



**Interrelationships between Circular Economy and Industry
4.0: A Research Agenda for Sustainable Supply Chains**

Journal:	<i>Business Strategy and the Environment</i>
Manuscript ID	BSE-23-0581.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article (Direct Via EEO)
Keywords:	Sustainable Supply Chains, Industry 4.0, Circular Economy, Fuzzy Delphi, Focus Group, Systematic Literature Review

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Interrelationships between Circular Economy and Industry 4.0: A Research Agenda for Sustainable Supply Chains

The purpose of this article is to propose a novel classification of the interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles that highlights the most conclusive findings and extant gaps in the relevant research. A Systematic Literature Review has been developed to locate, select and evaluate relevant contributions made to CE interrelationships with I4.0 technologies. Studies have been analysed and classified according to the specific I4.0 technology and CE principle addressed (10Rs). The articles have been clustered into three main groups: (i) useful application of materials; (ii) extending the lifespan of products and their parts, and (iii) smarter product use and manufacture. A mind map of the investigated articles has been used to establish the interrelationships between individual technologies and each CE principle at the supply chain level. Based on this classification, a focus group interview (FGI) was held with experts to dig deeper into the interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles. The FGI results have identified how each as yet unexplored I4.0 technology could be linked to each CE principle. A Fuzzy Delphi (FD) study was also applied to identify the most relevant I4.0 technologies for improving CE principles and closing gaps in the literature regarding the 10R CE principles. In addition, guidelines have been established to assist with practical applications and generate a research agenda on the interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles at the supply chain level. Implications for theory include the extension of view from the research gaps between I4.0 technologies and the 10Rs identified in the literature; also, an FGI and FD were performed based on the detected research gaps to identify future lines of research for academics and offer useful guidance to directors and managers on I4.0 technology interrelationships for improving at least one of the 10R CE principles. The contribution to practice aims to enable managers to easily identify which technology from the I4.0 domain should be used to advance any given CE principle. Lastly, we provide useful guidance on the application of as-yet-unused technologies to improve CE principles.

Keywords: Circular Economy; Industry 4.0 (I4.0); I4.0 technologies; Sustainable Supply Chains; Fuzzy Delphi.

Interrelationships between Circular Economy and Industry 4.0: A Research Agenda for Sustainable Supply Chains

The purpose of this article is to propose a novel classification of the interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles that highlights the most conclusive findings and extant gaps in the relevant research. A Systematic Literature Review has been developed to locate, select and evaluate relevant contributions made to CE interrelationships with I4.0 technologies. Studies have been analysed and classified according to the specific I4.0 technology and CE principle addressed (10Rs). The articles have been clustered into three main groups: (i) useful application of materials; (ii) extending the lifespan of products and their parts, and (iii) smarter product use and manufacture. A mind map of the investigated articles has been used to establish the interrelationships between individual technologies and each CE principle at the supply chain level. Based on this classification, a focus group interview (FGI) was held with experts to dig deeper into the interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles. The FGI results have identified how each as yet unexplored I4.0 technology could be linked to each CE principle. A Fuzzy Delphi (FD) study was also applied to identify the most relevant I4.0 technologies for improving CE principles and closing gaps in the literature regarding the 10R CE principles. In addition, guidelines have been established to assist with practical applications and generate a research agenda on the interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles at the supply chain level. Implications for theory include the extension of view from the research gaps between I4.0 technologies and the 10Rs identified in the literature; also, an FGI and FD were performed based on the detected research gaps to identify future lines of research for academics and offer useful guidance to directors and managers on I4.0 technology interrelationships for improving at least one of the 10R CE principles. The contribution to practice aims to enable managers to easily identify which technology from the I4.0 domain should be used to advance any given CE principle. Lastly, we provide useful guidance on the application of as-yet-unused technologies to improve CE principles.

Keywords: Circular Economy; Industry 4.0 (I4.0); I4.0 technologies; Sustainable Supply Chains; Fuzzy Delphi.

1. Introduction

The Circular Economy (CE) is a robust approach to promoting a change to sustainable practices in processes, technologies and people in society (Nascimento et al., 2019). CE proposes a transition from linear systems to circular production systems that reduce the need for mineral extraction, emissions, waste and contamination through a sustainable economy (Lopes de Sousa Jabbour et al., 2018). According to Caiado et al. (2022), the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) established by the United Nations (UN) are directly benefitted by CE, especially goals 9 (build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialisation and foster innovation); 12 (ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns) and 13 (take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts).

Several studies highlight the relevance and contribution of the interrelationships (i.e., a mutual relationship between the two concepts) between CE principles and Industry 4.0 (I4.0) technologies to accelerate the transition process towards circular production systems (Lopes de Sousa Jabbour et al., 2018; Nascimento et al., 2019; Bag, Gupta and Kumar et al., 2021), e.g., Big Data Analytics (BDA) and CE in the flexible supply chain to improve sustainable manufacturing (Edwin Cheng et al., 2021). Kerin and Pham (2019) identified these interrelationships in a systematic review of I4.0 technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) to support re-manufacturing. Also, circular business models that connect Additive Manufacturing (AM) and recycling practices in a digital sustainable supply chain have been found to achieve circularity (Nascimento et al., 2019). It is also important to mention blockchain technology, which revolutionises and securely digitises financial transactions and data exchange between companies in circular supply chains (Choi et al., 2020; Kouhizadeh et al., 2020).

The recent literature on CE has identified and improved strategies, principles and capabilities to transit from a linear to a circular economy (Kirchherr, Reike and Hekkert, 2017; Potting et al., 2017; Bag, Gupta and Kumar, 2021). According to Kirchherr, Reike and Hekkert (2017), there are 16 possible combinations of CE core principles in the 4 Rs (Reduction, Reuse, Recycling and Recovery). However, the CE core principle that is most frequently used and most frequently cited as the most holistic is the 3R framework (Reduce, Reuse and Recycle) in the production, circulation and consumption process. It must be mentioned that some new principles and strategies have been derived from this

1
2
3 definition, e.g., the 9R framework proposed by [Potting et al., \(2017\)](#), which separates
4 groupings of characteristics from linear to circular production systems into 10Rs: (i)
5 useful application of materials (R9 Recover; R8 Recycle); (ii) extending the lifespan of
6 products and their parts (R7 Repurpose; R6 Re-manufacture; R5 Re-furbish; R4 Repair;
7 R3 Reuse), and (iii) smarter product use and manufacture (R2 Reduce; R1 Re-think; R0
8 Refuse).
9

10
11
12
13
14 In a literature review of the 10R principles and I4.0 in general, [Bag, Gupta and Kumar et](#)
15 [al., \(2021\)](#) verified the degree to which I4.0 implementation enhances CE principles in
16 mainly manufacturing companies and the causal relationships. However, the influence of
17 specific I4.0 technologies on each of the 10R principles was not evaluated in either the
18 literature or empirically. So, although specific I4.0 technologies can positively influence
19 each of the CE principles, neither the relationships that are still unexplored in the literature
20 nor how they can be extended to increase circularity in sustainable supply chains have
21 been verified. This supports the problem statement to evaluate the interrelationships
22 between these specific I4.0 technologies and 10R principles to create a research agenda
23 for sustainable supply chains.
24
25

26
27
28
29
30
31 This work will undertake a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) to identify the
32 interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and 10R principles. A proposed
33 classification of the interrelationships between individual I4.0 technologies and 10R
34 principles will be used to create a CE-I4.0 mind map to evaluate these interrelationships
35 with the literature. This is then used to categorise the 10R principles into three groups
36 (useful application of materials, extending the lifespan of products and their parts, and
37 smarter product use and manufacture) and observe which I4.0 technologies influence each
38 of these groups to deduce the most consistent interrelationships. The identification of the
39 research gaps in the literature enables a Focus Group Interview (FGI) and Fuzzy Delphi
40 (FD) to evaluate, analyse and discuss some new interrelationships in which I4.0
41 technologies can influence CE principles to drive sustainable development. Based on the
42 SLR, FGI and FD results, the objective is to create metacognitive mind maps and carry
43 out qualitative analyses to establish some guidelines for implementing CE-I4.0 practices
44 in sustainable supply chains. FGI and FD will enable researchers to determine the best
45 directions in which to take their future research and managers to identify how they can
46 exploit I4.0 technologies to advance the 10R principles.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The innovation for theory is provided by the results of an SLR that investigates works
4 related to I4.0 technologies and CE principles to analyse, verify and identify conclusive
5 relationships and research gaps in the literature. Based on the FGI and FD results, a
6 research agenda is created of the interrelationships between some specific I4.0
7 technologies and 10R principles with an innovative classification of the literature that
8 also allows to analyse the research gaps. Thus, a contribution is made to theory by
9 indicating directions to guide future research on how underexplored I4.0 technologies
10 could enhance CE principles in the short term.
11
12

13
14
15
16
17 For managers, this work will help to identify which I4.0 technologies they should use
18 depending on the CE principle (R) that they want to advance. It also gives guidance on
19 how newer technologies as yet unstudied in the literature could support each CE principle.
20 The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: first, we provide a summary of the
21 methodology used (section 2). This is followed by the results (section 3) and the
22 discussion (section 4). Finally, some conclusions (section 5) are presented.
23
24
25
26
27

28 **2. Material and methods**

29
30 The research method is exploratory, with an SLR based on a central question that aims to
31 investigate the main contributions that I4.0 technologies make to improving at least one
32 of the 10R principles (Denyer and Tranfield, 2009). This research is mixed (Caiado et al.,
33 2022) as it combines qualitative practices with the use of a rigorous process of evaluation,
34 selection and reading to classify the relevant literature findings (Denyer and Tranfield,
35 2009), while new interrelationships are evaluated empirically through focus groups that
36 address the identified research gaps (Nascimento et al., 2019). A quantitative approach is
37 also used (Thomé et al., 2016) in the form of a descriptive analysis of the selected sample
38 to determine the characteristics, frequency and distribution of the authors' institutions and
39 the number of works that use the CE-I4.0 approach to support sustainable management
40 systems (Garza-Reyes, 2015).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Note that this approach starts with an SLR with a rigorous literature selection and
50 evaluation process (Denyer and Tranfield, 2009). This enables an innovative knowledge
51 classification between CE and I4.0 that enables a mind map to be established that
52 systematises these relationships (Saieg et al., 2018). In addition, an empirical approach is
53 applied with an SLR PRISMA graph (Thomé et al., 2016), a FGI to discuss the
54 implementation of CE-I4.0 interrelationships and FD to select from the FGI the most
55 urgently required I4.0 technologies for improving CE principles, as shown in Fig. 1.
56
57
58
59
60




Fig. 1. Research Process, Steps and Procedures

1
2
3 An SLR has been developed to locate, select and evaluate the relevant contributions on
4 I4.0 technologies and CE fields. The Denyer and Tranfield (2009) recommendations were
5 followed to select and analyse the works and said authors' five-step methodology was
6 applied. The validation and data coding step was included to guarantee the reliability of
7 the systematic review process (Danese, Manfè and Romano, 2018). Two further
8 complementary steps were added based on the relationship gaps identified by the SLR:
9 the focus group step for expert empirical verification (Nascimento et al., 2020). Thus, the
10 seven research stages are (1) Formulation of the research question; (2) Identification of
11 the studies; (3) Selection and evaluation of studies; (4) Analysis and synthesis (Denyer
12 and Tranfield, 2009); (5) Validation and Data Code (Danese, Manfè and Romano, 2018);
13 (6) Focus Group (Nascimento et al., 2020); (7) Fuzzy Delphi (Garcia-Buendia et al.,
14 2021); (8) Presentation of results (Denyer and Tranfield, 2009).

2.1. Question Formulation

25
26
27 The initial step of an SLR is the formulation of the research question (Denyer and
28 Tranfield, 2009). The central research question formulated to guide this study is: What
29 interrelationships exist in the literature between I4.0 technologies and CE principles?
30 Considering the central question, the secondary questions to segment the expected results
31 of the present investigation are:

32
33
34 RQ1. What relationships exist in the literature between CE principles and I4.0
35 technologies?

36
37 RQ2. What research gaps between CE principles and I4.0 technologies can be
38 identified in the literature?

39
40 RQ3. How can the research gaps between CE principles and I4.0 technologies
41 identified in the literature be exploited to improve Sustainable Supply Chains?

42
43 RQ4. What are the guidelines for increasing the maturity of the integration between
44 I4.0 and CE in Sustainable Supply Chains?

2.2. Locating Studies

The second step is to locate the relevant studies. Two decisions are essential at this stage: choice of search engine and formulation of search strings. Web of Science (WOS) was selected as the search engine as it offers exhaustive coverage of the field with high-quality international academic publications from influential publishers (Olawumi and Chan, 2018; Wamba and Queiroz, 2019; Marín et al., 2021; Garcia-Buendia et al., 2021). Authors agreed on a selection of search keywords based on the previous literature (Pagliosa et al., 2021). The search string was designed using Boolean operators as a combination of two-word groups, one group representing I4.0 technologies and the other, CE principles. Table 1 presents the search string intended to ensure that the located articles contained at least one of the search keywords from each of the two groups in the document's title, abstract or keywords. This step retrieved a total of 551 documents.

Table 1. Research protocol for WOS database

Search String	Group 1	TS=(“Circular Economy” OR “Industrial Ecology” OR “industrial symbiosis” OR “Closed loop supply chain” OR “reverse logistics”)
	Group 2	AND TS=(“Big data” OR “Cloud Computing” OR “I4.0 Technolog*” OR “Artificial Intelligence” OR “Robotic” OR “Additive Manufacturing” OR “Augmented Reality” OR “Advanced manufacturing” OR “Blockchain” OR “Cyber?security” OR “Internet of Things” OR “Industrial simulation” OR “3d print*” OR “Industry 4.0” OR “Smart manufacturing” OR “Autonomous Robots” OR “Advanced robots”)
Time Horizon		No restriction
Search period		July 2021

2.3. Study Selection and Evaluation

Inclusion and exclusion criteria are applied in this step. Only articles in English and published in journals with an impact index in Journal Citation Reports (JCR) and/or Scimago Journal Rank (SJR) were selected. Next, the article abstracts were meticulously read focusing on three main criteria: Are I4.0 technologies and CE addressed together in the article? Does the article have a management focus? Are CE or I4.0 Technologies the main topic of the article? Each item had to meet all three criteria to be considered. The articles were then read in full to ensure that they complied with the study's objective. 172 articles remained after this final assessment with one further article added after cross-referencing the selected literature (snowballing), giving a final sample of 173 articles.

2.4. Analysis and Synthesis

The method and definitions required to carry out the analysis and synthesis of the research had to be selected. Several methods that included thematic analysis/synthesis with metacognitive mind maps, qualitative comparative, meta-summary and content analysis were considered for the research synthesis (Barnett-Page and Thomas, 2009; Garza-Reyes, 2015; Thomas and Harden, 2008). Descriptive analysis was adopted for this research as it does not infer the human being and enables a variety of research data and statistics to be elaborated and demonstrated, thus providing a more quantitative view of the entire study (Marín et al., 2021). Content analysis, in which human inference occurs, was also used to provide a metacognitive analysis of the researched articles and a thematic synthesis that allows a comprehensive analysis of all the articles used in the research, such as benefits, barriers, category and research approach.

The results were entered into an Excel database to analyse the following data for each article: authors, title, journal/conference, year of publication, document source, findings, research category and approach, technologies addressed and used, description of how technologies were used, impact on and approach to CE, and classification of benefits and barriers. At this stage, structures had to be pre-defined to collect the required information needed to explore each article's essential and relevant details (Denyer and Tranfield, 2009; Núñez-Merino et al., 2020; Thomé et al., 2016). Complementary research using the snowballing technique identified one further work that would add value to the study and this was included in the bibliographic portfolio, yielding a final total of 173 articles (see appendices). Complementary research is essential and should extend beyond the keywords used (Greenhalgh and Peacock, 2005).

2.5. Validation and Data Code

The data validation and coding stage proposes that the review of articles be shared by the authors. This enables a comparison of the collected information and discussions to solve any possible differences, thus ensuring inter-evaluator reliability (Caiado et al., 2022; Danese et al., 2018). Each author analysed and read the articles and filled out the information sheet. After this step, the results were compared and the differences were discussed in virtual meetings to generate greater consistency, validation and reliability of the processed data. This additional step allows for transparency in the analysis, minimises errors and evaluator bias and improves the quality and validation of the entire research process (Caiado et al., 2022).

2.6. Focus Group

In the analysis and synthesis stage, the documents are analysed and synthesised. Each study was analysed and classified according to the specific I4.0 technology and CE principle addressed. Based on this classification, which determined the interrelationships between I4.0 and CE principles, an assessment was made of the identified research gaps in a Focus Group Interview (FGI) with academic participants with research published in the three most cited JCR-indexed journals in WoS. New interrelationships were empirically established for theory-building (Voss, Tsiriktsis and Fröhlich, 2002). FGI data were collected via a recorded videoconference with FGI participants in line with the planned schedule. An agreement, disagreement and consensus-based qualitative approach produced a matrix table from the consensual interrelationships for guidelines for the CE-I4.0 interrelationship research agenda.

The general objective of this FGI protocol is to assess the interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles of research gaps identified in an SLR and, in addition, to extract new guidelines and best practices to support the interrelationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles. Considering the need to analyse the interest group's perception of I4.0 technologies and 10R principles, theory-building explores the interaction between subject and object. Therefore, the purposes of the investigation are defined during this process (Voss, Tsiriktsis and Fröhlich, 2002). Below is an overview of the focus group discussion moderation guide:

Introductory question:

From your perspective, describe how you perceive I4.0 Technologies and Circular Economy integration can improve Sustainable Supply Chains.

Main questions:

How can Blockchain Technology improve the Repurpose and Refuse principles?

How can Additive Manufacturing/3D Printing Technology improve the Repurpose principle?

How can Autonomous Vehicle (AV) Technology improve the Reuse, Re-manufacture, Repair, Re-furbish, Repurpose, Recycle, Recover and Refuse principles?

How can Augmented Reality Technology improve the Reduce, Reuse, Re-think, Repair, Re-furbish, Repurpose, Recycle, Recover and Refuse principles?

1
2
3 How can Virtual Reality Technology improve the Repurpose and Refuse principles?
4

5 How can Cloud Computing Technology improve the Repurpose and Refuse principles?
6

7 How can Advanced Robotics Technology improve the Re-think and Repurpose
8 principles?
9

10
11 How can Smart Manufacturing/Advanced Manufacturing/Cyber-Physical Systems
12 Technology improve the Repurpose principle?
13

14
15 How does Quantum Computing Technology improve the Re-manufacture, Repair, Re-
16 furbish, Repurpose, Recycle and Refuse principles?
17

18
19 How can Drone Technology improve the Reuse, Re-think, Re-manufacture, Repair,
20 Repurpose, Recycle and Refuse principles?
21

22
23 Final questions:
24

25
26 What are the future directions, points of attention and goals for the implementation of
27 integrated CE-I4.0 practices in Sustainable Supply Chains?
28

29
30 The FGI participant selection criteria were: participants had to have authored at least one
31 of the three most cited works that integrate CE and I4.0 in the Web of Science (WoS)
32 database. The experts also had to be able to participate in the FGI via a videoconference.
33 The authors selected the most cited researchers in CE-I4.0 interrelationships in WoS who
34 met these requirements and agreed to participate in the FGI event on 27th September 2021
35 to discuss and define guidelines for a research agenda in the short term ([Nascimento et
36 al., 2020](#)). FGI participants analysed the interrelationships between specific I4.0
37 technologies that aim to improve CE principles in sustainable supply chains ([de Mattos
38 Nascimento et al., 2018](#)).
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **2.7. Fuzzy Delphi**

46

47
48 The Fuzzy Delphi method seeks to integrate the theory of the Fuzzy set with the
49 traditional Delphi method, with the objective of reducing uncertainties related to the
50 specialists' preferences, thereby improving the quality of the results obtained in the
51 research ([Ishikawa, 1993](#)). It was developed by Helmer and his associates as a long-term
52 forecasting method that required repeated surveys of experts for the forecast values to
53 converge ([Ishikawa et al., 1993](#)).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Based on the FGI results, a questionnaire was designed to collect the experts' opinions on the relevance of the CE principles for improving I4.0 technologies, which identified some gaps in the literature regarding the 10R CE principles and taking in account the CE-I4.0 interrelationships relevance discussed in the FGI. The questionnaire was separated into two stages. The first stage dealt with demographic information and the second stage elicited responses from the participants. Fourteen specialists were selected using established criteria: a minimum of 6 years of experience in either sustainable operations, supply chain management, lean operations, operations management or I4.0 technologies, as shown in Table 2. This table lists the experts that participated in the research, including the FGI and Fuzzy Delphi (FD) stages. The first two experts participated in the FGI stage only. Interviews focused on explicit information in RQs and holding FGI sessions to discuss a topic raised by a skilled moderator and reporter are critical success factors for data collection. As reported in the study by Mishra et al. (2016), FGIs are carried out by two researchers, with one researcher facilitating the FGI content and process by assisting the participants while the other records the discussion with the prior authorisation of the participants and later prepares the transcripts. The expected duration of FGIs is approx. 60–90 min.

Table 2. Expert Panel Profile

Cod	Exp	Specialities	Role	Country	Expert	Social economic context	Applied Method
A1	30 years	Sustainable Management Systems	Full Professor	Brazil	Academic	Emerging	FGI
A2	7 years	Digital Operations and Supply Chain Management	Lecturer	Brazil	Academic	Emerging	FGI
A3	22 years	Lean Supply Chain Management	Full Professor	Brazil	Academic	Emerging	FGI/FD
A4	18 years	Technology and Sustainable Operations Management	Lecturer	UK	Academic	Developed	FGI/FD
A5	24 years	Sustainable Operations and Supply Chain Management	Full Professor	UK	Academic	Developed	FD
A6	26 years	Sustainable Operations and Supply Chain Management	Associate Professor	Brazil	Academic	Emerging	FD
A7	6 years	Lean Supply Chain Management	Lecturer	Spain	Academic	Developed	FD
A8	6 years	Sustainable Operations and Supply Chain Management	PhD Student	Italy	Academic	Developed	FD
A9	15 years	Sustainable Operations and Supply Chain Management	Post-Doctoral	Brazil	Academic	Emerging	FD
A10	11 years	Technology and Operations Management	Lecturer	Spain	Academic	Developed	FD
A11	25 years	Sustainable Operations and Supply Chain Management	Associate Professor	Brazil	Academic	Emerging	FD
A12	7 years	Lean Supply Chain Management	Lecturer	Spain	Academic	Developed	FD
A13	22 years	Operations Management	Project Manager	Brazil	Practitioner	Emerging	FD
A14	14 years	Operations Management	Project Engineer	Brazil	Practitioner	Emerging	FD
A15	27 years	Operations Management	Project Manager	Brazil	Practitioner	Emerging	FD
A16	35 years	Operations Management	General Manager	Brazil	Practitioner	Emerging	FD

The corresponding triangular Fuzzy numbers are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Corresponding triangular Fuzzy numbers for relevance scale

Scale	Linguistic term	Triangular Fuzzy Numbers (TFN)
1	No relevance (NR)	(0; 0; 0.25)
2	Low relevance (LR)	(0; 0.25; 0.50)
3	Moderate relevance (MR)	(0.25; 0.50; 0.75)
4	High relevance (HR)	(0.50; 0.75; 1.0)
5	Extreme relevance (ER)	(0.75; 1.0; 1.0)

Table 3 shows the triangular Fuzzy numbers that correspond to the indicated linguistic terms. Tsai et al. (2020) and Lee et al. (2018) demonstrate an assumption that the significance value of an attribute b is rated by a respondent a as $j = (x_{ab}, y_{ab}, z_{ab})$, $a = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ and $b = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$. Thus, the j_b weight of the b attribute is computed as $j_b = (x_b, y_b, z_b)$, where $x_b = \min(x_{ab})$, $y_b = (\prod_1^n y_{ab})^{1/n}$, and $z_b = \max(z_{ab})$. According to Wu et al. (2016), the value of the convex combination D_b is generated using α cut, as in Equation 1.

$$u_b = z_b - \alpha(z_b - y_b), l_b = x_b - \alpha(y_b - x_b);$$

$$b = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m. \quad (1)$$

The α value adopted to represent a common situation is normally 0.5 but this value can be adjusted based on the experts' level of optimism or pessimism defined as 0 or 1 (Lee, Wu, and Tseng 2018). The calculation of the value of the convex combination can be expressed as Equation 2.

$$D_b = \int (u_b, l_b) = [\lambda u_b + (1 - \lambda)l_b] \quad (2)$$

where λ is used to express a decision maker's level of optimism and stabilise the expert group's radical judgments. Therefore, $\delta = \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{D_b}{n}$ is the filter threshold of the required attributes. If $D_b \geq \delta$, attribute b is accepted, otherwise it must be rejected (Lee, Wu and Tseng 2018; Tsai et al. 2020; Garcia-Buendia et al., 2022).

2.8. Reporting and Using Results

The last step is to report the results, with all the information extracted from the systematic review literature to a discussion of the results to identify any research gaps that can be used to determine guidelines for future research via the FGI and FD approaches (Denyer and Tranfield, 2009; Durach et al., 2017; Núñez-Merino et al., 2020; Okoli and Schabram, 2010). At this stage, the results are displayed quantitatively through descriptive and qualitative analysis using content analysis and thematic synthesis.

3. Results

The obtained results are presented in a descriptive analysis with graphs and tables to illustrate the contextual characteristics of the selected sample of 173 articles (see appendices). Content analysis is also presented with a mind map of the interrelationships between specific I4.0 technologies and CE principles at the supply chain level to enable an analysis of the interrelationships in the literature. Note that the study considers the 10R CE principles established by Potting et al., (2017): Reduce, Reuse, Re-think, Re-manufacture, Repair, Re-furbish, Repurpose, Recycle, Recover and Refuse. Therefore, the research gaps identified in the literature enable the FGI and FD to generate discussions, analysis and results. These are represented as guidelines for future research in the novel research agenda that shows additional relationships with CE principles.

3.1. Descriptive Analysis

The distribution of publications by year is given in Figure 2. The first paper in the sample was published in 2005 and is a study of the use of AI to simulate and evaluate the reverse logistics of containers in the automobile industry (Cheng and Yang, 2005). The I4.0 concept was coined at a later date, in 2011 (Kagermann, 2013), although some of the technologies included in the concept had begun to be developed previously. As can be observed, only 3 articles were found to have been published during the 2005 - 2016 period. However, from 2017, and especially from 2019 on, there was a steep rise in the number of articles to 22 in 2019, a figure that then more than tripled in 2021, when 71 publications were recorded. Two main points can help explain this enormous increase: firstly, while the CE concept is not entirely new, the topic has undeniably found its way onto the agenda of policymakers in recent years. Some important examples are the EU's Circular Economy Action Plan (European Commission, 2015) and the Chinese Circular Economy Promotion Law (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017). Secondly, a steady increase in the

maturity and applicability of I4.0 technologies is being seen (Jabbour et al., 2020) and they offer potential solutions that facilitate the transition to CE practices.




Fig. 2. Number of publications per year

As mentioned in Section 2, we focused on high-quality peer-reviewed journals to ensure the quality of the data. The articles were spread over 71 different journals. The Journal of Cleaner Production (28 publications), Sustainability (17), Resources, Conservation and Recycling (9), Technological Forecasting and Social Change (8), Applied Sciences (7) and Business Strategy and Development (7) have the highest number of publications and together represent 43% of the total. Table 4 gives further details on the journals in which papers dealing simultaneously with CE and I4.0 technologies were located.

Table 4. Publications by journal

Journal	Papers
Journal of Cleaner Production	28
Sustainability	17
Resources, Conservation and Recycling	9
Technological Forecasting and Social Change	8
Applied Sciences	7
Business Strategy and Development	5
International Journal of Production Economics	4
Annals of Operations Research	3
Computers and Industrial Engineering	3
International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications	3
International Journal of Production Research	3
Johnson Matthey Technology Review	3
Journal of Industrial Ecology	3
Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management	3
Processes	3
Resources Policy	3
Sustainable Production and Consumption	3

Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B — Soil and Plant Science	2
California Management Review	2
Computers in Industry	2
Industrial Management and Data Systems	2
International Journal of Logistics Management	2
International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management	2
Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing	2
Metals	2
Production Planning and Control	2
Science of the Total Environment	2
Waste Management	2
Others (journals with 1 paper each)	43

Regarding I4.0 technologies, most papers have adopted a general perspective (see Figure 3). More than one technology was discussed in some papers, which resulted in 213 technology references from 173 papers. The most singly addressed I4.0 technologies in papers were IoT, BDA, Blockchain, AM and AI. Some of the technologies are at more advanced stages of maturity (e.g., Cloud Computing, BDA, and IoT) in research and industry applications, while others such as quantum computing (Sarkis et al., 2021) are still in the early stages of development. Remarkably, although blockchain applications are only starting to be implemented in industry (Kouhizadeh et al., 2020), Blockchain itself has already attracted considerable academic attention.




Fig. 3. Specific I4.0 technologies by frequency

As can be observed in Figure 4, the literature has not devoted the same level of attention to all the principles. The most covered principles were Reduce, Recycle and Reuse, which

is not surprising since these are the principles that make up the 3R framework (Khan et al., 2021). The least addressed principles were Repurpose and Refuse.




Fig. 4. CE principles by frequency

3.2. Content Analysis

3.2.1. Circular Economy and I4.0 Interrelationships at Supply Chain level

The literature review of CE principles and I4.0 technologies resulted in a metacognitive mind map with 140 possible interrelationships. Evidence of 100 of these was found. In addition, the works have been grouped into the 3 groups of Rs (useful application of materials; extending the lifespan of products and their parts, and smarter product use and manufacture). The most addressed interrelationships identified in the literature were between IoT and BDA use and the principles of reducing and recycling, and a general perspective of Industry 4.0 associated with the principles of reducing, reusing, re-manufacturing and recycling. In contrast, less use has been noted of I4.0 technologies in relation to the Refuse and Repurpose principles.

More specifically, in the literature on the use of IoT, smarter product use and manufacture are mainly addressed from the point of view of a reduction in waste generation, and the use of raw materials is one of the main topics covered (Garrido-Hidalgo et al., 2020). The use of IoT systems plays an important role by enabling efficient management of the use of resources, for example, energy management and water consumption (Ingemarsdotter et al., 2019; Rajput and Singh, 2020). Furthermore, some articles have associated IoT

1
2
3 with the Re-think and Refuse principles. The use of IoT has been also extensively
4 addressed to extend the lifespan of products and their parts (through the Reuse, Re-
5 manufacture, Repair, Re-furbish and Repurpose principles) and to achieve the application
6 of materials (via the Recycle and Recover principles). The literature on the use of BDA
7 and CE mainly focuses on the principles of reduction and recycling. However, the use of
8 BDA to enhance circularity ranges from supporting the conception of new business
9 models (Xiang and Xu, 2020) to the extension of the life cycle of materials and products
10 by using product use patterns and customer requirement data to carry out predictive
11 maintenance (Cheng et al., 2021) and the useful application of end-of-life materials,
12 which supports the management of urban recycling systems (Nobre and Tavares, 2017).
13 Therefore, all 10 principles have been considered, although less attention has been paid
14 to Repurpose and Refuse.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 Regarding the use of Blockchain, its ability to render information unalterable makes it an
25 ideal technology to address the problem of data reliability. Therefore, together with other
26 technologies such as IoT or Big Data, it supports product lifecycle analysis to improve
27 supply chains' performance in CE issues (Ajwani-Ramchandani et al., 2021; Chidepatil
28 et al., 2020). In this sense, a variety of applications have been addressed to link the use of
29 this technology and the development of solutions to extend the lifespan of products and
30 their parts through the Reuse, Re-manufacture, Repair and Re-furbish principles. The
31 useful application of materials was discussed regarding the Recycle and Recovery
32 principles. Smarter product use and manufacture were mostly approached from the point
33 of view of the principle of reduction. Additive Manufacturing (AM) is another technology
34 that has received considerable research attention. According to the reviewed literature,
35 this technology can lead to the achievement of CE goals through smarter product use and
36 manufacture by enabling designs that support the value cycle, e.g., by minimising the
37 types of materials present in a product (Colorado et al., 2020; Despeisse et al., 2017) and
38 by enabling the manufacture of products and/or components in local locations, which
39 reduces the CO² emissions associated with transport (Sauerwein et al., 2019). The
40 literature also deals with issues related to the useful application of materials for both
41 recycling and recovery. Regarding the extension of the lifespan of products/parts, AM
42 enables the design of products that can be repaired or used to manufacture other products
43 (Colorado et al., 2020; Despeisse et al., 2017).
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Evidence was found for all groups and principles in the literature on AI and CE. In line with the previous technologies, the principles that were recurrently addressed were reducing and recycling. AI is often presented in conjunction with other technologies such as smart manufacturing/advanced manufacturing, advanced robotics, IoT and Blockchain. AI's role is often associated with optimal decision-making and providing autonomy to systems pursuing CE objectives (Tozanlı et al., 2020; Wilts et al., 2021). In CE contexts, cloud computing is being used for the development of powerful platforms that enable collaboration and support the implementation of numerous sustainability-related projects (Wiedmann, 2017). Cloud Computing is mainly associated with smarter product use and manufacture through the Re-think and Reduce principles. Solutions for extending the lifespan of products/parts (Reuse, Re-manufacture, Repair, Re-furbish) and the useful application of materials (Recycle and Recover) have also been addressed in the literature. Furthermore, this technology is often cited in conjunction with IoT devices for the analysis of the life cycle of products achieving, in conjunction with some specific Cloud platforms, the efficient management of repair, reuse and recycling of products and/or their components, as is currently the case of electronic products and household appliances, for example (Conti and Orcioni, 2019).

Traditional manufacturing technologies are being transformed in the context of I4.0, giving rise to smart factories (Cioffi et al., 2020; Kerin and Pham, 2020). Applications of smart manufacturing/cyber-physical systems (SM/CPS) are being used to enhance product use and manufacturing, as well as to extend and manage the product life cycle. In this sense, by using CPS in conjunction with a variety of I4.0 enabling technologies, smart manufacturing is primarily enabling materials reduction and product repair, reuse, re-manufacturing, recycling and recovery (Kerin and Pham, 2019; Nascimento et al., 2019). Therefore, the literature on SM/CPS and CE is not as extensive as on the previously mentioned technologies, although it has covered almost all principles (with the sole exception of repurposing).

Furthermore, technologies such as virtual reality, augmented reality and advanced robotics have been addressed, albeit in a small number of papers. Even so, virtual reality is being used in the simulation of systems for CE objectives, for example, by simulating the automated sequence of product disassembly in robotics systems (Kerin and Pham, 2019; Rocca et al., 2020). Despite the small number of papers that have addressed this technology, the use of virtual reality was also related to the three groups of principles.

1
2
3 Similarly, advanced robotics applications were associated with all three groups. Among
4 other objectives, this technology aims to automatically separate recyclable and reusable
5 components and classify all components and waste for subsequent management (Sarc et
6 al., 2019). Conversely, augmented reality was associated with only one group of
7 principles – extending the lifespan of products/parts – specifically with Re-
8 manufacturing. Its main application in CE contexts is to facilitate work by tagging
9 information to physical products and providing precise information on tasks and the
10 sequence in which they should be performed (Kerin and Pham, 2020).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Finally, drones, AV and quantum computing technologies were addressed in one article
19 each. According to the literature, drones can be linked to principles from each of the three
20 groups: Reduce, Re-furbish and Recovery activities (Mahroof et al., 2021). AV was
21 associated with smarter product use and manufacture (Re-think and Reduce) (Prideaux
22 and Yin, 2019), and future applications of quantum computing could be used to improve
23 product use and manufacture (Re-think and Reduce) to extend the lifespan of
24 products/parts (Reuse) and to improve the application of materials (Recovery) by
25 dynamic route optimisation and simulation to maximise product usability, reusability and
26 life cycle (Sarkis et al., 2021). The results are summarised in Figure 5 and detailed in the
27 appendices.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Fig. 5. Mind Map of CE-I4.0 Interrelationships

3.3. Focus Group Outcomes

Based on the gaps identified in the systematic review, focus groups were held to analyse and discuss the potential contributions of CE principles to improving I4.0 technologies not identified in the literature. The appendices present the core questions that the focus group addresses regarding research gaps, focus group discussions and research lines in the agenda on CE-I4.0 interrelationships.

The results presented in the appendices describe how, according to the iterations in the focus group, CE principles can improve I4.0 technologies through interrelationships not identified in the literature. It should be noted that AM was identified as one of the technologies most cited as relevant for enabling redesign, repurposing, re-manufacturing and re-thinking in sustainable supply chains. In contrast, quantum computing and advanced robotics technologies were perceived to have few short-term benefits and/or applicability for improving at least one of the CE principles with no interrelationships identified in the literature. According to the FGI results, 16 guidelines were established with research lines to extend the literature with new theory and practical works to better explore CE-I4.0 interrelationships.

The points of attention and future goals are related to best CE-I4.0 implementation and what is still missing for this integration to happen. According to ([expert A4](#) and [expert A1](#)), the lack of governance, communication and reliability among actors in the value chain stands out. What is required above all is the support of top management and organisational culture ([expert A3](#)) to generate confidence in the supply chain for innovation and continuous improvement ([expert A4](#)). It is worth noting that one challenge is the replacement of the workforce, which today depends on high-quality industrial jobs ([expert A1](#)).

To verify the most critical and urgent I4.0 technologies to improve CE capabilities, and given that the FGI discussed no CE-I4.0 interrelationships identified in the literature, Fuzzy Delphi (FD) study rounds were performed both to determine priority CE-I4.0 interrelationships and also identify CE-I4.0 interrelationship guidelines for a research agenda generated by multiple theoretical and empirical methodological procedures (SLR, FGI and FD) to support continuous and incremental improvements in SDGs 9, 12 and 13.

3.4. Fuzzy Delphi Results

An FD study was applied with Equations 1 and 2 to identify the most relevant CE principles for improving I4.0 technologies. The values adopted for α and λ were 0.5 and 1, respectively (Chang, Huang and Lin, 2000). The FD was carried out in two rounds, the first exploratory and the second confirmatory (Garcia-Buendia et al., 2022), with the answers of experts who responded to the two stages of the questionnaire accepted as valid (Tsai et al., 2020). This also allowed to reflect on their responses and confirm their assessment in this process of evaluating the I4.0 technologies that can most contribute to CE principles for which no CE-I4.0 interrelationship exists in the literature. The experts who participated in the research are listed in Table 2; of the 14 participants, 71.43% hold PhD, 7.14% MSc and 21.43% BSc. 57.14% of the participants reside in Brazil, with the remainder residing in Spain (21.42%), the United Kingdom (14.28%) and Italy (7.14%). The experts responded on a 5-point Likert Scale from 1=irrelevant to 5=extremely relevant, as described in Table 3. FD responses are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Fuzzy Delphi responses

Expert	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7	T8	T9	T10
A3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
A4	2	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	5
A5	3	4	3	4	2	2	3	4	3	3
A6	4	5	2	3	4	5	5	4	2	3
A7	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3
A8	4	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	3	3
A9	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	2
A10	3	5	2	3	4	5	3	4	3	4
A11	4	5	3	4	4	4	3	5	3	4
A12	2	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	1	2
A13	2	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	2
A14	4	3	5	3	3	4	4	5	4	3
A15	4	5	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	3
A16	3	5	3	4	5	5	4	5	3	2

The experts' responses on the relevance of the I4.0 technologies for improving CE principles are given in Table 6, where T1 is Blockchain technology, T2 is Additive Manufacturing, T3 is Autonomous Vehicles, T4 is Augmented Reality, T5 is Virtual Reality, T6 is Cloud Computing, T7 is Advanced Robotics, T8 is Smart Manufacturing/Advanced Manufacturing/Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS), T9 is Quantum Computing, and T10 is Drone technology.

Table 7. Results after screening

Criteria	μ_b	l_b	D_b	Decision
T1 - Blockchain to improve CE principles	0.772	-0.272	0.772	Rejected
T2 - Additive Manufacturing to improve CE principles	0.894	-0.019	0.894	Accepted
T3 - Autonomous Vehicles to improve CE principles	0.760	-0.260	0.760	Rejected
T4 - Augmented Reality to improve CE principles	0.834	0.041	0.834	Accepted
T5 - Virtual Reality to improve CE principles	0.841	-0.341	0.841	Accepted
T6 - Cloud Computing to improve CE principles	0.863	-0.363	0.863	Accepted
T7 - Advanced Robotics to improve CE principles	0.848	0.027	0.848	Accepted
T8 - Smart Manufacturing/Advanced Manufacturing/Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) to improve CE principles	0.916	0.334	0.916	Accepted
T9 - Quantum Computing to improve CE principles	0.500	0.000	0.500	Rejected
T10 - Drone technology to improve CE principles	0.735	-0.235	0.735	Rejected

The calculated threshold (δ) value was 0.796 and all the criteria whose convex combination values were lower than δ were rejected, as can be observed in Table 7. The ability of four of the ten I4.0 technologies to improve CE principles was rejected, namely Blockchain, Autonomous Vehicles, Quantum Computing and Drone technologies. The most relevant I4.0 technology for improving CE principles was Smart Manufacturing/Advanced Manufacturing/CPS, which 64.3% of the experts considered highly relevant and 35.7% considered extremely relevant.

4. Discussion

SLR, FGI and FD triangulation culminated in the guidelines for the research agenda and discussions that aimed to contrast the results obtained with state-of-the-art literature to generate innovative knowledge (Tortorella et al., 2020; de Mattos Nascimento et al., 2022). Triangulation showed that the most analysed technologies in the literature were IoT (Rajput and Singh, 2020), BDA (Xiang and Xu, 2020), Blockchain (Choi et al., 2020), AM (Colorado et al., 2020) and AI (Wilts et al., 2021). The least cited were Quantum Computing (Sarkis et al., 2021), Drones (Mahroof et al., 2021), AV (Prideaux and Yin, 2019), AR (Kerin and Pham, 2020) and Advanced Robotics (Tozanlı et al., 2020). The intermediaries with a respective frequency of 9 and 8 were Cloud Computing and Smart Manufacturing. Regarding the CE principles, the most analysed were Reduce, Recycle and Reuse. The I4.0 technologies that most contribute to each of the 10Rs were also identified, with Refuse, Re-furbish, and Repurpose most associated with BDA; Re-think, Reduce, Reuse, Re-manufacture, Recycle and Recover with IoT, and Repair with AM.

1
2
3 From the two rounds of the FD, four I4.0 technologies and their consequent interrelations
4 with CE principles were rejected. This generated an order of priority, with the I4.0
5 technologies from the FGI that were prioritised and evaluated as most relevant included
6 in the research agenda. An SLR with a matrix of interrelationships and mind maps, FGIs
7 with discussions and conclusions on CE-I4.0 interrelationships, and FD were also
8 performed to prioritise the research agenda. The guidelines for the research agenda were
9 established based on the results of the FGI and FD; these iterations analysed how I4.0
10 technologies could be used to close the existing research gaps in the literature and propose
11 best practices, points of attention and/or guidelines for each I4.0 technology and the
12 respective 10R principles (Nascimento et al., 2020). A research agenda (see Figure 6) was
13 then generated based on these priorities to achieve the guidelines categorised as very
14 urgent, urgent and not very urgent.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 Figure 6 shows that, based on the guidelines generated through the FGI results, the
26 categorisation is made with the most frequent I4.0 technologies and the corresponding
27 highest value of the convex combination in the FD, which generates a sense of urgency
28 for the guidelines by using a weighted sum calculation to order the research agenda. Note
29 that the guidelines were created from the FGI discussions that evaluated how each I4.0
30 technology could improve the implementation of each respective R when no
31 interrelationships were identified in the literature. So, the guidelines for the research
32 agenda are separated into a short-term view of the efforts made for future CE-I4.0 theory-
33 building (Voss, Tsiriktsis and Frohlich, 2002).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Fig. 6. CE-I4.0 Guidelines for a Research Agenda for Sustainable Supply Chains

Based on the research agenda presented in Figure 6, which summarises and classifies the guidelines established through FGI discussions and FD rounds, Repurposing is considered the CE principle with the highest frequency of non-interrelation and, therefore, identified as a research gap. The research gaps identified were: Repurposing with Drones, Quantum Computing, Smart/Advanced Manufacturing/CPS, Advanced Robotics, AV, AM/3D Printing, Blockchain, Virtual Reality, AR and Cloud Computing. However, from the systematic review, positive CE-I4.0 interrelationships were also identified in terms of both approach and useful Repurposing with some I4.0 technologies such as IoT (Ingemarsdotter et al., 2019; Nobre et al., 2020b), BDA (Bag and Pretorius, 2022; Jabbour et al., 2020; Kazancoglu et al., 2021; Nobre et al., 2020b) and AI (Bag et al., 2021b, Colla et al., 2020). High-priority BDA, AM/3D Printing and Cloud Computing

1
2
3 technologies were defined as very urgent for iteration with the 10R research gaps. I4.0
4 technologies designated as an urgent priority were Smart Manufacturing, Advanced
5 Robotics, and AI. Lastly, VR and AV were placed in the not-very urgent priority category
6 for generating positive interrelationships with CE in the short-term CE-I4.0 research
7 agenda.
8
9

10 11 12 **5. Conclusions**

13 **5.1. Implications for Theory**

14
15 Research gaps between 10R principles and I4.0 technologies identified in the literature
16 ([Voss, Tsiriktsis and Frohlich, 2002](#); [Nascimento et al., 2018](#)) were used in the FGI and
17 FD to analyse, discuss, and propose interrelationships with I4.0 technologies for
18 improving at least one of the 10R principles. Thus, a novel literature classification is
19 provided that enables to identify the interrelationships between each I4.0 technology and
20 each CE principle grouped by purpose (see metacognitive mind map in Figure 5) used to
21 create mind maps with the state-of-the-art CE-I4.0 literature. Furthermore, guidelines are
22 provided based on the FGI and FD to guide future research on the as-yet-unstudied I4.0
23 technologies that must be investigated to analyse their impact on CE principles.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31
32 The present work differs from earlier research studies in the adaptation and rigour of the
33 proposed methodology, which combines an SLR, FGI and FD to generate guidelines for
34 the CE-I4.0 interrelationship research agenda for improving sustainable supply chains.
35 The methodology used combines theoretical and empirical approaches and qualitative
36 and quantitative categories ([Caiado et al., 2022](#)). It is worth noting that the results
37 identified and presented in metacognitive mind maps are innovative for the literature as
38 they find relationships in the approach or applications of CE-I4.0 to assist researchers or
39 companies in their planning and empirical validations. A further novelty of the present
40 work that distinguishes it from previous studies is that it identifies research gaps in the
41 form of relationships that do not exist in the prior literature, which can be used to extend
42 theory and propose recommendations and guidelines for the development of new works
43 focused on unexploited opportunities for theory-building ([Voss, Tsiriktsis and Frohlich,
44 2002](#)).
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

5.2. Implications for Practice

The contribution to practice aims to establish the I4.0 technologies that influence and support incremental improvements to CE principles by considering the SLR's content analysis results, which find conclusive relationships between every I4.0 technology and CE principle. Based on the 40 identified research gaps, an FGI and FD were performed to discuss and propose potential new conclusive relationships between I4.0 technologies and CE principles with guidelines for the research agenda and also best practices, recommendations and/or barriers. As a result, companies and applied research can analyse and design I4.0 technology applications with case studies that perform empirical validations in sustainable supply chains. The suite of I4.0 technologies can be combined and adapted either completely or consistently for continuous and incremental adoption to increase the maturity of the 10R principles. Furthermore, with the results of the guidelines for the research agenda, managers will be able to analyse how they can use I4.0 technologies to fully achieve their CE-I4.0 interrelationship goals.

5.3. Limitation and Future Works

The limitation of our work is related to the selection of the articles: only peer-reviewed high-impact articles in the WOS database have been reviewed. Although WoS is a highly reliable and comprehensive academic database, it may not contain every publication that complies with the research focus. Furthermore, the study was also limited to the selection and availability of FGI experts on CE-I4.0 interrelationships who have published articles recently and are among the top three most-cited authors of papers on the topic in Scopus and WoS. Despite this, the sample selection criterion is robust, but it is worth noting this limitation caused by the scarcity of experts on CE-I4.0 interrelationships. However, this was mitigated with rigour in the criterion and sample selection from both the literature and empirical studies by the FGI and FD rounds, which is a point of attention for stating the limits and scope of the present research work.

Therefore, a future, deeper analysis of the effect of I4.0 technologies in CE contexts is required. In addition, Autonomous Vehicles have received scarce attention in the literature, although their potential impact in CE contexts is robust as they provide efficient transport for multiple routes. For example, smart transport system use would facilitate route optimisation by considering the demand location, efficient parking location and optimum fuel consumption.

References

- Ajwani-Ramchandani, R., Figueira S., de Oliveira R.T., & Jha, S. (2021). Enhancing the Circular and Modified Linear Economy: The Importance of Blockchain for Developing Economies. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 168, 105468.
- Bag, S., Gupta, S., & Kumar, S. (2021a). Industry 4.0 adoption and 10R advance manufacturing capabilities for sustainable development. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 231, 107844.
- Bag, S., Pretorius, J. H. C., Gupta, S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021b). Role of Institutional Pressures and Resources in the Adoption of Big Data Analytics Powered Artificial Intelligence, Sustainable Manufacturing Practices and Circular Economy Capabilities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 163, 120420. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120420.
- Bag, S., & Pretorius, J. H. C. (2022). Relationships between Industry 4.0, Sustainable Manufacturing and Circular Economy: Proposal of a Research Framework. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 30(4), 864-898. doi:10.1108/IJOA-04-2020-2120.
- Barnett-Page, E., & Thomas, J. (2009). Methods for the synthesis of qualitative research: a critical review. *BMC medical research methodology*, 9(1), 1-11.
- Caiado, R. G. G., Scavarda, L. F., Azevedo, B. D., Nascimento, D. L. M., & Quelhas, O. L. G. (2022). Challenges and benefits of sustainable industry 4.0 for operations and supply chain Management—A framework headed toward the 2030 agenda. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(2), 830. doi:10.3390/su14020830
- Chang, P. T., Huang, L. C., & Lin, H. J. (2000). The fuzzy Delphi method via fuzzy statistics and membership function fitting and an application to the human resources. *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 112(3), 511-520.
- Cheng, Y. T., & Yang, T. (2005). Simulation of design and analysis of a container reverse-logistics system. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers*, 22(3), 189-198.
- Cheng, T. C., Kamble, S. S., Belhadi, A., Ndubisi, N. O., Lai, K. H., & Kharat, M. G. (2022). Linkages between Big Data Analytics, Circular Economy, Sustainable Supply Chain Flexibility, and Sustainable Performance in Manufacturing Firms. *International Journal of Production Research*, 60(22), 6908-6922. doi:10.1080/00207543.2021.1906971.
- Cioffi, R., Travaglioni, M., Piscitelli, G., Petrillo, A., & Parmentola, A. (2020). Smart Manufacturing Systems and Applied Industrial Technologies for a Sustainable Industry: A Systematic Literature Review. *Applied Sciences*, 10 (8), 2897.
- Chidepatil, A., Bindra, P., Kulkarni, D., Qazi, M., Kshirsagar, M. & Sankaran, K. (2020). From Trash to Cash: How Blockchain and Multi-Sensor-Driven Artificial Intelligence Can Transform Circular Economy of Plastic Waste? *Administrative Sciences*, 10, 23.
- Choi, D., Chung, C. Y., Seyha, T., & Young, J. (2020). Factors affecting organizations' resistance to the adoption of blockchain technology in supply networks. *Sustainability*, 12(21), 8882.
- Colla, V, Pietrosanti, C., Malfa, E., & Peters, K. (2020). Environment 4.0: How Digitalization and Machine Learning Can Improve the Environmental Footprint of the Steel Production Processes. Edited by Jean-Pierre Birat, Gaël Fick, Mauro Chiappini, Dominique Millet, and Thècle Alix. *Matériaux & Techniques* 108 (5–6): 507. doi:10.1051/mattech/2021007.
- Colorado, H.- A., Gutiérrez Velásquez, E. I., & Monteiro, S. N. (2020). Sustainability of Additive Manufacturing: The Circular Economy of Materials and Environmental Perspectives. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology* 9 (4), 8221–8234.
- Conti, M., & Orcioni, S. (2019). Cloud-Based Sustainable Management of Electrical and Electronic Equipment from Production to End-of-Life. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability*

- 1
2
3 Management, 36 (1), 98–119.
- 4
5 Danese, P., Manfè, V., & Romano, P. (2018). A Systematic Literature Review on Recent Lean Research:
6 State-of-the-art and Future Directions. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 20(2), 579–605.
7 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12156>
- 8
9 Denyer, D. & Tranfield, D. (2009), "Producing a Systematic Review", *The SAGE Handbook of Organizational*
10 *Research Methods*, Sage Publi., London, 671–689.
- 11
12 Despeisse, M., M. Baumers, P. Brown, F. Charnley, S.J. Ford, A. Garmulewicz, S. Knowles, (2017). Unlocking
13 Value for a Circular Economy through 3D Printing: A Research Agenda. *Technological Forecasting and*
14 *Social Change* 115,75–84.
- 15
16 de Mattos Nascimento, D. L., Nepomuceno, R. M., Caiado, R. G. G., Maqueira, J. M., Moyano-Fuentes, J.,
17 & Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2022). A sustainable circular 3D printing model for recycling metal scrap in the
18 automotive industry. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, (ahead-of-print).
- 19
20 European Commission. (2015). First circular economy action plan. Retrieved from
21 [https://ec.europa.eu/environment/topics/circular-economy/first-circular-economy-action-](https://ec.europa.eu/environment/topics/circular-economy/first-circular-economy-action-plan_en#documents)
22 [plan_en#documents](https://ec.europa.eu/environment/topics/circular-economy/first-circular-economy-action-plan_en#documents)
- 23
24 Garcia-Buendia, N., Moyano-Fuentes, J., Maqueira-Marín, J. M., & Cobo, M. J. (2021). 22 Years of lean
25 supply chain management: a science mapping-based bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of*
26 *Production Research*, 59(6), 1901-1921.
- 27
28 Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2015). Lean and green—a systematic review of the state of the art literature. *Journal of*
29 *Cleaner Production*, 102, 18-29.
- 30
31 Garrido-Hidalgo, C., Ramirez, F. J., Olivares, T., & Roda-Sanchez, L. (2020). The adoption of internet of
32 things in a circular supply chain framework for the recovery of WEEE: The case of lithium-ion electric
33 vehicle battery packs. *Waste Management*, 103, 32-44.
- 34
35 Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The Circular Economy—A new
36 sustainability paradigm?. *Journal of cleaner production*, 143, 757-768.
- 37
38 Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., Macfarlane, F., Bate, P., Kyriakidou, O., & Peacock, R. (2005). Storylines of
39 research in diffusion of innovation: a meta-narrative approach to systematic review. *Social science &*
40 *medicine*, 61(2), 417-430.
- 41
42 Ingemarsdotter, E., Jamsin, E., Kortuem, G, and Balkenende, R. (2019). Circular Strategies Enabled by the
43 Internet of Things—A Framework and Analysis of Current Practice. *Sustainability* 11 (20): 5689.
44 [doi:10.3390/su11205689](https://doi.org/10.3390/su11205689)
- 45
46 Jabbour, C. J., Fiorini, P. C., Ndubisi N. O., Queiroz, M. M. & Piato E. L. (2020). Digitally-Enabled Sustainable
47 Supply Chains in the 21st Century: A Review and a Research Agenda. *Science of the Total Environment*,
48 725,138177. [doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138177](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138177).
- 49
50 Kazancoglu, Y., Pala, M. O., Sezer, M. D. , Luthra, S. & Kumar, A. (2021). Drivers of Implementing Big Data
51 Analytics in Food Supply Chains for Transition to a Circular Economy and Sustainable Operations
52 Management. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management Ahead-of-p* (April). [doi:10.1108/JEIM-](https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-12-2020-0521)
53 [12-2020-0521](https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-12-2020-0521).
- 54
55 Kagermann, H. (2017). Chancen von Industrie 4.0 nutzen. In *Handbuch Industrie 4.0 Bd. 4* (pp. 237-248).
56 Springer Vieweg, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- 57
58 Khan, I. S., Ahmad, M. O., & Majava, J. (2021). Industry 4.0 and sustainable development: A systematic
59 mapping of triple bottom line, Circular Economy and Sustainable Business Models perspectives.
60 *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 297, 126655.

- 1
2
3 Kerin, M., & Pham, D. T. (2019). A review of emerging industry 4.0 technologies in remanufacturing.
4 *Journal of cleaner production*, 237, 117805.
5
- 6 Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., & Hekkert, M. (2017). Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114
7 definitions. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, 127, 221-232.
8
- 9 Kouhizadeh, M., Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2020). Blockchain and the circular economy: potential tensions and
10 critical reflections from practice. *Production Planning & Control*, 31(11-12), 950-966.
11
- 12 Lopes de Sousa Jabbour, A. B., Jabbour, C. J. C., Godinho Filho, M., & Roubaud, D. (2018). Industry 4.0 and
13 the circular economy: A proposed research agenda and original roadmap for sustainable operations.
14 *Annals of Operations Research*, 270(1-2), 273-286. doi:10.1007/s10479-018-2772-8
15
- 16 Maqueira, J.M, De Oliveira-Dias, D., Navimipour, N. J., Gardas, B., & Unal, M. (20221). Cloud
17 computing and human resource management: systematic literature review and future research
18 agenda. *Kybernetes*, 51(6), 2172-2191
19 Mahroof, K., Omar, A., Rana, N. P., Sivarajah, U. & Weerakkody,
20 V. (2021). Drone as a Service (DaaS) in Promoting Cleaner Agricultural Production and Circular
21 Economy for Ethical Sustainable Supply Chain Development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 287,
22 125522.
- 23 Mishra, R., Pundir, A.K. and Ganapathy, L., (2016). Conceptualizing sources, key concerns and critical
24 factors for manufacturing flexibility adoption: an exploratory study in Indian manufacturing firms.
25 *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 27(3), pp.379-407.
26
- 27 Modgil, S., Gupta, S., Sivarajah, U., & Bhushan, B. (2021). Big Data-Enabled Large-Scale Group Decision
28 Making for Circular Economy: An Emerging Market Context. *Technological Forecasting and Social
29 Change*, 166, . 120607. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120607.
30
- 31 Nascimento, D. L. M., Alencastro, V., Quelhas, O. L. G., Caiado, R. G. G., Garza-Reyes, J. A., Rocha-Lona, L.,
32 and Tortorella, G. (2019). Exploring Industry 4.0 technologies to enable circular economy practices in
33 a manufacturing context: A business model proposal. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology
34 Management*, 30(3), 607-627.
35
- 36 Nascimento, D. L. M., Goncalvez Quelhas, O. L., Gusmão Caiado, R. G., Tortorella, G. L., Garza-Reyes, J. A.,
37 & Rocha-Lona, L. (2020). A lean six sigma framework for continuous and incremental improvement in
38 the oil and gas sector. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 11(3), 577-595. doi:10.1108/IJLSS-02-
39 2019-0011
40
- 41 Nascimento, D. L. M., Quelhas, O. L. G., Meiriño, M. J., Caiado, R. G. G., Barbosa, S. D. J., & Ivson, P. (2018).
42 Facility management using digital obeya room by integrating BIM-lean approaches – an empirical
43 study. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 24(8), 581-591. doi:10.3846/jcem.2018.5609
44
- 45 Nobre, G. C., & Tavares, E. (2020a). Assessing the Role of Big Data and the Internet of Things on the
46 Transition to Circular Economy: Part I An Extension of the ReSOLVE Framework Proposal through a
47 Literature Review. *Johnson Matthey Technology Review* 64 (1): 19–31.
48
- 49 Nobre, G. C., & Tavares, E.. (2020b). Assessing the Role of Big Data and the Internet of Things on the
50 ransition to Circular Economy: Part II An Extension of the ReSOLVE Framework Proposal through a
51 Literature Review. *Johnson Matthey Technology Review* 64 (1),32–41.
52 doi:10.1595/205651319x15650189172931.
53
- 54 Nobre, G. C., & Tavares, E.. (2017). Scientific Literature Analysis on Big Data and Internet of Things
55 Applications on Circular Economy: A Bibliometric Study, *Scientometrics*, 111, 463–492.
56
- 57 Núñez-Merino, M., Maqueira-Marín, J. M. Moyano-Fuentes, J. & Martínez-Jurado, P. J.. (2020).
58 Information and Digital Technologies of Industry 4.0 and Lean Supply Chain Management: A
59 Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Production Research* 58(16):5034–61.
60

- 1
2
3 Olawumi, T. O., & Chan, D. W. (2018). A scientometric review of global research on sustainability and
4 sustainable development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 183, 231-250.
5
6 Okoli, Chitu & Schabram, Kira. (2010). A Guide to Conducting a Systematic Literature Review of
7 Information Systems Research. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 10. 10.2139/ssrn.1954824.
8
9 Pagliosa, M., Tortorella, G. & Ferreira, J.C.E. (2021), Industry 4.0 and Lean Manufacturing: A systematic
10 literature review and future research directions, *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*,
11 32(3), 543–569.
12
13 Prideaux, Bruce, & Ping Yin. (2019). The Disruptive Potential of Autonomous Vehicles (AVs) on Future Low-
14 Carbon Tourism Mobility. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research* 24 (5), 459–467.
15
16 Potting, J., Hekkert, M. P., Worrell, E., & Hanemaaijer, A. (2017). Circular economy: measuring innovation
17 in the product chain (No. 2544). PBL publishers.
18
19 Rajput, S., & Singh, S. P. (2020). Industry 4.0 Model for circular economy and cleaner production. *Journal*
20 *of Cleaner Production*, 277, 123853.
21
22 Rocca, R., Rosa, P., Sassanelli, C., Fumagalli, L. & Terzi, S. (2020). Integrating Virtual Reality and Digital
23 Twin in Circular Economy Practices: A Laboratory Application Case. *Sustainability* 12 (6): 2286.
24
25 Saieg, P., Sotelino, E. D., Nascimento, D., & Caiado, R. G. G. (2018). Interactions of building information
26 modeling, lean and sustainability on the architectural, engineering and construction industry: a
27 systematic review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 174, 788-806.
28
29 Sarkis, J. (2021). The Continuity of Learning. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 49(3), 6-12.
30
31 Sarc, R., A. Curtis, L. Kandlbauer, K. Khodier, K. E. Lorber, and R. Pomberger. (2019). Digitalisation and
32 Intelligent Robotics in Value Chain of Circular Economy Oriented Waste Management – A Review.
33 *Waste Management*, 95, 476–492.
34
35 Sauerwein, M., Doubrovski, E, Balkenende, R., & Bakker, C. (2019). Exploring the Potential of Additive
36 Manufacturing for Product Design in a Circular Economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 226, 1138–
37 1149 .
38
39 Tortorella, G., Nascimento, D., Caiado, R., Arrieta Posada, J. G., & Sawhney, R. (2020). How do different
40 generations contribute to the development of a learning organization in companies undergoing a lean
41 production implementation? *Learning Organization*, 27(2), 101-115.
42
43 Tozanlı, Ö., Kongar, E. and Gupta, S. (2020). Trade-in-to-Upgrade as a Marketing Strategy in Disassembly-
44 to-Order Systems at the Edge of Blockchain Technology. *International Journal of Production Research*,
45 58 (23), 7183–7200.
46
47 Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic
48 reviews. *BMC medical research methodology*, 8(1), 1-10.
49
50 Thomé, A. M. T., Scavarda, L. F., & Scavarda, A. J. (2016). Conducting systematic literature review in
51 operations management. *Production Planning & Control*, 27(5), 408-420.
52
53 Wamba, S. F., and Queiroz, M. M. (2020). Blockchain in the operations and supply chain management:
54 Benefits, challenges and future research opportunities. *International Journal of Information*
55 *Management*, 52, 102064.
56
57 Wilts, H., Garcia, B. R., Garlito, R.G, Gómez, L. S. and Prieto, E. G. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in the
58 Sorting of Municipal Waste as an Enabler of the Circular Economy. *Resources*, 10 (4), 28.
59
60 Wiedmann, T. (2017). An Input–Output Virtual Laboratory in Practice–Survey of Uptake, Usage and
Applications of the First Operational IELab. *Economic Systems Research* 29 (2): 296–312.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Voss, C., Tsikriktsis, N. and Frohlich, M. (2002). Case research in operations management', International Journal of Operations and Production Management, 22(2), 195–219.

Xiang, Z., and Xu, M. (2019). Dynamic Cooperation Strategies of the Closed-Loop Supply Chain Involving the Internet Service Platform. Journal of Cleaner Production, 220,1180–1193.

For Peer Review

Appendix A. CE-I4.0 Interrelationships Matrix

Emerging IT of I4.0	Circular Economy Capabilities/Principles									
	Smarter product use and manufacture			Extend lifespan of products/parts					Useful application of materials	
	R0- Refuse	R1- Re-think	R2- Reduce	R3- Reuse	R4- Repair	R5- Re-furbish	R6- Re-manufacture	R7- Repurpose	R8- Recycle	R9- Recovering
Internet of Things (IoT)	52, 105, 106	5, 39, 58, 63, 74, 78, 79, 80, 85, 100, 105, 118, 170	27, 39, 48, 52, 54, 58, 59, 67, 68, 68, 78, 92, 98, 105, 106, 116, 117, 122, 124, 134, 150, 151, 157, 159, 171	5, 39, 52, 54, 58, 59, 67, 68, 74, 85, 92, 105, 106, 107, 134, 150, 152, 157, 170	5, 58, 59, 67, 92, 98, 105, 106, 107, 152, 157	59, 67, 105, 106, 107, 116, 117, 134, 170	5, 52, 58, 59, 67, 68, 74, 85, 105, 106, 107, 132, 134, 151, 152, 157	68, 116, 117	5, 39, 52, 54, 58, 59, 67, 68, 74, 85, 92, 98, 105, 106, 107, 118, 134, 142, 150, 151, 152, 157, 159	27, 52, 54, 59, 67, 74, 92, 105, 106, 107, 134, 142, 152, 157
Drones			99			99				99
Quantum Computing		142	142	142						142
Smart/Advanced Manufacturing/CPS	80	80, 136	36, 80, 115, 141,	36, 115, 128	80, 131	80	79, 80, 128, 131		36, 115, 128, 141	36, 131, 141
Advanced Robotic	86		86, 128, 141	86, 128	86	86	86, 128		34, 86, 128, 141	34, 86, 128, 141
Cloud Computing		39, 58, 63, 78, 96, 160, 163	39, 48, 58, 78, 106, 160	39, 48, 58, 106,	58, 106	48, 106	48, 58, 106		39, 48, 58, 106	48, 106
Big Data Analytics	13, 14, 43, 77, 116	13, 14, 31, 62, 64, 77, 116, 118, 163, 165, 172	2, 13, 14, 18, 20, 31, 43, 64, 71, 73, 75, 77, 96, 116, 117, 118, 130, 156, 164, 169, 170	2, 8, 13, 14, 18, 64, 77, 96, 116, 117, 130, 148, 156, 172	2, 13, 14, 64, 77, 108, 116, 130, 164	2, 13, 14, 64, 77, 96, 108, 109, 116, 117, 130, 164	2, 12, 13, 14, 77, 108, 109, 116, 130, 164	13, 14, 73, 77, 109, 116, 117	2, 8, 13, 14, 18, 20, 43, 64, 75, 77, 96, 108, 109, 116, 117, 118, 130, 148, 156, 164, 172	2, 13, 14, 73, 75, 77, 96, 108, 117, 130, 164
Virtual Reality		136	23, 136	136	136	136	79, 80		136	136
Augmented Reality							79, 80			
Artificial Intelligence	13, 14, 86	13, 14, 24	13, 14, 30, 32, 33, 37, 48, 60, 86, 99, 106, 119, 145, 158	13, 14, 30, 48, 86, 106, 152, 158	13, 14, 86, 106, 152	13, 14, 86, 99, 106, 158	13, 14, 48, 86, 106, 152, 158, 161	13, 14, 37	4, 6, 13, 14, 30, 32, 33, 37, 48, 60, 86, 106, 152, 158, 161, 162	4, 13, 14, 30, 33, 48, 86, 99, 106, 152, 158, 161, 162
Autonomous Vehicles		129	129							
Additive Manufacturing/3D Printing	53	53, 131, 140, 143, 149, 153	38, 45, 46, 50, 53, 56, 87, 123, 139, 140, 143, 147, 149, 154, 166	38, 45, 46, 53, 87, 101, 123, 127, 139, 140, 166	38, 45, 46, 53, 80, 87, 101, 127, 131, 139, 140, 143, 149, 166	46, 53, 139, 143	38, 46, 50, 53, 56, 80, 87, 101, 127, 131, 147, 149, 166		38, 45, 46, 50, 53, 56, 57, 87, 101, 123, 127, 139, 140, 143, 147, 166	38, 45, 46, 53, 56, 101, 139, 140, 143, 149, 166

Blockchain		3, 51, 84, 88, 98, 110	3, 22, 29, 33, 51, 82, 83, 84, 89, 113, 142, 152, 155, 168, 171	19, 22, 29, 51, 83, 84, 89, 98, 113, 146, 152, 168, 171	21, 22, 29, 51, 98, 113, 142, 152	29, 51, 82, 113, 142	19, 22, 29, 51, 82, 83, 84, 94, 111, 152		4, 19, 22, 33, 51, 82, 83, 84, 98, 104, 113, 142, 146, 152, 171	4, 33, 51, 89, 142, 152
General proxy about I4.0	7, 11, 40, 90, 93, 120, 135	7, 11, 25, 26, 35, 40, 42, 44, 49, 76, 90, 93, 100, 120, 125, 133	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 16, 17, 25, 26, 28, 35, 40, 41, 42, 44, 47, 49, 55, 65, 66, 69, 70, 72, 76, 81, 90, 93, 97, 102, 103, 112, 114, 115, 120, 125, 126, 135, 138, 144, 173	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 25, 26, 28, 35, 40, 41, 42, 44, 47, 49, 55, 61, 65, 66, 69, 72, 76, 90, 91, 93, 97, 102, 103, 112, 114, 115, 120, 121, 125, 135, 138, 144	7, 9, 10, 11, 40, 44, 69, 90, 93, 120, 135	7, 11, 17, 28, 40, 44, 65, 70, 90, 93, 120, 121, 135, 138	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 17, 25, 26, 28, 35, 40, 44, 47, 49, 55, 61, 65, 69, 72, 76, 90, 97, 103, 120, 121, 135, 137, 167, 173	7, 11, 28, 44, 65, 90, 120	1, 7, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 25, 26, 28, 35, 40, 41, 42, 44, 47, 49, 55, 61, 65, 66, 69, 72, 90, 91, 95, 97, 112, 115, 120, 121, 135, 137, 138, 144	1, 7, 9, 11, 17, 40, 42, 44, 47, 49, 55, 69, 70, 90, 93, 102, 120, 121, 135, 138, 144, 173

1

References

1. Abdul-Hamid, A. Q., Ali, M. H., Tseng, M. L., Lan, S., & Kumar, M.. (2020). Impeding Challenges on Industry 4.0 in Circular Economy: Palm Oil Industry in Malaysia. *Computers and Operations Research*, 123, 105052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cor.2020.105052>.
2. Ahmadi, S., Shokouhyar, S., Shahidzadeh, M. H., & Elpiniki Papageorgiou, I. (2020). The Bright Side of Consumers’ Opinions of Improving Reverse Logistics Decisions: A Social Media Analytic Framework. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications* ,1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2020.1846693>.
3. Ajwani-Ramchandani, R., Figueira, S., Torres de Oliveira, R., & Jha S. (2021). Enhancing the Circular and Modified Linear Economy: The Importance of Blockchain for Developing Economies. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 168, 105468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105468>.
4. Ajwani-Ramchandani, R., Figueira S., Torres de Oliveira, R., Jha S., Ramchandani, A., & Schuricht, L. (2021). Towards a Circular Economy for Packaging Waste by Using New Technologies: The Case of Large Multinationals in Emerging Economies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 281,125139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125139>.
5. Alcayaga, A., Wiener, M., & Hansen, E. G. (2019). Towards a Framework of Smart-Circular Systems: An Integrative Literature Review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 221,622–634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.085>.
6. Nañez Alonso, S. L., Reier Forradellas, R. F., Pi Morell, O., & Jorge-Vazquez J. (2021). Digitalization, Circular Economy and Environmental Sustainability: The Application of Artificial Intelligence in the Efficient Self-Management of Waste. *Sustainability*, 13 (4), 2092. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042092>.
7. Atif, S., Shehzad, A., Wasim, M., Zeb, B., Pervez Z., & Quinn L. (2021). Towards a Conceptual Development of Industry 4.0, Servitisation, and Circular Economy: A Systematic Literature Review. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 6501. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13116501>.

8. Awan, U., Shamim, S., Khan, Z., Zia, N. U., Shariq, S. M., & Khan, M. N. (2021). Big Data Analytics Capability and Decision-Making: The Role of Data-Driven Insight on Circular Economy Performance. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 168, 120766. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120766>.
9. Awan, U., Sroufe, R., & Shahbaz, M. (2021). Industry 4.0 and the Circular Economy: A Literature Review and Recommendations for Future Research. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(4), 2038–2060. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2731>.
10. Bag, S., Dhamija, P., Gupta, S., & Sivarajah, U. (2021). Examining the Role of Procurement 4.0 towards Remanufacturing Operations and Circular Economy. *Production Planning and Control*, 32(16), 1368–1383. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2020.1817602>.
11. Bag, S., Gupta S., & Kumar, S. (2021). Industry 4.0 Adoption and 10R Advance Manufacturing Capabilities for Sustainable Development. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 231, 107844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107844>.
12. Bag, S., Luthra, S., Mangla, S. K., & Kazancoglu, Y. (2021). Leveraging Big Data Analytics Capabilities in Making Reverse Logistics Decisions and Improving Remanufacturing Performance. *International Journal of Logistics Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-06-2020-0237>.
13. Bag, S., Pretorius, J.H. C., Gupta, S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Role of Institutional Pressures and Resources in the Adoption of Big Data Analytics Powered Artificial Intelligence, Sustainable Manufacturing Practices and Circular Economy Capabilities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 163, 120420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120420>.
14. Bag, S., & Pretorius, J. H. C. (2020). Relationships between Industry 4.0, Sustainable Manufacturing and Circular Economy: Proposal of a Research Framework. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-04-2020-2120>.
15. Bag, S., Sahu, A. K., Kilbourn, P., Pisa N., Dhamija P., & Sahu, A. K. (2021). Modeling Barriers of Digital Manufacturing in a Circular Economy for Enhancing Sustainability. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-12-2020-0637>.
16. Bag, S., Yadav G., Dhamija, P., & Kataria, K. K. (2021). Key Resources for Industry 4.0 Adoption and Its Effect on Sustainable Production and Circular Economy: An Empirical Study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 281, 125233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125233>.
17. Bag, S., Yadav, G., Wood L. C., Dhamija P., & Joshi S. (2020). Industry 4.0 and the Circular Economy: Resource Melioration in Logistics. *Resources Policy*, 68, 101776. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2020.101776>.
18. Beier, G., Kiefer, J., & Knopf, J. (2022). Potentials of Big Data for Corporate Environmental Management: A Case Study from the German Automotive Industry. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 26 (1), 336–349. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13062>.
19. Bekrar, A., El Cadi, A. A., Todosijevic R., & Sarkis J. (2021). Digitalizing the Closing-of-the-Loop for Supply Chains: A Transportation and Blockchain Perspective. *Sustainability*, 13,(5), 2895. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052895>.
20. Belaud, J. P., Prioux, N., Vialle, C., & Sablayrolles, C. (2019). Big Data for Agri-Food 4.0: Application to Sustainability Management for by-Products Supply Chain. *Computers in Industry*, 111, 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2019.06.006>.
21. Bigerna, S., Micheli, S., & Polinori, P. (2021). New Generation Acceptability towards Durability and Repairability of Products: Circular Economy in the Era of the 4th Industrial Revolution. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 165 , 120558. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120558>.
22. Böckel, A., Nuzum, A. K., & Weissbrod, I. (2021). Blockchain for the Circular Economy: Analysis of the Research-Practice Gap. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 25, 525–539. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.12.006>.
23. Borowski, P. F. (2021). Innovative Processes in Managing an Enterprise from the Energy and Food Sector in the Era of Industry 4.0. *Processes*, 9(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9020381>.

24. Brad, E., & Brad, S. (2021). Algorithm for Designing Reconfigurable Equipment to Enable Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy-Driven Manufacturing Systems. *Applied Sciences*, 11(10), 4446. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11104446>.
25. Cagno, E., Neri, A., Negri, M., Bassani, C. A., & Lampertico, T. (2021). The Role of Digital Technologies in Operationalizing the Circular Economy Transition: A Systematic Literature Review. *Applied Sciences*, 11(8), 3328. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11083328>.
26. Çetin, S., De Wolf, C., & Bocken, N. (2021). Circular Digital Built Environment: An Emerging Framework. *Sustainability*, 13, 6348. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13116348>.
27. Chauhan, A., Jakhar, S. K., & Chauhan, C. (2021). The Interplay of Circular Economy with Industry 4.0 Enabled Smart City Drivers of Healthcare Waste Disposal. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 279, 123854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123854>.
28. Chauhan, C., Sharma, A., & Singh, A. (2021). A SAP-LAP Linkages Framework for Integrating Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy. *Benchmarking*, 28(5), 1638–1664. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-10-2018-0310>.
29. Chen, M., & Ogunseitan, O. A. (2021). Zero E-Waste: Regulatory Impediments and Blockchain Imperatives. *Frontiers of Environmental Science and Engineering*, 15(6), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11783-021-1402-x>.
30. Chen, M., Liu, Q., Huang, S., & Dang, C. (2020). Environmental Cost Control System of Manufacturing Enterprises Using Artificial Intelligence Based on Value Chain of Circular Economy. *Enterprise Information Systems*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17517575.2020.1856422>.
31. Edwin Cheng, T. C., Kamble, S. S., Belhadi, A., Ndubisi, N. O., Lai, K. H., & Kharat, M. G. (2021). Linkages between Big Data Analytics, Circular Economy, Sustainable Supply Chain Flexibility, and Sustainable Performance in Manufacturing Firms. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1906971>.
32. Cheng, Y. T., & Yang, T. (2005). Simulation of Design and Analysis of a Container Reverse-Logistics System. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers*, 22(3), 189–198. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10170660509509288>.
33. Chidepatil, A., Bindra P., Kulkarni D., Qazi M., Kshirsagar, M., & Sankaran, K. (2020). From Trash to Cash: How Blockchain and Multi-Sensor-Driven Artificial Intelligence Can Transform Circular Economy of Plastic Waste?. *Administrative Sciences*, 10 (2), 23. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci10020023>.
34. Choux, M., Marti Bigorra, E., & Tyapin, I. (2021). Task Planner for Robotic Disassembly of Electric Vehicle Battery Pack. *Metals*, 11(3), 387. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met11030387>.
35. Ciliberto, C., Szopik-Depczyńska, K., Tarczyńska-Łuniewska, M., Ruggieri, A., & Ioppolo, G. (2021). Enabling the Circular Economy Transition: A Sustainable Lean Manufacturing Recipe for Industry 4.0. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30, 3255–3272. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2801>.
36. Cioffi, R., Travaglioni, M., Piscitelli, G., Petrillo, A., & Parmentola, A. (2020). Smart Manufacturing Systems and Applied Industrial Technologies for a Sustainable Industry: A Systematic Literature Review. *Applied Sciences*, 10(8), 2897. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10082897>.
37. Colla, V., Pietrosanti, C., Malfa, E., & Peters, K. (2020). Environment 4.0: How Digitalization and Machine Learning Can Improve the Environmental Footprint of the Steel Production Processes. *Matériaux & Techniques*, 108(5–6), 507. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mattech/2021007>.
38. Colorado, H. A., Gutiérrez Velásquez, E. I., & Neves Monteiro, S. (2020). Sustainability of Additive Manufacturing: The Circular Economy of Materials and Environmental Perspectives. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*, 9(4) 8221–8234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.04.062>.
39. Conti, M., & Orcioni, S. (2019). Cloud-Based Sustainable Management of Electrical and Electronic Equipment from Production to End-of-Life. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 36(1), 98–119. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-02-2018-0055>.
40. Cwiklicki, M., & Wojnarowska, M. (2020). Circular Economy and Industry 4.0: One-Way or Two-Way Relationships?. *Engineering Economics*, 31(4), 387–397. <https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.ee.31.4.24565>.

41. D'Amico, G., Arbolino, R., Shi, L., Yigitcanlar, T., & Ioppolo, G. (2021). Digital Technologies for Urban Metabolism Efficiency: Lessons from Urban Agenda Partnership on Circular Economy. *Sustainability* 13(11), 6043. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13116043>.
42. Dantas, T. E., De souza, E. D., Destro, I. R., Hammes, G., Rodriguez, C. M. T., & Soares, S. R. (2021). How the Combination of Circular Economy and Industry 4.0 Can Contribute towards Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 26, 213–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.10.005>.
43. Del Giudice, M., Chierici, R., Mazzucchelli, A., & Fiano F. (2021). Supply Chain Management in the Era of Circular Economy: The Moderating Effect of Big Data. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 32(2), 337–356. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-03-2020-0119>.
44. Demestichas, K., & Daskalakis, E. (2020). Information and Communication Technology Solutions for the Circular Economy. *Sustainability* ,12(18), 7272. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12187272>.
45. DePalma, K., Walluk, M. R., Murtaugh, A., Hilton, J, McConky, S., & Hilton, B. (2020). Assessment of 3D Printing Using Fused Deposition Modeling and Selective Laser Sintering for a Circular Economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 264, 121567. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121567>.
46. Despeisse, M., Baumers, M., Brown, P., Charnley, F., Ford, S. J., Garmulewicz, A.,... & Rowley, J. (2017). Unlocking Value for a Circular Economy through 3D Printing: A Research Agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 115 , 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.09.021>.
47. Dev, N. K., Shankar, R., & Qaiser, F.H. (2020). Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy: Operational Excellence for Sustainable Reverse Supply Chain Performance. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 153, 104583. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104583>.
48. Dev, N.K., Shankar, R., & Swami, S. (2020). Diffusion of Green Products in Industry 4.0: Reverse Logistics Issues during Design of Inventory and Production Planning System. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 223, 107519. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.107519>.
49. Enyoghasi, C., & Badurdeen, F. (2021). Industry 4.0 for Sustainable Manufacturing: Opportunities at the Product, Process, and System Levels. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 166, 105362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105362>.
50. Escursell, S., Llorach-Massana, P., & Roncero, M. B. (2021). Sustainability in E-Commerce Packaging: A Review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 280, 124314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124314>.
51. Esmaeilian, B., Sarkis, J., Lewis, K., & Behdad, S. (2020). Blockchain for the Future of Sustainable Supply Chain Management in Industry 4.0. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 163, 105064. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105064>.
52. Fatimah, Y. A., Govindan, K., Murniningsih, R., & Setiawan, A. (2020). Industry 4.0 Based Sustainable Circular Economy Approach for Smart Waste Management System to Achieve Sustainable Development Goals: A Case Study of Indonesia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 269, 122263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122263>.
53. Ferreira, I. A., Godina, R., & Carvalho, H. (2020). Waste Valorization through Additive Manufacturing in an Industrial Symbiosis Setting. *Sustainability* 13(1), 234. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13010234>.
54. Fisher, O. J., Watson, N. J., Escrig, J. E., Witt, R., Porcu, L., Bacon, Rigley, M., & Gomes, R. L. (2020). Considerations, Challenges and Opportunities When Developing Data-Driven Models for Process Manufacturing Systems. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 140, 106881. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2020.106881>.
55. Furstenau, L. B., Sott, M. K., Kipper, L. M., MacHado, E. L., Lopez-Robles, J. R., Dohan, M. S., ... & Imran, M. A. (2020). Link between Sustainability and Industry 4.0: Trends, Challenges and New Perspectives. *IEEE Access*, 8, 140079–140096. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3012812>.

56. Garmulewicz, A., Holweg, M., Veldhuis, H., & Yang, A. (2018). Disruptive Technology as an Enabler of the Circular Economy: What Potential Does 3D Printing Hold? *California Management Review*, 60(3), 112–132. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125617752695>.
57. Garrido, J., Silva, D., Portela, B., & Lekube, B. (2021). Integrated User-Oriented Service for 3D Printing Environments with Recycled Material from Maritime Plastic Waste. *Applied Sciences*, 11(9), 3787. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11093787>.
58. Garrido-Hidalgo, C., Olivares, T., Ramirez, F.J., & Roda-Sanchez, L. (2019). An End-to-End Internet of Things Solution for Reverse Supply Chain Management in Industry 4.0. *Computers in Industry*, 112, 103127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2019.103127>.
59. Garrido-Hidalgo, C., Ramirez, F. J., Olivares, T., & Roda-Sanchez, L. (2020). The Adoption of Internet of Things in a Circular Supply Chain Framework for the Recovery of WEEE: The Case of Lithium-Ion Electric Vehicle Battery Packs. *Waste Management*, 103, 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.09.045>.
60. Gue, I. H. V., Tan, R. R., & Ubando, A. T. (2022). Causal Network Maps of Urban Circular Economies. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* 24(1), 261–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-021-02117-9>.
61. Gupta, H., Kumar, A., & Wasan, P. (2021). Industry 4.0, Cleaner Production and Circular Economy: An Integrative Framework for Evaluating Ethical and Sustainable Business Performance of Manufacturing Organizations. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 295, 126253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126253>.
62. Gupta, S., Chen, H., Hazen, B. T., Kaur, S., & Santibañez Gonzalez, E. D. R. (2019). Circular Economy and Big Data Analytics: A Stakeholder Perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 144, 466–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.06.030>.
63. Hatzivasilis, G., Fysarakis, K., Soultatos, O., Askoxylakis, I., Papaefstathiou, I., & Demetriou, G. (2018). The Industrial Internet of Things as an Enabler for a Circular Economy Hy-LP: A Novel IIoT Protocol, Evaluated on a Wind Park’s SDN/NFV-Enabled 5G Industrial Network. *Computer Communications*, 119, 127–137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2018.02.007>.
64. Hazen, B. T., Russo, I., & Confente, I. (2020). Circular Economy: Recent Technology Management Considerations. *Johnson Matthey Technology Review*, 64(1), 69–75. <https://doi.org/10.1595/205651320x15716717619537>.
65. Hoosain, M. S., Paul, B. S., & Ramakrishna, S. (2020). The Impact of 4IR Digital Technologies and Circular Thinking on the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. *Sustainability*, 12(23), 10143. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122310143>.
66. Huynh, P. H.. (2022). Enabling Circular Business Models in the Fashion Industry: The Role of Digital Innovation. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 71(3), 870–895. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-12-2020-0683>.
67. Ingemarsdotter, E., Jamsin, E., & Balkenende, R. (2020). Opportunities and Challenges in IoT-Enabled Circular Business Model Implementation – A Case Study. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 162, 105047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105047>.
68. Ingemarsdotter, E., Jamsin, E., Kortuem, G., & Balkenende, R. (2019). Circular Strategies Enabled by the Internet of Things—A Framework and Analysis of Current Practice. *Sustainability*, 11(20), 5689. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11205689>.
69. Ivascu, L.. (2020). Measuring the Implications of Sustainable Manufacturing in the Context of Industry 4.0. *Processes*, 8(5), 585. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8050585>.
70. , Lopes de Sousa Jabbour, A. B., Jabbour, C. J. C., Godinho Filho, M., & Roubaud, D. (2018). Industry 4.0 and the Circular Economy: A Proposed Research Agenda and Original Roadmap for Sustainable Operations. *Annals of Operations Research*, 270(1–2), 273–286. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-018-2772-8>.
71. Jabbour, C. J. C., Lopes de Sousa Jabbour, A. B., Sarkis, J., & Godinho Filho, M. (2019). Unlocking the Circular Economy through New Business Models Based on Large-Scale Data: An Integrative Framework and Research Agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 144, 546–552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.09.010>.

72. Jabbour, C. J. C., Fiorini, P. D. C., Wong, C. W. Y., Jugend, D., Lopes De Sousa Jabbour, A. B., Seles, B. M. R. P., ... & da Silva, H. M. (2020). First-Mover Firms in the Transition towards the Sharing Economy in Metallic Natural Resource-Intensive Industries: Implications for the Circular Economy and Emerging Industry 4.0 Technologies. *Resources Policy*, 66, 101596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2020.101596>.
73. Jabbour, C. J., Fiorini, P. D. C., Ndubisi, N. O., Queiroz, M. M., & Piato, É. L. (2020). Digitally-Enabled Sustainable Supply Chains in the 21st Century: A Review and a Research Agenda. *Science of the Total Environment*, 725, 138177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138177>.
74. Joshi, A. D., & Gupta, S. M. (2019). Evaluation of Design Alternatives of End-Of-Life Products Using Internet of Things. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 208, 281–293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.12.010>.
75. Kamble, S. S., Belhadi, A., Gunasekaran, A., Ganapathy, L., & Verma, S. (2021). A Large Multi-Group Decision-Making Technique for Prioritizing the Big Data-Driven Circular Economy Practices in the Automobile Component Manufacturing Industry. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 165, 120567. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120567>.
76. Kanojia, A., and Visvanathan, C. (2021). Assessment of Urban Solid Waste Management Systems for Industry 4.0 Technology Interventions and the Circular Economy. *Waste Management & Research: The Journal for a Sustainable Circular Economy*, 39(11), 1414–1426. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X21992424>.
77. Kazancoglu, Y., Pala, M. O., Sezer, M. D., Luthra, S., & Kumar, A. (2021). Drivers of Implementing Big Data Analytics in Food Supply Chains for Transition to a Circular Economy and Sustainable Operations Management. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-12-2020-0521>.
78. Kerdlap, P., Low, J. S. C., & Ramakrishna, S. (2019). Zero Waste Manufacturing: A Framework and Review of Technology, Research, and Implementation Barriers for Enabling a Circular Economy Transition in Singapore. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 151, 104438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104438>.
79. Kerin, M., and Pham, D. T. (2019). A Review of Emerging Industry 4.0 Technologies in Remanufacturing. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, 117805. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117805>.
80. Kerin, M., & Pham D. T. (2020). Smart Remanufacturing: A Review and Research Framework. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 31(6), 1205–1235. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-06-2019-0205>.
81. Khan, I. S., Ahmad, M. O., & Majava, J. (2021). Industry 4.0 and Sustainable Development: A Systematic Mapping of Triple Bottom Line, Circular Economy and Sustainable Business Models Perspectives. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 297, 126655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126655>.
82. Khan, S. A. R., Razzaq, A., Yu, Z., & Miller, S. (2021). Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy Practices: A New Era Business Strategies for Environmental Sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), 4001–4014. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2853>.
83. Khan, S. A. R., Yu, Z., Sarwat, S., Godil, D. I., Amin, S., & Shujaat, S. (2021). The Role of Block Chain Technology in Circular Economy Practices to Improve Organisational Performance. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2021.1872512>.
84. Khan, S. A. R., Zia-ul-haq, H. M., Umar, M., & Yu, Z. (2021). Digital Technology and Circular Economy Practices: An Strategy to Improve Organizational Performance. *Business Strategy & Development*, 4(4), 482–490. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.176>.
85. Kim, Y. W., Chang, T. W., & Park, J. (2017). Gen2 RFID-Based System Framework for Resource Circulation in Closed-Loop Supply Chains. *Sustainability*, 9(11), 1995. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9111995>.
86. Kolmykova, T., Merzlyakova, E., & Kilimova, L. (2021). Development of Robotic Circular Reproduction in Ensuring Sustainable Economic Growth. *Economic Annals-XXI*, 186(11–12), 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.21003/EA.V186-02>.

- 1 87. Hernandez Korner, M.E., Lambán, M. P., Albajez, J. A., Santolaria, J., Ng Corrales, L. D. C., & Royo, J. (2020). Systematic Literature Review: Integration of Additive Manufacturing and Industry 4.0. *Metals*, 10(8), 1061. <https://doi.org/10.3390/met10081061>.
- 2 88. Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Zhu, G. (2019). At the Nexus of Blockchain Technology, the Circular Economy, and Product Deletion. *Applied Sciences*, 9(8), 1712. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9081712>.
- 3 89. Kouhizadeh, M., Zhu, Q., & Sarkis, J. (2020). Blockchain and the Circular Economy: Potential Tensions and Critical Reflections from Practice. *Production Planning & Control*, 31(11–12), 950–966. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2019.1695925>.
- 4 90. Kristoffersen, E., Blomsma, F., Mikalef, P., & Li, J. (2020). The Smart Circular Economy: A Digital-Enabled Circular Strategies Framework for Manufacturing Companies. *Journal of Business Research*, 120, 241–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.07.044>.
- 5 91. Kumar, R., Singh, R. K., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2020). Application of Industry 4.0 Technologies in SMEs for Ethical and Sustainable Operations: Analysis of Challenges. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 275, 124063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124063>.
- 6 92. Kurniawan, T. A., Lo, W., Singh, D., Othman, M. H. D., Avtar, R., Hwang, G. H., ... & Shirazian, S. (2021). A Societal Transition of MSW Management in Xiamen (China) toward a Circular Economy through Integrated Waste Recycling and Technological Digitization. *Environmental Pollution*, 277, 116741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116741>.
- 7 93. Lekan, A., Clinton, A., Fayomi, O. S. I., & James, O. (2020). Lean Thinking and Industrial 4.0 Approach to Achieving Construction 4.0 for Industrialization and Technological Development. *Buildings*, 10(12), 221. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings10120221>.
- 8 94. Li, S., Zhang, H., Yan, W., & Jiang, Z. (2021). A Hybrid Method of Blockchain and Case-Based Reasoning for Remanufacturing Process Planning. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 32(5), 1389–1399. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-020-01618-6>.
- 9 95. Lin, K. Y. (2018). User Experience-Based Product Design for Smart Production to Empower Industry 4.0 in the Glass Recycling Circular Economy. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 125, 729–738. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2018.06.023>.
- 10 96. Ma, D., & Hu, J. (2020). Research on Collaborative Management Strategies of Closed-Loop Supply Chain under the Influence of Big-Data Marketing and Reference Price Effect. *Sustainability*, 12(4), 1685. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041685>.
- 11 97. Ma, S., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Yang, H., Lv, J., & Ren, S. (2020). Data-Driven Sustainable Intelligent Manufacturing Based on Demand Response for Energy-Intensive Industries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 274, 123155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123155>.
- 12 98. Magrini, C., Nicolas, J., Berg, H., Bellini, A., Paolini, E., Vincenti, N., ... & Bonoli, A. (2021). Using Internet of Things and Distributed Ledger Technology for Digital Circular Economy Enablement: The Case of Electronic Equipment. *Sustainability*, 13(9), 4982. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13094982>.
- 13 99. Mahroof, K., Omar, A., Rana, N. P., Sivarajah, U., & Weerakkody, V. (2021). Drone as a Service (DaaS) in Promoting Cleaner Agricultural Production and Circular Economy for Ethical Sustainable Supply Chain Development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 287, 125522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125522>.
- 14 100. Manavalan, E., & Jayakrishna, K. (2019). A Review of Internet of Things (IoT) Embedded Sustainable Supply Chain for Industry 4.0 Requirements. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 127, 925–953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2018.11.030>.
- 15 101. Mantelli, A., Levi, M., Turri, S., & Suriano, R. (2020). Remanufacturing of End-of-Life Glass-Fiber Reinforced Composites via UV-Assisted 3D Printing. *Rapid Prototyping Journal*, 26(6), 981–992. <https://doi.org/10.1108/RPJ-01-2019-0011>.
- 16 102. Margherita, E. G., & Braccini, A. M. (2020). Industry 4.0 Technologies in Flexible Manufacturing for Sustainable Organizational Value: Reflections from a Multiple Case Study of Italian Manufacturers. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-020-10047-y>.
- 17 103. Massaro, M., Secinaro, S. F., Dal Mas, F., Brescia, V., & Calandra, D. (2021). Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy: An Exploratory Analysis of Academic and Practitioners' Perspectives. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(2), 1213–1231. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2680>.

104. Mastos, T. D., Nizamis, A., Terzi, S., Gkortzis, D., Papadopoulos, A., Tsagkalidis, N., ... & Tzovaras, D. (2021). Introducing an Application of an Industry 4.0 Solution for Circular Supply Chain Management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 300, 126886. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126886>.
105. Mboli, J. S., Thakker, D. K., & Mishra, J. L. (2020). An Internet of Things-Enabled Decision Support System for Circular Economy Business Model. *Software - Practice and Experience*, 52(3), 772-787. <https://doi.org/10.1002/spe.2825>.
106. Meng, K., Cao, Y., Peng, X., Prybutok, V., & Youcef-Toumi, K. (2020). Smart Recovery Decision-Making for End-of-Life Products in the Context of Ubiquitous Information and Computational Intelligence. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 272, 122804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122804>.
107. Miaoudakis, A., Fysarakis, K., Petroulakis, N., Alexaki, S., Alexandris, G., Ioannidis, S., ... & Verikoukis, C. (2020). Pairing a Circular Economy and the 5G-Enabled Internet of Things: Creating a Class of ?Looping Smart Assets?. *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, 15(3), 20–31. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MVT.2020.2991788>.
108. Mishra, S., & Singh, S. P. (2020). A Stochastic Disaster-Resilient and Sustainable Reverse Logistics Model in Big Data Environment. *Annals of Operations Research*, 1-32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-020-03573-0>.
109. Modgil, S., Gupta, S., Sivarajah, U., & Bhushan, B. (2021). Big Data-Enabled Large-Scale Group Decision Making for Circular Economy: An Emerging Market Context. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 166, 120607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.120607>.
110. Mukherjee, A. A., Singh, R. K., Mishra, R., & Bag, S. (2021). Application of Blockchain Technology for Sustainability Development in Agricultural Supply Chain: Justification Framework. *Operations Management Research*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-021-00180-5>.
111. Nandi, S., Sarkis, J., Hervani, A., & Helms, M. (2021). Do Blockchain and Circular Economy Practices Improve Post COVID-19 Supply Chains? A Resource-Based and Resource Dependence Perspective. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 121(2), 333–363. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2020-0560>.
112. Benitez Nara, E. O., Becker da Costa, M., Baierle, I. C., Schaefer, J. L., Brittes Benitez G., Lima do Santos, L. M. A., & Brittes Benitez, L. (2021). Expected Impact of Industry 4.0 Technologies on Sustainable Development: A Study in the Context of Brazil's Plastic Industry. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 25, 102–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2020.07.018>.
113. Narayan, R., & Tidström, A. (2020). Tokenizing Coopetition in a Blockchain for a Transition to Circular Economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121437>.
114. Narwane, V. S., Raut, R. D., Yadav, V. S., & Singh, A. R. (2021). Barriers in Sustainable Industry 4.0: A Case Study of the Footwear Industry. *International Journal of Sustainable Engineering*, 14(3), 175–189. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19397038.2020.1836065>.
115. Nascimento, D. L. M., Alencastro, V., Quelhas, O. L. G., Caiado, R. G. G., Garza-Reyes, J. A., Rocha Lona, L., & Tortorella, G. (2019). Exploring Industry 4.0 Technologies to Enable Circular Economy Practices in a Manufacturing Context: A Business Model Proposal. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 30(3), 607–627. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-03-2018-0071>.
116. Nobre, G. C., & Tavares, E. (2020). Assessing the Role of Big Data and the Internet of Things on the Transition to Circular Economy: Part I An Extension of the ReSOLVE Framework Proposal through a Literature Review. *Johnson Matthey Technology Review*, 64(1), 19–31. <https://doi.org/10.1595/205651319X15643932870488>
117. Nobre, G. C., & Tavares, E. (2020). Assessing the Role of Big Data and the Internet of Things on the Transition to Circular Economy: Part II An Extension of the ReSOLVE Framework Proposal through a Literature Review. *Johnson Matthey Technology Review*, 64(1), 32-41. <https://doi.org/10.1595/205651319x15650189172931>

- 1
2
3 118. Nobre, G. C., & Tavares. (2017). Scientific Literature Analysis on Big Data and Internet of Things Applications on Circular Economy: A
4 Bibliometric Study. *Scientometrics*, 111(1), 463–492. doi:10.1007/s11192-017-2281-6.
- 5 119. Nowakowski, P., Szwarc, K., & Boryczka, U. (2020). Combining an Artificial Intelligence Algorithm and a Novel Vehicle for Sustainable E-
6 Waste Collection. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 730, 138726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138726>.
- 7 120. Okorie, O., Saloniis, K., Charnley, F., Moreno, M., Turner, C., & Tiwari, A. (2018). Digitisation and the Circular Economy: A Review of
8 Current Research and Future Trends. *Energies*, 11(11), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en11113009>.
- 9 121. Ozkan-Ozen, Y. D., Kazancoglu, Y., & Mangla, S. K. (2020). Synchronized Barriers for Circular Supply Chains in Industry 3.5/Industry 4.0
10 Transition for Sustainable Resource Management. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 161, 104986.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104986>.
- 12 122. Papanagnou, C. I. (2022). Measuring and Eliminating the Bullwhip in Closed Loop Supply Chains Using Control Theory and Internet of Things.
13 *Annals of Operations Research*, 310(1), 153–170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04136-7>.
- 14 123. Peeters, B., Kiratli, N., & Semeijn, J. (2019). A Barrier Analysis for Distributed Recycling of 3D Printing Waste: Taking the Maker Movement
15 Perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 241, 118313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118313>.
- 16 124. Persis, D. J., Venkatesh, V. G., Raja Sreedharan, V., Shi, Y., & Sankaranarayanan, B. (2021). Modelling and Analysing the Impact of Circular
17 Economy; Internet of Things and Ethical Business Practices in the VUCA World: Evidence from the Food Processing Industry. *Journal of Cleaner
18 Production*, 301, 126871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126871>.
- 19 125. Pham, T. T., Kuo, T. C., Tseng, M. L., Tan, R. R., Tan, K., Ika, D. S., & Lin, C. J. (2019). Industry 4.0 to Accelerate the Circular Economy: A
20 Case Study of Electric Scooter Sharing. *Sustainability*, 11(23), 6661. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11236661>.
- 21 126. Pizzi, S., Corbo, L., & Caputo, A. (2021). Fintech and SMEs Sustainable Business Models: Reflections and Considerations for a Circular
22 Economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 281, 125217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125217>.
- 23 127. Ponis, S., Aretoulaki, E., Maroutas, T. N., Plakas, G., & Dimogiorgi, K. (2021). A Systematic Literature Review on Additive Manufacturing in
24 the Context of Circular Economy. *Sustainability*, 13(11), 6007. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13116007>.
- 25 128. Poschmann, H., Brüggemann, H., & Goldmann, D. (2020). Disassembly 4.0: A Review on Using Robotics in Disassembly Tasks as a Way of
26 Automation. *Chemie-Ingenieur-Technik*, 92(4), 341–359. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.201900107>.
- 27 129. Prideaux, B., & Yin, P. (2019). The Disruptive Potential of Autonomous Vehicles (AVs) on Future Low-Carbon Tourism Mobility. *Asia Pacific
28 Journal of Tourism Research*, 24(5), 459–467. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10941665.2019.1588138>.
- 29 130. Quariguasi Frota Neto, J., & Dutordoir, M. (2020). Mapping the Market for Remanufacturing: An Application of ‘Big Data’ Analytics.
30 *International Journal of Production Economics*, 230, 107807. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107807>.
- 31 131. Rahito, D. W., & Azman, A. (2019). Additive Manufacturing for Repair and Restoration in Remanufacturing: An Overview from Object Design
32 and Systems Perspectives. *Processes*, 7(11), 802. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr7110802>.
- 33 132. Rajput, S., & Singh, S. P. (2021). Industry 4.0 Model for Integrated Circular Economy-Reverse Logistics Network. *International Journal of
34 Logistics Research and Applications*, 1–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2021.1926950>.
- 35 133. Rajput, S., & Singh, S. P. (2019). Connecting Circular Economy and Industry 4.0. *International Journal of Information Management*, 49, 98–
36 113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.03.002>.
- 37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

134. Rajput, S., & Singh, S. P. (2020). Industry 4.0 Model for Circular Economy and Cleaner Production. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 277, 123853. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.123853>.
135. Ramakrishna, S., Ngowi, A., De Jager, H., & Awuzie, B. O. (2020). Emerging Industrial Revolution: Symbiosis of Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy: The Role of Universities. *Science, Technology and Society*, 25(3), 505–525. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0971721820912918>.
136. Rocca, R., Rosa, P., Sassanelli, C., Fumagalli, L., & Terzi, S. (2020). Integrating Virtual Reality and Digital Twin in Circular Economy Practices: A Laboratory Application Case. *Sustainability*, 12(6), 2286. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12062286>.
137. Rosa, P., Sassanelli, C., Urbinati, A., Chiaroni, D., & Terzi, S. (2020). Assessing Relations between Circular Economy and Industry 4.0: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(6), 1662–1687. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1680896>.
138. Rossi, J., Bianchini, A., & Guarnieri, P. (2020). Circular Economy Model Enhanced by Intelligent Assets from Industry 4.0: The Proposition of an Innovative Tool to Analyze Case Studies. *Sustainability*, 12(17), 7147. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12177147>.
139. Sanchez, F. A. C., Boudaoud, H., Camargo, M., & Pearce, J. M. (2020). Plastic Recycling in Additive Manufacturing: A Systematic Literature Review and Opportunities for the Circular Economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 264, 121602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121602>.
140. Santander, P., Sanchez, F. A. C., Boudaoud, H., & Camargo, M. (2020). Closed Loop Supply Chain Network for Local and Distributed Plastic Recycling for 3D Printing: A MILP-Based Optimization Approach. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 154, 104531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104531>.
141. Sarc, R., Curtis, A., Kandlbauer, L., Khodier, K., Lorber, K. E., & Pomberger, R. (2019). Digitalisation and Intelligent Robotics in Value Chain of Circular Economy Oriented Waste Management – A Review. *Waste Management*, 95, 476–492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.06.035>.
142. Sarkis, J., Kouhizadeh, M., & Zhu, Q. S. (2021). Digitalization and the Greening of Supply Chains. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 121(1), 65–85. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-08-2020-0450>.
143. Sauerwein, M., Doubrovski, E., Balkenende, R., & Bakker, C. (2019). Exploring the Potential of Additive Manufacturing for Product Design in a Circular Economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 226, 1138–1149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.108>.
144. Scafà, M., Marconi, M., & Germani, M. (2020). A Critical Review of Symbiosis Approaches in the Context of Industry 4.0. *Journal of Computational Design and Engineering*, 7(3), 269–278. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcde/qwaa022>.
145. Scherer, M. (2017). Waste Flows Management by Their Prediction in a Production Company. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computational Mechanics*, 16(2), 135–144. <https://doi.org/10.17512/jamcm.2017.2.11>.
146. Shojaei, A., Ketabi, R., Razkenari, M., Hakim, H., & Wang, J. (2021). Enabling a Circular Economy in the Built Environment Sector through Blockchain Technology. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 294, 126352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126352>.
147. Son, D., Kim, S., & Jeong, B. (2021). Sustainable Part Consolidation Model for Customized Products in Closed-Loop Supply Chain with Additive Manufacturing Hub. *Additive Manufacturing*, 37, 101643. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101643>.
148. Sprecher, B., Verhagen, T. J., Sauer, M. L., Baars, M., Heintz, J., & Fishman, T. (2022). Material Intensity Database for the Dutch Building Stock: Towards Big Data in Material Stock Analysis. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 26(1), 272–280. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13143>.
149. Strong, D., Kay, M., Wakefield, T., Sirichakwal, I., Conner, B., & Manogharan, G. (2020). Rethinking Reverse Logistics: Role of Additive Manufacturing Technology in Metal Remanufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 31(1), 124–144. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-04-2018-0119>.
150. Sung, S. I., Kim, Y. S., & Kim, H. S. (2020). Study on Reverse Logistics Focused on Developing the Collection Signal Algorithm Based on the Sensor Data and the Concept of Industry 4.0. *Applied Sciences*, 10(14), 5016. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10145016>.

- 1 151. Thüerer, M., Pan, Y. H., Qu, T., Luo, H., Li, C. D., & Huang, G. Q. (2019). Internet of Things (IoT) Driven Kanban System for Reverse
2 Logistics: Solid Waste Collection. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 30(7), 2621–2630. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-016-1278-y>.
- 3 152. Tozanlı, Ö., Kongar, E., & Gupta, S. M. (2020). Trade-in-to-Upgrade as a Marketing Strategy in Disassembly-to-Order Systems at the Edge of
4 Blockchain Technology. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(23), 7183–7200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1712489>.
- 5 153. Turner, C., Moreno, M., Mondini, L., Salonitis, K., Charnley, F., Tiwari, A., & Hutabarat, W. (2019). Sustainable Production in a Circular
6 Economy: A Business Model for Re-Distributed Manufacturing. *Sustainability*, 11(16), 4291. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11164291>.
- 7 154. Unruh, G. (2018). Circular Economy, 3D Printing, and the Biosphere Rules. *California Management Review*, 60(3), 95–111.
8 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125618759684>.
- 9 155. Upadhyay, A., Mukhuty, S., Kumar, V., & Kazancoglu, Y. (2021). Blockchain Technology and the Circular Economy: Implications for
10 Sustainability and Social Responsibility. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 293, 126130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126130>.
- 11 156. Del Vecchio, P., Malandugno, C., Passiante, G., & Sakka, G. (2022). Circular Economy Business Model for Smart Tourism: The Case of
12 Ecobnb. *EuroMed Journal of Business*, 17(1), 88–104. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-09-2020-0098>.
- 13 157. Velvizhi, G., Shanthakumar, S., Das, B., Pugazhendhi, A., Priya, T. S., Ashok, B., ... & Karthick, C. (2020). Biodegradable and Non-
14 Biodegradable Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste for Multifaceted Applications through a Closed Loop Integrated Refinery Platform: Paving a Path
15 towards Circular Economy. *Science of the Total Environment*, 731, 138049. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138049.
- 16 158. Wang, B., Farooque, M., Zhong, R. Y., Zhang, A., & Liu, Y. (2021). Internet of Things (IoT)-Enabled Accountability in Source Separation of
17 Household Waste for a Circular Economy in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 300. Elsevier Ltd: 126773.
18 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.126773>.
- 19 159. Wang, D., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Implications for Sustainability in Supply Chain Management and the Circular Economy Using Machine Learning
20 Model. *Information Systems and E-Business Management*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-020-00477-1>.
- 21 160. Wiedmann, T. (2017). An Input–Output Virtual Laboratory in Practice—Survey of Uptake, Usage and Applications of the First Operational
22 IELab. *Economic Systems Research*, 29(2), 296–312. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09535314.2017.1283295>.
- 23 161. Wilson, M., Paschen, J., & Pitt, L. (2022). The Circular Economy Meets Artificial Intelligence (AI): Understanding the Opportunities of AI for
24 Reverse Logistics. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 33(1), 9–25. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEQ-10-2020-0222>.
- 25 162. Wilts, H., Riesco Garcia, B., Guerra Garlito, R., Saralegui Gómez, L., & González Prieto, E. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in the Sorting of
26 Municipal Waste as an Enabler of the Circular Economy. *Resources*, 10(4) 28. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources10040028>.
- 27 163. Xiang, Z., & Xu, M. (2019). Dynamic Cooperation Strategies of the Closed-Loop Supply Chain Involving the Internet Service Platform. *Journal*
28 *of Cleaner Production*, 220, 1180–1193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.310>.
- 29 164. Xiang, Z., & Xu, M. (2020). Dynamic Game Strategies of a Two-Stage Remanufacturing Closed-Loop Supply Chain Considering Big Data
30 Marketing, Technological Innovation and Overconfidence. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 145, 106538.
31 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106538>.
- 32 165. Xu, M., Cai, H., & Liang, S. (2015). Big Data and Industrial Ecology. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 19(2), 205–210.
33 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12241>.
- 34 166. Yadav, D., Garg, R. K., Ahlawat, A., & Chhabra, D. (2020). 3D Printable Biomaterials for Orthopedic Implants: Solution for Sustainable and
35 Circular Economy. *Resources Policy*, 68, 101767. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2020.101767>.
- 36 167. Yang, S., M. R., A., Kaminski, J., & Pepin, H. (2018). Opportunities for Industry 4.0 to Support Remanufacturing. *Applied Sciences*, 8(7), 1177.
37 <https://doi.org/10.3390/app8071177>.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
168. Yildizbasi, A. (2021). Blockchain and Renewable Energy: Integration Challenges in Circular Economy Era. *Renewable Energy*, 176, 183–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.05.053>.
169. Yuzhen, S. (2021). Research on Smart Agricultural Waste Discharge Supervision and Prevention Based on Big Data Technology. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B — Soil & Plant Science*, 71(8), 683–695. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09064710.2021.1939409>.
170. Zhang, A., Venkatesh, V. G., Liu, Y., Wan, M., Qu, T., & Huisingh, D. (2019). Barriers to Smart Waste Management for a Circular Economy in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 240, 118198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118198>.
171. Zhang, A., Zhong, R. Y., Farooque, M., Kang, K., & Venkatesh, V. G. (2020). Blockchain-Based Life Cycle Assessment: An Implementation Framework and System Architecture. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 152, 104512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104512>.
172. Zhenjian, L., Jiahua, L., & Yunbao, X. (2021). Research on the Path of Agriculture Sustainable Development Based on the Concept of Circular Economy and Big Data. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B — Soil & Plant Science*, 71(9), 1024–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09064710.2021.1929436>.
173. Zhou, X., Song, M., & Cui, L. (2020). Driving Force for China's Economic Development under Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy: Technological Innovation or Structural Change?. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 271, 122680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122680>.

Appendix B. Correlation between ID and Paper

ID	PAPER	REFERENCE
1	Impeding Challenges on Industry 4.0 in Circular Economy: Palm Oil Industry in Malaysia	(Abdul-Hamid, et al., 2020)
2	The Bright Side of Consumers' Opinions of Improving Reverse Logistics Decisions: A Social Media Analytic Framework	(Ahmadi, et al., 2020)
3	Enhancing the Circular and Modified Linear Economy: The Importance of Blockchain for Developing Economies	(Ajwani-Ramchandani, et al., 2021a)
4	Towards a Circular Economy for Packaging Waste by Using New Technologies: The Case of Large Multinationals in Emerging Economies	(Ajwani-Ramchandani, et al., 2021b)
5	Towards a Framework of Smart-Circular Systems: An Integrative Literature Review	(Alcayaga, et al., 2019)
6	Digitalization, Circular Economy and Environmental Sustainability: The Application of Artificial Intelligence in the Efficient Self-Management of Waste	(Nañez Alonso, et al., 2021)
7	Towards a Conceptual Development of Industry 4.0, Servitisation, and Circular Economy: A Systematic Literature Review	(Atif, et al., 2021)
8	Big Data Analytics Capability and Decision-Making: The Role of Data-Driven Insight on Circular Economy Performance	(Awan, et al., 2021a)
9	Industry 4.0 and the Circular Economy: A Literature Review and Recommendations for Future Research	(Awan, et al., 2021b)
10	Examining the Role of Procurement 4.0 towards Remanufacturing Operations and Circular Economy	(Bag, et al., 2021a)
11	Industry 4.0 Adoption and 10R Advance Manufacturing Capabilities for Sustainable Development	(Bag, et al., 2021b)
12	Leveraging Big Data Analytics Capabilities in Making Reverse Logistics Decisions and Improving Remanufacturing Performance	(Bag, et al., 2021c)
13	Role of Institutional Pressures and Resources in the Adoption of Big Data Analytics Powered Artificial Intelligence, Sustainable Manufacturing Practices and Circular Economy Capabilities	(Bag, et al., 2021d)
14	Relationships between Industry 4.0, Sustainable Manufacturing and Circular Economy: Proposal of a Research Framework	(Bag & Pretorius, 2020)
15	Modeling Barriers of Digital Manufacturing in a Circular Economy for Enhancing Sustainability	(Bag, et al., 2021e)
16	Key Resources for Industry 4.0 Adoption and Its Effect on Sustainable Production and Circular Economy: An Empirical Study	(Bag, et al., 2021f)

17	Industry 4.0 and the Circular Economy: Resource Melioration in Logistics	(Bag, et al., 2020)
18	Potentials of Big Data for Corporate Environmental Management: A Case Study from the German Automotive Industry	(Beier, et al., 2022)
19	Digitalizing the Closing-of-the-Loop for Supply Chains: A Transportation and Blockchain Perspective	(Bekrar, et al., 2021)
20	Big Data for Agri-Food 4.0: Application to Sustainability Management for by-Products Supply Chain	(Belaud, et al., 2019)
21	New Generation Acceptability towards Durability and Repairability of Products: Circular Economy in the Era of the 4th Industrial Revolution	(Bigerna, et al., 2021)
22	Blockchain for the Circular Economy: Analysis of the Research-Practice Gap	(Böckel, et al., 2021)
23	Innovative Processes in Managing an Enterprise from the Energy and Food Sector in the Era of Industry 4.0	(Borowski, 2021)
24	Algorithm for Designing Reconfigurable Equipment to Enable Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy-Driven Manufacturing Systems	(Brad & Brad, 2021)
25	The Role of Digital Technologies in Operationalizing the Circular Economy Transition: A Systematic Literature Review	(Cagno, et al., 2021)
26	Circular Digital Built Environment: An Emerging Framework	(Çetin, et al., 2021)
27	The Interplay of Circular Economy with Industry 4.0 Enabled Smart City Drivers of Healthcare Waste Disposal	(Chauhan, et al., 2021a)
28	A SAP-LAP Linkages Framework for Integrating Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy	(Chauhan, et al., 2021b)
29	Zero E-Waste: Regulatory Impediments and Blockchain Imperatives	(Chen & Ogunseitan, 2021)
30	Environmental Cost Control System of Manufacturing Enterprises Using Artificial Intelligence Based on Value Chain of Circular Economy	(Chen, et al., 2020)
31	Linkages between Big Data Analytics, Circular Economy, Sustainable Supply Chain Flexibility, and Sustainable Performance in Manufacturing Firms	(Edwin Cheng, et al., 2021)
32	Simulation of Design and Analysis of a Container Reverse-Logistics System	(Cheng & Yang, 2005)
33	From Trash to Cash: How Blockchain and Multi-Sensor-Driven Artificial Intelligence Can Transform Circular Economy of Plastic Waste?	(Chidepatil, et al., 2020)
34	Task Planner for Robotic Disassembly of Electric Vehicle Battery Pack	(Choux, et al., 2021)

35	Enabling the Circular Economy Transition: A Sustainable Lean Manufacturing Recipe for Industry 4.0	(Ciliberto, et al., 2021)
36	Smart Manufacturing Systems and Applied Industrial Technologies for a Sustainable Industry: A Systematic Literature Review	(Cioffi, et al., 2020)
37	Environment 4.0: How Digitalization and Machine Learning Can Improve the Environmental Footprint of the Steel Production Processes	(Colla, et al., 2020)
38	Sustainability of Additive Manufacturing: The Circular Economy of Materials and Environmental Perspectives	(Colorado, et al., 2020)
39	Cloud-Based Sustainable Management of Electrical and Electronic Equipment from Production to End-of-Life	(Conti & Orcioni, 2019)
40	Circular Economy and Industry 4.0: One-Way or Two-Way Relationships?	(Cwiklicki & Wojnarowska, 2020)
41	Digital Technologies for Urban Metabolism Efficiency: Lessons from Urban Agenda Partnership on Circular Economy	(D'Amico, et al., 2021)
42	How the Combination of Circular Economy and Industry 4.0 Can Contribute towards Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals	(Dantas, et al., 2021)
43	Supply Chain Management in the Era of Circular Economy: The Moderating Effect of Big Data	(Del Giudice, et al., 2021)
44	Information and Communication Technology Solutions for the Circular Economy	(Demestichas & Daskalakis, 2020)
45	Assessment of 3D Printing Using Fused Deposition Modeling and Selective Laser Sintering for a Circular Economy	(DePalma, et al., 2020)
46	Unlocking Value for a Circular Economy through 3D Printing: A Research Agenda	(Despeisse, et al., 2017)
47	Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy: Operational Excellence for Sustainable Reverse Supply Chain Performance	(Dev, et al., 2020a)
48	Diffusion of Green Products in Industry 4.0: Reverse Logistics Issues during Design of Inventory and Production Planning System	(Dev, et al., 2020b)
49	Industry 4.0 for Sustainable Manufacturing: Opportunities at the Product, Process, and System Levels	(Enyoghasi & Badurdeen, 2021)
50	Sustainability in E-Commerce Packaging: A Review	(Escursell, et al., 2021)
51	Blockchain for the Future of Sustainable Supply Chain Management in Industry 4.0	(Esmailian, et al., 2020)
52	Industry 4.0 Based Sustainable Circular Economy Approach for Smart Waste Management System to Achieve Sustainable Development Goals: A Case Study of Indonesia	(Fatimah, et al., 2020)

53	Waste Valorization through Additive Manufacturing in an Industrial Symbiosis Setting	(Ferreira, et al., 2020)
54	Considerations, Challenges and Opportunities When Developing Data-Driven Models for Process Manufacturing Systems	(Fisher, et al., 2020)
55	Link between Sustainability and Industry 4.0: Trends, Challenges and New Perspectives	(Furstenau, et al., 2020)
56	Disruptive Technology as an Enabler of the Circular Economy: What Potential Does 3D Printing Hold?	(Garmulewicz, et al., 2018)
57	Integrated User-Oriented Service for 3D Printing Environments with Recycled Material from Maritime Plastic Waste	(Garrido, et al., 2021)
58	An End-to-End Internet of Things Solution for Reverse Supply Chain Management in Industry 4.0	(Garrido-Hidalgo, et al., 2019)
59	The Adoption of Internet of Things in a Circular Supply Chain Framework for the Recovery of WEEE: The Case of Lithium-Ion Electric Vehicle Battery Packs	(Garrido-Hidalgo, et al., 2020)
60	Causal Network Maps of Urban Circular Economies	(Gue, et al., 2022)
61	Industry 4.0, Cleaner Production and Circular Economy: An Integrative Framework for Evaluating Ethical and Sustainable Business Performance of Manufacturing Organizations	(Gupta, et al., 2021)
62	Circular Economy and Big Data Analytics: A Stakeholder Perspective	(Gupta, et al., 2019)
63	The Industrial Internet of Things as an Enabler for a Circular Economy Hy-LP: A Novel IIoT Protocol, Evaluated on a Wind Park's SDN/NFV-Enabled 5G Industrial Network	(Hatzivasilis, et al., 2018)
64	Circular Economy: Recent Technology Management Considerations	(Hazen, et al., 2020)
65	The Impact of 4IR Digital Technologies and Circular Thinking on the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals	(Hoosain, et al., 2020)
66	Enabling Circular Business Models in the Fashion Industry: The Role of Digital Innovation	(Huynh, 2022)
67	Opportunities and Challenges in IoT-Enabled Circular Business Model Implementation – A Case Study	(Ingemarsdotter, et al., 2020)
68	Circular Strategies Enabled by the Internet of Things—A Framework and Analysis of Current Practice	(Ingemarsdotter, et al., 2019)
69	Measuring the Implications of Sustainable Manufacturing in the Context of Industry 4.0	(Ivascu, 2020)
70	Industry 4.0 and the Circular Economy: A Proposed Research Agenda and Original Roadmap for Sustainable Operations	(Lopes de Sousa Jabbour, et al., 2018)

71	Unlocking the Circular Economy through New Business Models Based on Large-Scale Data: An Integrative Framework and Research Agenda	(Jabbour, et al., 2019)
72	First-Mover Firms in the Transition towards the Sharing Economy in Metallic Natural Resource-Intensive Industries: Implications for the Circular Economy and Emerging Industry 4.0 Technologies	(Jabbour, et al., 2020a)
73	Digitally-Enabled Sustainable Supply Chains in the 21st Century: A Review and a Research Agenda	(Jabbour, et al., 2020b)
74	Evaluation of Design Alternatives of End-Of-Life Products Using Internet of Things	(Joshi & Gupta, 2019)
75	A Large Multi-Group Decision-Making Technique for Prioritizing the Big Data-Driven Circular Economy Practices in the Automobile Component Manufacturing Industry	(Kamble, et al., 2021)
76	Assessment of Urban Solid Waste Management Systems for Industry 4.0 Technology Interventions and the Circular Economy	(Kanojia & Visvanathan, 2021)
77	Drivers of Implementing Big Data Analytics in Food Supply Chains for Transition to a Circular Economy and Sustainable Operations Management	(Kazancoglu, et al., 2021)
78	Zero Waste Manufacturing: A Framework and Review of Technology, Research, and Implementation Barriers for Enabling a Circular Economy Transition in Singapore	(Kerdlap, et al., 2019)
79	A Review of Emerging Industry 4.0 Technologies in Remanufacturing	(Kerin & Pham, 2019)
80	Smart Remanufacturing: A Review and Research Framework	(Kerin & Pham, 2020)
81	Industry 4.0 and Sustainable Development: A Systematic Mapping of Triple Bottom Line, Circular Economy and Sustainable Business Models Perspectives	(Khan, et al., 2021a)
82	Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy Practices: A New Era Business Strategies for Environmental Sustainability	(Khan, et al., 2021b)
83	The Role of Block Chain Technology in Circular Economy Practices to Improve Organisational Performance	(Khan, et al., 2021c)
84	Digital Technology and Circular Economy Practices: An Strategy to Improve Organizational Performance	(Khan, et al., 2021d)
85	Gen2 RFID-Based System Framework for Resource Circulation in Closed-Loop Supply Chains	(Kim, et al., 2017)
86	Development of Robotic Circular Reproduction in Ensuring Sustainable Economic Growth	(Kolmykova, et al., 2021)
87	Systematic Literature Review: Integration of Additive Manufacturing and Industry 4.0	(Hernandez Korne, et al., 2020)

88	At the Nexus of Blockchain Technology, the Circular Economy, and Product Deletion	(Kouhizadeh, et al., 2019)
89	Blockchain and the Circular Economy: Potential Tensions and Critical Reflections from Practice	(Kouhizadeh, et al., 2020)
90	The Smart Circular Economy: A Digital-Enabled Circular Strategies Framework for Manufacturing Companies	(Kristoffersen, et al., 2020)
91	Application of Industry 4.0 Technologies in SMEs for Ethical and Sustainable Operations: Analysis of Challenges	(Kumar, et al., 2020)
92	A Societal Transition of MSW Management in Xiamen (China) toward a Circular Economy through Integrated Waste Recycling and Technological Digitization	(Kurniawan, et al., 2021)
93	Lean Thinking and Industrial 4.0 Approach to Achieving Construction 4.0 for Industrialization and Technological Development	(Lekan, et al., 2020)
94	A Hybrid Method of Blockchain and Case-Based Reasoning for Remanufacturing Process Planning	(Li, et al., 2021)
95	User Experience-Based Product Design for Smart Production to Empower Industry 4.0 in the Glass Recycling Circular Economy	(Lin, 2018)
96	Research on Collaborative Management Strategies of Closed-Loop Supply Chain under the Influence of Big-Data Marketing and Reference Price Effect	(Ma & Hu, 2020)
97	Data-Driven Sustainable Intelligent Manufacturing Based on Demand Response for Energy-Intensive Industries	(Ma, et al., 2020)
98	Using Internet of Things and Distributed Ledger Technology for Digital Circular Economy Enablement: The Case of Electronic Equipment	(Magrini, et al., 2021)
99	Drone as a Service (DaaS) in Promoting Cleaner Agricultural Production and Circular Economy for Ethical Sustainable Supply Chain Development	(Mahroof, et al., 2021)
100	A Review of Internet of Things (IoT) Embedded Sustainable Supply Chain for Industry 4.0 Requirements	(Manavalan & Jayakrishna, 2019)
101	Remanufacturing of End-of-Life Glass-Fiber Reinforced Composites via UV-Assisted 3D Printing	(Mantelli, et al., 2020)
102	Industry 4.0 Technologies in Flexible Manufacturing for Sustainable Organizational Value: Reflections from a Multiple Case Study of Italian Manufacturers	(Margherita & Braccini, 2020)
103	Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy: An Exploratory Analysis of Academic and Practitioners' Perspectives	(Massaro, et al., 2021)
104	Introducing an Application of an Industry 4.0 Solution for Circular Supply Chain Management	(Mastos, et al., 2021)

105	An Internet of Things-Enabled Decision Support System for Circular Economy Business Model	(Mboli, et al., 2020)
106	Smart Recovery Decision-Making for End-of-Life Products in the Context of Ubiquitous Information and Computational Intelligence	(Meng, et al., 2020)
107	Pairing a Circular Economy and the 5G-Enabled Internet of Things: Creating a Class of Looping Smart Assets?	(Miaoudakis, et al., 2020)
108	A Stochastic Disaster-Resilient and Sustainable Reverse Logistics Model in Big Data Environment	(Mishra & Singh, 2020)
109	Big Data-Enabled Large-Scale Group Decision Making for Circular Economy: An Emerging Market Context	(Modgil, et al., 2021)
110	Application of Blockchain Technology for Sustainability Development in Agricultural Supply Chain: Justification Framework	(Mukherjee, et al., 2021)
111	Do Blockchain and Circular Economy Practices Improve Post COVID-19 Supply Chains? A Resource-Based and Resource Dependence Perspective	(Nandi, et al., 2021)
112	Expected Impact of Industry 4.0 Technologies on Sustainable Development: A Study in the Context of Brazil's Plastic Industry	(Benitez Nara, et al., 2021)
113	Tokenizing Coopetition in a Blockchain for a Transition to Circular Economy	(Narayan & Tidström, 2020)
114	Barriers in Sustainable Industry 4.0: A Case Study of the Footwear Industry	(Narwane, et al., 2021)
115	Exploring Industry 4.0 Technologies to Enable Circular Economy Practices in a Manufacturing Context: A Business Model Proposal	(Nascimento, et al., 2019)
116	Assessing the Role of Big Data and the Internet of Things on the Transition to Circular Economy: Part I An Extension of the ReSOLVE Framework Proposal through a Literature Review	(Nobre & Tavares, 2020a)
117	Assessing the Role of Big Data and the Internet of Things on the Transition to Circular Economy: Part II An Extension of the ReSOLVE Framework Proposal through a Literature Review	(Nobre & Tavares, 2020b)
118	Scientific Literature Analysis on Big Data and Internet of Things Applications on Circular Economy: A Bibliometric Study	(Nobre & Tavares, 2017)
119	Combining an Artificial Intelligence Algorithm and a Novel Vehicle for Sustainable E-Waste Collection	(Nowakowski, et al., 2020)
120	Digitisation and the Circular Economy: A Review of Current Research and Future Trends	(Okorie, et al., 2018)
121	Synchronized Barriers for Circular Supply Chains in Industry 3.5/Industry 4.0 Transition for Sustainable Resource Management	(Ozkan-Ozen, et al., 2020)

122	Measuring and Eliminating the Bullwhip in Closed Loop Supply Chains Using Control Theory and Internet of Things	(Papanagnou, 2022)
123	A Barrier Analysis for Distributed Recycling of 3D Printing Waste: Taking the Maker Movement Perspective	(Peeters, et al., 2019)
124	Modelling and Analysing the Impact of Circular Economy; Internet of Things and Ethical Business Practices in the VUCA World: Evidence from the Food Processing Industry	(Persis, et al., 2021)
125	Industry 4.0 to Accelerate the Circular Economy: A Case Study of Electric Scooter Sharing	(Pham, et al., 2019)
126	Fintech and SMEs Sustainable Business Models: Reflections and Considerations for a Circular Economy	(Pizzi, et al., 2021)
127	A Systematic Literature Review on Additive Manufacturing in the Context of Circular Economy	(Ponis, et al., 2021)
128	Disassembly 4.0: A Review on Using Robotics in Disassembly Tasks as a Way of Automation	(Poschmann, et al., 2020)
129	The Disruptive Potential of Autonomous Vehicles (AVs) on Future Low-Carbon Tourism Mobility	(Prideaux & Yin, 2019)
130	Mapping the Market for Remanufacturing: An Application of 'Big Data' Analytics	(Quariguasi Frota Neto & Dutordoir, 2020)
131	Additive Manufacturing for Repair and Restoration in Remanufacturing: An Overview from Object Design and Systems Perspectives	(Rahito & Azman, 2019)
132	Industry 4.0 Model for Integrated Circular Economy-Reverse Logistics Network	(Rajput & Singh, 2021)
133	Connecting Circular Economy and Industry 4.0	(Rajput & Singh, 2019)
134	Industry 4.0 Model for Circular Economy and Cleaner Production	(Rajput & Singh, 2020)
135	Emerging Industrial Revolution: Symbiosis of Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy: The Role of Universities	(Ramakrishna, et al., 2020)
136	Integrating Virtual Reality and Digital Twin in Circular Economy Practices: A Laboratory Application Case	(Rocca, et al., 2020)
137	Assessing Relations between Circular Economy and Industry 4.0: A Systematic Literature Review	(Rosa, et al., 2020)
138	Circular Economy Model Enhanced by Intelligent Assets from Industry 4.0: The Proposition of an Innovative Tool to Analyze Case Studies	(Rossi, et al., 2020)
139	Plastic Recycling in Additive Manufacturing: A Systematic Literature Review and Opportunities for the Circular Economy	(Sanchez, et al., 2020)

140	Closed Loop Supply Chain Network for Local and Distributed Plastic Recycling for 3D Printing: A MILP-Based Optimization Approach	(Santander, et al., 2020)
141	Digitalisation and Intelligent Robotics in Value Chain of Circular Economy Oriented Waste Management – A Review	(Sarc, et al., 2019)
142	Digitalization and the Greening of Supply Chains	(Sarkis, et al., 2021)
143	Exploring the Potential of Additive Manufacturing for Product Design in a Circular Economy	(Sauerwein, et al., 2019)
144	A Critical Review of Symbiosis Approaches in the Context of Industry 4.0	(Scafà, et al., 2020)
145	Waste Flows Management by Their Prediction in a Production Company	(Scherer, 2017)
146	Enabling a Circular Economy in the Built Environment Sector through Blockchain Technology	(Shojaei, et al., 2021)
147	Sustainable Part Consolidation Model for Customized Products in Closed-Loop Supply Chain with Additive Manufacturing Hub	(Son & Jeong, 2021)
148	Material Intensity Database for the Dutch Building Stock: Towards Big Data in Material Stock Analysis	(Sprecher, et al., 2022)
149	Rethinking Reverse Logistics: Role of Additive Manufacturing Technology in Metal Remanufacturing	(Strong, et al., 2020)
150	Study on Reverse Logistics Focused on Developing the Collection Signal Algorithm Based on the Sensor Data and the Concept of Industry 4.0	(Sung, et al., 2020)
151	Internet of Things (IoT) Driven Kanban System for Reverse Logistics: Solid Waste Collection	(Thürer et al., 2019)
152	Trade-in-to-Upgrade as a Marketing Strategy in Disassembly-to-Order Systems at the Edge of Blockchain Technology	(Tozanlı, et al., 2020)
153	Sustainable Production in a Circular Economy: A Business Model for Re-Distributed Manufacturing	(Turner, et al., 2019)
154	Circular Economy, 3D Printing, and the Biosphere Rules	(Unruh, 2018)
155	Blockchain Technology and the Circular Economy: Implications for Sustainability and Social Responsibility	(Upadhyay, et al., 2021)
156	Circular Economy Business Model for Smart Tourism: The Case of Ecobnb	(Del Vecchio, et al., 2022)
157	Biodegradable and Non-Biodegradable Fraction of Municipal Solid Waste for Multifaceted Applications through a Closed Loop Integrated Refinery Platform: Paving a Path towards Circular Economy	(Velvizhi, et al., 2020)

158	Internet of Things (IoT)-Enabled Accountability in Source Separation of Household Waste for a Circular Economy in China	(Wang, et al., 2021)
159	Implications for Sustainability in Supply Chain Management and the Circular Economy Using Machine Learning Model	(Wang & Zhang, 2020)
160	An Input–Output Virtual Laboratory in Practice–Survey of Uptake, Usage and Applications of the First Operational IELab	(Wiedmann, 2017)
161	The Circular Economy Meets Artificial Intelligence (AI): Understanding the Opportunities of AI for Reverse Logistics	(Wilson, et al., 2022)
162	Artificial Intelligence in the Sorting of Municipal Waste as an Enabler of the Circular Economy	(Wilts, et al., 2021)
163	Dynamic Cooperation Strategies of the Closed-Loop Supply Chain Involving the Internet Service Platform	(Xiang & Xu, 2019)
164	Dynamic Game Strategies of a Two-Stage Remanufacturing Closed-Loop Supply Chain Considering Big Data Marketing, Technological Innovation and Overconfidence	(Xiang & Xu, 2020)
165	Big Data and Industrial Ecology	(Xu, et al., 2015)
166	3D Printable Biomaterials for Orthopedic Implants: Solution for Sustainable and Circular Economy	(Yadav, et al., 2020)
167	Opportunities for Industry 4.0 to Support Remanufacturing	(Yang, et al., 2018)
168	Blockchain and Renewable Energy: Integration Challenges in Circular Economy Era	(Yildizbasi, 2021)
169	Research on Smart Agricultural Waste Discharge Supervision and Prevention Based on Big Data Technology	(Yuzhen, 2021)
170	Barriers to Smart Waste Management for a Circular Economy in China	(Zhang, et al., 2019)
171	Blockchain-Based Life Cycle Assessment: An Implementation Framework and System Architecture	(Zhang, et al., 2020)
172	Research on the Path of Agriculture Sustainable Development Based on the Concept of Circular Economy and Big Data	(Zhenjian, et al., 2021)
173	Driving Force for China’s Economic Development under Industry 4.0 and Circular Economy: Technological Innovation or Structural Change?	(Zhou, et al., 2020)

Appendix C. Guidelines for Research Agenda

Core Questions in the Focus Group	Focus Group Discussions	Guidelines for Research Agenda
How can Blockchain Technology improve Repurpose and Refuse capabilities/principles?	Blockchain is a protocol that promotes security and end-to-end integration of information and is widely used in cryptocurrencies (expert 4). It can also be used to repurpose if the technology is applied in a supply chain. This has to involve several actors: one designer in one country and the other designer in another country, and marketing and product people in a third country. Thus, secure communication protocols are possible between different countries and different actors and, for example, payments can be made to these people in cryptocurrencies, thus promoting digital culture and communication through these blocks (expert 4). So, digital blockchains can facilitate transactions, not only of a digital product (experts 3) but also to re-propose a service or a business model, for example, servitisation (expert 2). I believe that there will be a need to create a safety chain between these companies in the production chain, such as rules of trust to increase cooperation between actors at the digital supply chain level (expert 1).	(i) Digital Workflow for better communication and transactions to Repurpose Products and/or Services; (ii) Technological Framework to implement a management system with Blockchain for Repurposing Products and/or Services at Sustainable Supply Chain level; (iii) Case Study to Repurpose Products or Services using Blockchain, IoT, Cloud Computing and Big Data Analytics.
How can Additive Manufacturing/3D Printing Technology improve Repurpose capabilities/principles?	AM is a real possibility for manufacturing and perfectly replicating a variety of machines that can be sold with a service. So, 3D printing is a very viable option: you print whatever you want, you make a 3D project and print it. This generates tremendous freedom (expert 4). The primary way to implement circularity is by recycling steel and plastic, to transform waste into inputs for 3D printers (expert 4). Regarding the potential interrelationship between repurposing and 3D printing, the challenge is to take a product that already has a purpose and find a new function or adapt it to a new function (expert 2). Nowadays, if I think about taking a product apart and making a new product if this is the re-proposal that we are talking about, then yes, I agree with you (expert 2). I agree with what (expert 2) said; it would be like taking a product apart and using it to make a powder for a 3D printer to make another product that could be used in the same way as this product or for something else (expert 3). Most importantly, you must make it simple, simple because complex is questionable (expert 1). If it is not viable on a large scale, it is practically just for show (expert 1). I do not know if I would say for show, but low volume and high customisation are the best practices for AM implementation (expert 4).	(iv) Recycling Steel, Metal Scraps and Plastics as inputs for 3D Printers; (v) Redesign and Repurpose New Products and/or services for re-manufacturing using 3D Printers; (vi) Case Studies on low volume and high customisation to implement AM.
How can Autonomous Vehicle Technology improve Reuse, Re-manufacture, Repair, Re-furbish, Repurpose, Recycle, Recover, Refuse capabilities/principles?	I do not see much maturity in this; I even believe that it will be more applied in internal logistics (expert 4). Another problem is that when they leave university, professionals do not receive much information about I4.0, about how information and ideas are programmed that have to be transformed into information decisions (expert 1). I agree with you, but updating and searching for new skills for new professionals (expert 3). Yes, in autonomous vehicles, it is even more difficult, even more, because they are limited to internal logistics; it is much more difficult to see this (expert 2).	(vii) Autonomous Vehicle Technology can improve the capabilities/principles of Reuse, Re-manufacture, Repair, Re-furbish, Repurpose, Recycle, Recover, Refuse.
How can Augmented Reality Technology improve Reduce, Reuse, Re-think, Repair, Re-furbish, Repurpose, Recycle, Recover, Refuse capabilities/principles?	I imagine for re-thinking; if you have virtual and augmented reality, you can look and think about making other things, incremental improvements (expert 4). It's also a matter of training and empowering (expert 3). This technology is widely used for safety in the industrial environment, maintenance, and maintenance training. So I imagine that it could be used in the same way for re-education in the circular economy (expert 3). For me, this is re-thinking (expert 4).	(viii) Case Studies using Augmented Reality Technology to improve at least one of the Circular Economy Purposes, such as, Reduce, Reuse, Re-think, Repair, Re-furbish, Repurpose, Recycle, Recover, Refuse capabilities/principles.
How can Virtual Reality Technology improve Repurpose and Refuse capabilities/principles?	It is more applicable to repurposing because you can have a virtual 3D Model, using the virtual world to repurpose something, have an idea, assemble, and disassemble until the objective is accomplished to continuous improvement of products and services toward circularity. (expert 4). Refuse, I cannot see anything (expert 4). It can also be used for simulation, using different scenarios there, and proposing new functions (expert 3). In this sense, I think it is helpful to try to anticipate problems and visualise situations (expert 2). Predictions and simulations. Also, with augmented reality, you can buy a product using your cell phone; you already have this with QR codes nowadays, and you can also see the entire sustainable history (expert 3).	(ix) Case Studies using Virtual Reality with 3D BIM models to Repurpose Products and/or Services.

<p>How can Cloud Computing Technology improve Repurpose and Refuse capabilities/principles?</p>	<p>I think indirectly. These technologies end up working indirectly. Where there are more data and more information, you can make more effective decisions that will eventually culminate in aligned things, but it's indirect (expert 2). Yes, and what (expert 1) commented on the principle, having information, indirectly or directly helps you to have communication (expert 4). So, cloud computing alone may make such an important contribution, but cloud Computing and Big Data together will magnify the contribution (expert 2). It is also a contradiction because, for example, there is a lot of talk about Big Data, but very little about what you need to use Big Data; you can work with different databases without the need for Big Data (expert 4). When talking about Big Data, there is the 3V (variety, velocity and volume), there is the issue of volume, but there is the issue of structured and unstructured data; you combine these data, different databases, not only by volume but also for the variety of information (expert 3).</p>	<p>(x) Technological Framework to best combine I4.0 technologies can improve Repurpose and Refuse; (xi) Case Studies that use Cloud Computing and Big Data Analytics to Repurpose and Refuse Products and/or Services.</p>
<p>How can Advanced Robotics Technology improve Re-think and Repurpose capabilities/principles?</p>	<p>I find its application difficult because you won't re-think using robots or repurposing unless the robot is intelligent enough to do it on its own (expert 4). Regarding this question of repurposing, I think I agree with the issue that you mentioned, which I find difficult. However, re-thinking the concept is trying to make more intensive use of the product (expert 3). I cannot identify relationships because to repurpose something and to re-think everything depends on human beings, and they can only be replaced when the robot has full artificial intelligence. This is unthinkable today (expert 4). I agree that the robotics issue would have to be linked to the analytics issue. A robust AI algorithm to help make decisions based on what has been learned from human data, at least if it is to be achieved in a short time (expert 3).</p>	<p>(xii) Knowledge Engineering for the creation of Ontologies that Record the Re-think and Repurpose Process for Computational Representation; (xiii) Requirements Study and Roadmap for Evolution of Advanced Robotics Technology applied to re-think and repurpose.</p>
<p>How can Smart manufacturing/Advanced Manufacturing/Cyber Physical Systems Technology improve Repurpose capability/principle?</p>	<p>All this discussion, all these possibilities depend on the well-established governance system (expert 1). Because without effective governance that knows or approaches the base of the pyramid to collect reliable information and make a decision (expert 1). Besides, you can an attempt to make at the management level, which is to resolve the error as quickly as possible, so work is essential of governance that will hold back this attempt to get quality information (expert 1). So all this change in the circular economy implies having strategic intelligence focused by the organisation to map the problems and seek solutions and paths to make the circular economy viable (expert 1). I agree that this stimulus is top-down because there will be change, and there must be support (expert 3).</p>	<p>(xiv) Interrelationships between Smart Manufacturing/Advanced Manufacturing/Cyber-Physical Systems Technology and Governance applied to Repurposing Products and Services.</p>
<p>How does Quantum Computing Technology Re-manufacture, Repair, Re-furbish, Repurpose, Recycle and Refuse?</p>	<p>It is even a step even up from the supercomputer, it would be a super ultra-computer (expert 4). More agile decisions, maybe more agile decisions in the chain. But there is the matter of the trade-off, of what the cost is for you to have this operating. There will be the expense of energy and resources (expert 3). In relation to mining, I emphasise that energy expenditure is not sustainable (expert 4).</p>	<p>(xv) Circular Business Model that integrates Quantum Computing Technology and CE principles; (xvi) Benefits, Barriers and Challenges related to application of Quantum Computing Technology in CE context.</p>
<p>How can Drone Technology improve Reuse, Re-think, Re-manufacture, Repair, Repurpose, Recycle and Refuse capabilities/principles?</p>	<p>Drones can be used for deliveries (expert 2). I think this drone issue is more to monitor, control or deliver, as (expert 2) commented earlier (expert 3). Would a drone be used to collect waste for recycling? (expert 4). I have heard about the police using lots of drones to monitor border areas, for example (expert 3). I know that agribusiness is now using drones for crop monitoring, and I saw another company that mapped a steelyard to make an inventory of steel (expert 2).</p>	<p>(xv) Circular Business Model that integrates Drone Technology and CE principles; (xvi) Benefits, Barriers and Challenges related to application of Drone Technology in CE context.</p>