(4):651.
13. MacLeod, C., Soong, L.Y., Rutherford, M.F., & Campbell, L.N. (2007) Internet delivered assessment and manipulation of anxiety-linked attentional bias: Validation of a free-access attentional probe software package. *Behavioural Research Methods*, 39, 533-538.
14. MacLeod C, Rutherford E, Campbell L, Ebsworthy G, Holker L. Selective attention and emotional vulnerability: assessing the causal basis of their association through the experimental manipulation of attentional bias. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2002 Feb;111(1):107.
15. Amir N, Beard C, Burns M, Bomyea J. Attention modification program in individuals with generalized anxiety disorder. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2009 Feb;118(1):28.

16. See J, MacLeod C, Bridle R. The reduction of anxiety vulnerability through the modification of attentional bias: a real-world study using a home-based cognitive bias modification procedure. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2009 Feb;118(1):65.
17. Wadlinger HA, Isaacowitz DM. Looking happy: The experimental manipulation of a positive visual attention bias. *Emotion*. 2008 Feb;8(1):121.
18. Boettcher J, Leek L, Matson L, Holmes EA, Browning M, MacLeod C, Andersson G, Carlbring P. Internet-based attention bias modification for social anxiety: a randomised controlled comparison of training towards negative and training towards positive cues. *PloS one*. 2013 Sep 30;8(9):e71760.
19. Garner M, Mogg K, Bradley BP. Orienting and maintenance of gaze to facial expressions in social anxiety. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2006 Nov;115(4):760.
20. MacLeod C, Grafton B. Anxiety-linked attentional bias and its modification: illustrating the importance of distinguishing processes and procedures in experimental psychopathology research. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2016 Nov 1;86:68-86.
21. Mathews A, Mogg K, Kentish J, Eysenck M. Effect of psychological treatment on cognitive bias in generalized anxiety disorder. *Behaviour research and therapy*. 1995 Mar 1;33(3):293-303.
22. Bradley, B. P., Mogg, K., & Lee, S. C. (1997). Attentional biases for negative information in induced and naturally occurring dysphoria. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 35(10), 911–927.
23. De Voogd EL, Wiers RW, Prins PJ, De Jong PJ, Boendermaker WJ, Zwitter RJ, Salemink E. Online attentional bias modification training targeting anxiety and depression in unselected adolescents: Short-and long-term effects of a randomized controlled trial. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2016 Dec 1;87:11-22.
24. Ferrari GR, Möbius M, van Opdorp A, Becker ES, Rinck M. Can't look away: An eye-tracking based attentional disengagement training for depression. *Cognitive therapy and research*. 2016 Oct 1;40(5):672-86.
25. Berenson KR, Gyurak A, Ayduk Ö, Downey G, Garner MJ, Mogg K, Bradley BP, Pine DS. Rejection sensitivity and disruption of attention by social threat cues. *Journal of research in personality*. 2009 Dec 1;43(6):1064-72.
26. Dandeneau SD, Baldwin MW. The inhibition of socially rejecting information among people with high versus low self-esteem: The role of attentional bias and the effects of bias reduction training. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*. 2004 Aug 1;23(4):584-603.
28. Ehrlich KB, Gerson SA, Vanderwert RE, Cannon EN, Fox NA. Hypervigilance to rejecting stimuli in rejection sensitive individuals: behavioral and neurocognitive evidence. *Personality and individual differences*. 2015 Oct 1;85:7-12.
29. Gilbert P, Irons C. Focused therapies and compassionate mind training for shame and self-attacking. *Compassion: Conceptualisations, research and use in psychotherapy*. 2005 Jul 5:263-325.
30. Gilbert P, Procter S. Compassionate mind training for people with high shame and self-criticism: Overview and pilot study of a group therapy approach. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy: An International Journal of Theory & Practice*. 2006 Nov;13(6):353-79.
31. Gilbert P, McEwan K, Matos M, Rivis A. Fears of compassion: Development of three self-report measures. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, research and practice*. 2011 Sep;84(3):239-55.

32. Ekman P, Friesen WV. Felt, false, and miserable smiles. *Journal of nonverbal behavior*. 1982 Jun 1;6(4):238-52.
33. Schultheiss OC, Pang JS, Torges CM, Wirth MM, Treynor W. Perceived facial expressions of emotion as motivational incentives: Evidence from a differential implicit learning paradigm. *Emotion*. 2005 Mar;5(1):41.
34. Rapee RM, Heimberg RG. A cognitive-behavioral model of anxiety in social phobia. *Behaviour research and therapy*. 1997 Aug 1;35(8):741-56.
35. Zuroff DC, Moskowitz DS, Côté S. Dependency, self-criticism, interpersonal behaviour and affect: Evolutionary perspectives. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 1999 Sep;38(3):231-50.
36. Rector NA, Bagby RM, Segal ZV, Joffe RT, Levitt A. Self-criticism and dependency in depressed patients treated with cognitive therapy or pharmacotherapy. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*. 2000 Oct 1;24(5):571-84.
37. Thompson R, Zuroff DC. The Levels of Self-Criticism Scale: comparative self-criticism and internalized self-criticism. *Personality and individual differences*. 2004 Jan 1;36(2):419-30.
38. Gilbert P, Clarke M, Hempel S, Miles JN, Irons C. Criticizing and reassuring oneself: An exploration of forms, styles and reasons in female students. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2004 Mar;43(1):31-50.
39. Duarte J, McEwan K, Barnes C, Gilbert P, Maratos FA. Do therapeutic imagery practices affect physiological and emotional indicators of threat in high self-critics?. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*. 2015 Sep;88(3):270-84.
40. Rosenberg M. *Society and the adolescent self-image* Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univer. Press. Google Scholar. 1965.
41. Galton F. Regression towards mediocrity in hereditary stature. *The Journal of the Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland*. 1886 Jan 1;15:246-63.
42. Ostermann T, Willich SN, Lüdtke R. Regression toward the mean—a detection method for unknown population mean based on Mee and Chua's algorithm. *BMC medical research methodology*. 2008 Dec;8(1):52.
43. Bäckman L. Recognition memory across the adult life span: The role of prior knowledge. *Memory & Cognition*. 1991 Jan 1;19(1):63-71.
44. Lamont AC, Stewart-Williams S, Podd J. Face recognition and aging: Effects of target age and memory load. *Memory & Cognition*. 2005 Sep 1;33(6):1017-24.
45. Fenske MJ, Raymond JE, Kessler K, Westoby N, Tipper SP. Attentional inhibition has social-emotional consequences for unfamiliar faces. *Psychological Science*. 2005 Oct;16(10):753-8.
46. Goren CC, Sarty M, Wu PY. Visual following and pattern discrimination of face-like stimuli by newborn infants. *Pediatrics*. 1975 Oct 1;56(4):544-9.
47. Heeren A, Reese HE, McNally RJ, Philippot P. Attention training toward and away from threat in social phobia: effects on subjective, behavioral, and physiological measures of anxiety. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2012 Jan 1;50(1):30-9.

48. Schmidt NB, Richey JA, Buckner JD, Timpano KR. Attention training for generalized social anxiety disorder. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 2009 Feb;118(1):5.
49. Lee E, Kang JI, Park IH, Kim JJ, An SK. Is a neutral face really evaluated as being emotionally neutral?. *Psychiatry research*. 2008 Jan 15;157(1-3):77-85.
50. Hakamata Y, Lissek S, Bar-Haim Y, Britton JC, Fox NA, Leibenluft E, Ernst M, Pine DS. Attention bias modification treatment: a meta-analysis toward the establishment of novel treatment for anxiety. *Biological psychiatry*. 2010 Dec 1;68(11):982-90.
51. Browning M, Holmes EA, Murphy SE, Goodwin GM, Harmer CJ. Lateral prefrontal cortex mediates the cognitive modification of attentional bias. *Biological psychiatry*. 2010 May 15;67(10):919-25.
52. Hedman E, Andersson E, Ljótsson B, Andersson G, Rück C, Lindefors N. Cost-effectiveness of Internet-based cognitive behavior therapy vs. cognitive behavioral group therapy for social anxiety disorder: results from a randomized controlled trial. *Behaviour research and therapy*. 2011 Nov 1;49(11):729-36.
53. MacLeod C, Mathews A, Tata P. Attentional bias in emotional disorders. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 1986 Feb;95(1):15.
54. Schmukle SC. Unreliability of the dot probe task. *European Journal of Personality: Published for the European Association of Personality Psychology*. 2005 Dec;19(7):595-605.

Footnotes

Table 1

*Means (standard deviations) for forms self-criticism at baseline, post, and follow-up for Compassion Game and Self-Esteem Game conditions.*

	Score Range	Game Type	Baseline Mean (SD)	Post Training Mean (SD)	1-month Follow-Up Mean (SD)
Inadequate Self-Criticism	0 - 36	Compassion	14.50 (7.62)	12.27 (7.82)	10.14 (7.46)
		Self-Esteem	12.06 (7.51)	10.75 (6.72)	10.24 (6.70)
Hated Self-Criticism	0 - 20	Compassion	2.48 (3.81)	1.97 (3.13)	1.85 (2.95)
		Self-Esteem	1.85 (1.99)	1.41 (1.62)	1.39 (1.75)
Self-Reassurance	0 - 32	Compassion	17.79 (5.83)	19.82 (5.91)	20.61 (6.63)
		Self-Esteem	20.91 (5.51)	21.56 (6.15)	21.61 (6.03)

*Note:* Untransformed hated self-criticism scores are reported above, however the log transformed scores were used for the analyses reported in the Results section.

Table 2

*Means (standard deviations) for forms of self-criticism at baseline, post, and follow-up for Compassion Game and Neutral Control Game conditions.*

	Score Ranges	Game Type	Baseline Mean (SD)	Post Mean (SD)	Follow-up Mean (SD)
Inadequate Self-Criticism	0 - 36	Compassion	15.39 (7.71)	13.70 (7.64)	12.07 (7.86)
		Control	14.39 (8.10)	11.07 (7.52)	11.42 (7.82)
Hated Self-Criticism	0 - 20	Compassion	2.81 (3.49)	2.57 (3.37)	2.55 (3.55)
		Control	2.58 (3.01)	2.00 (2.90)	2.54 (3.71)
Self-Reassurance	0 - 32	Compassion	19.08 (4.31)	19.43 (4.57)	19.55 (4.85)
		Control	19.51 (5.08)	20.81 (5.70)	21.42 (6.01)

Figure 1: Compassion Game and Self-Esteem Game screens



**Self-Esteem Games** 

HOME GAMES RESEARCH NEWS OUR TEAM RESOURCES CONTACT

Grow Your Chi EyeSpy WHAM!

*Click on the smiling/approving picture as quickly as possible*



To view and play these games, you need [Macromedia Flash Player](#)

This game is for personal use only, not for sale or redistribution.  
It is not to be posted on the World Wide Web except on [www.selfesteem-games.mcgill.ca](http://www.selfesteem-games.mcgill.ca),  
where information about the game can also be found.