LICA-CS: Efficient Lossless Image Compression Algorithm via Column Subtraction Model
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***Abstract—*** Driven by the unprecedented amount of data generated in the last few decades, data storage and communication are becoming more challenging. Although many approaches in data compression have been developed to alleviate these challenges, more efforts are still needed, especially for lossless image compression, which is a promising technique when critical information loss is not allowed. In this paper, we propose a new algorithm called the Lossless Image Compression Algorithm using a Column Subtraction model (LICA-CS). LICA-CS is efficient, low in complexity, decreases the image bit-depth, and enhances state-of-the-art performance. LICA-CS first implements a color transformation method as a pre-processing phase, which strategically minimizes inter-channel correlations to optimize compression outcomes. After that, a novel subtraction method was developed to compress the image data column-wise. We tackle the similarity and proximity of pixel values within adjacent columns, which offers a distinct advantage in reducing image size observing a significant size reduction of 71%. This is achieved through the subtraction of neighboring columns. The conducted experiments on colored images show that LICA-CS outperforms existing algorithms in terms of compression rate. Moreover, our method exhibited remarkable enhancements in execution time, with compression and decompression processes averaging 1.93 seconds. LICA-CS advances the state-of-the-art in lossless image compression, promising enhanced efficiency and effectiveness in image compression technologies.
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#  **Introduction**

Most of our everyday activities are now dominated by social media, e-commerce, and other digital resources, allowing Big Data to pave its way to further growth in the future. When it comes to images and videos, data gets more challenging to handle due to its large size and the number of pixels it contains, leading to exponential growth in the data generated. With the proliferation of smartphones equipped with high-resolution digital cameras, extra metadata such as location, date, and time has to be saved. These smartphones can capture motion images with a 16-bit depth and a size of 50.3 megabytes. It has been reported [1] that 2500 petabytes of data are generated daily. Moreover, with the advances in network technology, a large number of images are generated and shared over the network [2], [31]-[35]. However, this image explosion is exacerbating its storage and transmission due to the limited storage capacity and network bandwidth [3], [4], [36], [37]. Thus, it is crucial to have efficient image compression techniques.

The process of image compression involves reducing the size of the image data, while retaining the essential information and preserving its visual quality. Images are commonly encoded by lossless or lossy compression methods. Firstly, lossy methods eliminate redundant and irrelevant data by allowing it to be decrypted with a particular amount of degradation using different techniques (i.e., Vector Quantization, Block truncation coding, Fractal Coding, Sub band coding, and Transformation Coding). Vector Quantization is effective in reducing data redundancy, but it suffers from high computational complexity and memory requirements, making it impractical for real-time applications. Block truncation coding tends to produce blocky artifacts, especially in regions with sharp transitions, limiting its ability to preserve fine details and textures in compressed images. Fractal coding, while it is theoretically powerful, it is often requiring extensive computational resources and parameter tuning, rendering it less practical for real-world applications. Subband coding is capable of achieving high compression ratios, but it may struggle with preserving image quality, particularly in terms of preserving fine details or textures.

Transformation coding is widely used, but may introduce artifacts such as blurring or ringing, especially around sharp edges, impacting the visual quality of compressed images. These limitations underscore the need for innovative and efficient compression techniques to address the challenges posed by diverse image content and achieve optimal compression performance. Secondly, lossless methods fully reconstruct the original image using other techniques (i.e., Run-length encoding, LZW coding, Huffman encoding, and Area coding). Each compression technique has its own set of drawbacks. Run-length encoding is effective for images with long sequences of identical pixels, but it may struggle to compress images with irregular patterns or complex textures efficiently. LZW coding can achieve high compression ratios, often involves significant computational complexity in managing the codebook, which can potentially hinder performance. Huffman encoding, though efficient for images with uniform pixel distributions, may not be as effective for images with uneven frequency distributions, leading to suboptimal compression results.

Additionally, Area coding's reliance on dividing images into fixed-size regions limits its adaptability to images with diverse content, potentially resulting in reduced compression performance when dealing with varied textures or complex structures. These limitations highlight the necessity for innovative approaches in image compression to effectively tackle the challenges posed by diverse image content and achieve optimal compression efficiency. [5], [38]-[41]. When high-quality images are desired and data loss is not an option as in some important applications, loss-less compression is required [6], [7], where the decompressed image should be a bit-for-bit perfect match with the source one. Such applications include medical, satellite, scientific, military, aerospace imaging, and many more domains. In addition, lossless compression is also essential for preserving the integrity of digital images during transmission and storage.

Traditional lossless-based methods apply prediction or integer transforms [7], [44]. In a prediction technique [8], [9], a raster scan order is used to scan and encode an image. Then, the next pixel to be compressed is predicted from the previously compressed ones. As for the integer transform technique [10], [42], [43], integer-to-integer transforms are used to convert the image to a transform domain using an invertible feature. In recent years, the authors in [1], [11], [45], [46] have introduced a flow-based method, which learns rich transformations on high-dimensional data, while the authors in [12] have proposed Bit-Swap method for Asymmetric Numeral Systems [47], [48]. On the other hand, deep learning-based image compression techniques have also gained attention, leveraging the power of neural networks (NN) to learn efficient image representations and compression schemes. Some works [13], [14], [49] predict the coefficients of image transformation using NN, whereas others [15], [16] rely on self-organizing map networks.

Existing compression techniques such as Vector Quantization, Block Truncation Coding, Fractal Coding, Subband Coding, and Transformation Coding always lack concerns or become impractical due to their high computational complexity and the ever-increasing burden on large images storage. In other words, such techniques have shown promising results by presenting good compression performance, at the expense of speed. Furthermore, the high correlation between neighboring pixels in natural images makes it challenging to achieve a high compression ratio.

To address the mentioned challenges, this paper proposes a novel method called LICA-CS for Lossless Image Compression Algorithm using Column Subtraction. LICA-CS takes us a step further in reducing the compression complexity and execution time by combining a simple color transformation method with a reversible compression technique. The color transformation method designed in this paper takes advantage of the high correlation between the three main colors in the RGB color space in natural images (e.g., images from digital cameras).

By producing a new color space that is less correlated, the proposed method produces matrices with smaller pixel values and is invertible with integer arithmetic. Particularly, some integer subtractions are performed in the forward phase with some other addition and subtraction operations performed at the inverse phase. Next, a reversible compression technique is formulated to decrease the size of the transformed image. The compression technique, called Column Subtraction Compression (CSC), takes advantage of the remaining correlation between neighboring pixels, and decreases the image intensities by subtracting each column from the nearest column. The resulting values are saved in the first column starting from the left side of each matrix, reducing the size of the transformed image.

The main contribution of this paper is to present a novel approach to lossless image compression, offering several unique features and innovations. Firstly, we introduce a simple and efficient reversible color transformation method that decreases the correlation between image components in the RGB color space by generating a new color space that is less correlated and has smaller pixel values, this method enhances the compression efficiency without sacrificing image quality. Secondly, we propose a a novel lossless compression model based on subtraction, leveraging the inherent correlation between neighboring pixels to achieve higher compression ratios while reducing the execution time compared to the standard and state-of-the-art works. Lastly, extensive experimental evaluation demonstrates the superiority of our proposed method, LICA-CS, over existing works by significantly reducing storage and transmission loads, particularly in the context of large-scale image data generated daily across various domains.

These distinctive features position LICA-CS as a promising solution to the challenges posed by the exponential growth of image data and the limitations of existing compression techniques. Through comprehensive experimentation and evaluation, our paper aims to demonstrate the effectiveness and superiority of LICA-CS in addressing the identified challenges and advancing the state-of-the-art in image compression technology.

The remaining paper is organized to address the identified issues in the introduction as follows. In section 2, we go over some relevant existing works and discuss their limitations. In section 3, we describe the new proposed model by presenting its encoding and decoding methods and illustrating them through an example. Next, section 4 shows the conducted experiments with their results and substantiates claims of improved performance with quantitative comparisons from experiments or benchmarks, followed by a conclusion in section 5.

# **Related Work**

Various lossless image compression techniques have been proposed in the literature, including predictive coding, entropy coding, and transform coding. These techniques achieve high compression ratios by exploiting the statistical redundancies present in the image data. However, each technique has its limitations and trade-offs, and selecting the most appropriate technique depends on the application requirements. In this section, we review the relevant efforts targeting Color Transformation along with Compression methods.

## Color Transformation

The authors in [17] reviewed the most common color transform, which converts RGB to YCrCb space. They also showed YCoCg transform with its reversible form and how it can enhance the coding gain against YCrCb. Additionally, a new 4-channel transform, called CMYK, was proposed in the work and it was shown to achieve a significant enhancement in coding gain. The author in [18] proposed new simple transformations called RDgDb and LDgEb. The former performs two integer subtractions for each pixel, whereas the latter is based on analog transformations in human vision system. Both transformations showed good results compared to the traditional RCT, YCoCg-R, and KLT [50]. The limitations of RGB to YCrCb and YCoCg transform lie in their susceptibility to introduce color artifacts and inefficiency in handling extreme color variations, while RDgDb may face challenges in accurately representing image details due to its less efficient decorrelation of color channels. Traditional RCT, YCoCg-R, and KLT may suffer from increased computational complexity and reduced adaptability to varying image characteristics, limiting their effectiveness in achieving optimal compression performance.

The work in [19], [51], [52] focused on a new transform method, which is based on lifting technique, showing better decorrelation performance. Particularly, the lifting process relies on two integers representing the scalar gains and the update steps in the lifting butterfly. This is followed by a compression method that is based on hierarchical coding of chrominance channel pixels. In [20], the authors targeted whole-slide images and proposed a transformation method based on mosaic optimization method. First, the image is divided into representative blocks using an efficient heuristic technique. Then, a mosaic of the extracted blocks undergoes an optimization process for further enhancement [53], [54] Such color transformations may suffer from limited effectiveness in reducing computational complexity due to constraints in accurately preserving color information and handling complex image structures.

The authors in [21] proposed a new method for secure image transmission by transforming a secret image into a mosaic one. The process starts by fitting tile images into target blocks, followed by color characteristic transformation. Next, the tile image is rotated into directions with minimum RMSE value, and finally relevant information are embedded for future recovery. One of its limitations in handling complex image structures or colors, and challenges in achieving high compression ratios without significant loss of visual quality.

## Compression Methods

Lucas et al. [22] proposed a new method, called 3D-MRP, for lossless compression that targets medical images. 3D-MRP is based on the of minimum rate predictors algorithm and uses: (1) 3D-shaped predictors, which leverage the spatial and interframe dependencies of the image, (2) Volume-based optimization, where the predictors depend on a set of frames rather than an individual one, (3) Hybrid 3D-block classification, where consecutive frames can have multiple neighboring blocks assigned to the same class, and (4) Extension to 16 bit-depth images rather than the 8 bit-depth originally designed by MRP [55], [56]. Ton et al. [23] encodes images using Set Partitioning in Hierarchical Trees, SPIHT. The latter is based on integer wavelet transform, divided into Split, Predict, and Update steps. some of the 3D-MRP limitations are the potential difficulty in effectively managing extensive datasets due to computational complexity. Additionally, it may struggle to attain significant compression ratios for specific data types without sacrificing compression speed or memory efficiency.

The interpolating wavelet transform with the form CDF is the one used in this work. The authors in [24] proposed a compression method in spatial domain. The proposed algorithm partitions the image into blocks and employs variable bit allocation to store the pixel values of each block. The determination of the variable bit allocation is based on the pixel values within each block, taking advantage of the correlation between pixels. The authors in [25] leverage both the multi-scale and the pixel-wise approaches and proposed a multi-scale progressive statistical model. The limitation of multi-scale progressive statistical models lies in their susceptibility to increased computational complexity, especially when encoding or decoding high-resolution images, potentially leading to slower processing speeds or higher resource requirements.

The main contribution of the work is to easily adjust the compression rate and compression speed. The authors in [26] employed soft compression and introduced a compressible indicator function for images. This function determines a threshold for the average number of bits needed to represent a location and serves as an illustrative tool for understanding the underlying principle [58]. The proposed work explored and analyzed the application of soft compression in binary images, grayscale images, and multi-component images using defined algorithms, while evaluating different compressible indicator values. The limitation of the highly reliable and low-complexity image compression scheme using the neighborhood correlation sequence algorithm could involve constraints in effectively handling diverse image content and achieving optimal compression ratios, particularly in scenarios with complex image structures or variations in data patterns.

# **The Proposed LICA-CS for Natural Image Compression**

In this section, we first describe the overall proposed LICA-CS scheme. Then, we show its reversible encoding and decoding methods, which are composed of a color transformation and a Column Subtraction Compression.

## Overview of the proposed scheme

The proposed LICA-CS scheme is a versatile and effective method for lossless image compression that can be used in a wide range of applications. The algorithm is designed to work with any image format and can handle images of any resolution, from low-quality images to high-definition photographs. LICA-CS can be used as a standalone compression technique or as a pre-processing step for other lossless or lossy compression methods. As illustrated in Fig.1, the LICA-CS image compression scheme consists of two main methods; the first method is the color transformation, and the second method is the CSC. Firstly, the color transformation method converts the input image into a new color space that is better suited for compression.

Using color transform as a preprocessing phase with the proposed image compression algorithms offers several advantages; it enables efficient decorrelation of color channels, separating luminance from chrominance information, which often results in improved compression performance. This decorrelation reduces redundancy in the image data, allowing for more effective compression. Additionally, color transforms can help in adapting the image representation to better suit the characteristics of the compression algorithm, potentially enhancing compression efficiency. Moreover, employing color transforms can facilitate compatibility with various compression techniques and standards, enabling interoperability and versatility in compression workflows. Overall, integrating color transforms as a preprocessing step enhances the effectiveness and adaptability of image compression algorithms, leading to better compression ratios and preserved image quality.

Secondly, The CSC method then compresses the transformed image by removing redundant information in the image’s columns. Indeed, one of the significant advantages of CSC compression algorithms is its ability to decrease computational complexity. CSC is relatively simple to implement and requires fewer resources compared to some other image compression algorithms. This reduction in computational demands translates into faster execution times, making CSC an attractive option for applications where speed is crucial, such as real-time image processing or systems with limited computing resources. By streamlining the computational workload, CSC contributes to quicker compression and decompression processes without compromising compression efficiency or image quality.

The combination of these two lossless methods results in a highly compressed image that can be easily decompressed without any loss of quality. The LICA-CS decompression process reverses the compression steps to reconstruct the original image. The color transformation and CSC methods are applied in reverse order to restore the compressed image to its original form.

## LICA-CS Encoding & Decoding

Let NI be a Natural Image, which is colored and represented by a three-color matrices (R, G, B). The three matrices have the same resolution (m × n), where m and n represent the number of rows and columns respectively. Each of the two encoding methods are described as follows:



Fig. 1. LICA-CS Image Compression Scheme.

Reversible Color Transform: In natural images, the three main colors in the RGB color space typically have high correlation, meaning that the information carried by at least two of the three main components is similar for the same pixel’s addresses. For instance, if a particular area of an image is bright in the red channel, then it is likely to be bright in the green and blue channels as well. However, high correlation between image components can make the compression of an image more challenging. Therefore, the aim of color transformation is to reduce the correlation between the image components by producing a new color space that is less correlated. The proposed Reversible Color Transform method achieves this by converting the input natural image NI(R,G,B) from an RGB color space into an RG`B` format. The latter format includes smaller pixel values than the original one, and the overall transformation process outputs an image with less correlated matrices. We denote by G` and B` the transformed Green and Blue matrices respectively, while the red matrix remains unchanged. The Transformed Image is denoted by TI and has the same dimension (m × n) as the input matrices.

Inspired by a popular existing transformation method presented by Starosolski [18], our proposed forward and inverse transformations are defined as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **R = R** |  | **R = R** | **(1)** |
| **G`= R - G** |  | **G =R - G`** |
| **B`= B - G** |  | **B = G + B`** |
|  |  |  |  |

Fig.2 illustrates an input sample of 8 × 8 block for the RGB matrices, where the transformation equations are applied. Overall, the Reversible Color Transform method reduces the correlation between the image components, making it easier to compress the image without losing important information. The method is reversible, meaning that the original RGB image can be reconstructed from the transformed image without loss of information.



Fig.2. Sample of 8x8 Block Before and after Transformation Phase.

#### CSC: Image compression aims to reduce the amount of data required to represent an image, which makes it easier to store, transmit, and process. One way to achieve image compression is by taking advantage of the high correlation between neighboring pixels in an image. In other words, each pixel value is similar or very close to the value of its adjacent pixels [18]. To achieve high compression ratio using the similarity of nearby pixels, we developed CSC to decrease the image intensities by subtracting each column from the nearest column and saving the resulting value in the first column starting from the first column from the left side of each matrix. Thus, CSC decreases the size of the transformed image TI by converting it into a Compressed Image CI(RcG`cB`c) Where RcG`cB`c represent the compressed Red, Green, and Blue channels respectively. Formally, the compression equations are defined as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Rc(i, j) = R(i, j) - R(i, j+1)  ∀ 1≤ i ≤m & 1≤ j ≤n-1 | (2) |
| G`c(i, j) = G`(i, j) – G`(i, j+1)  ∀ 1≤ i ≤m & 1≤ j ≤n-1 | (3) |
| B`c(i, j) = B`(i, j) – B`(i, j+1)  ∀ 1≤ i ≤m & 1≤ j ≤n-1 | (4) |

To reconstruct the compressed image CI, the three matrices RcG`cB`c are loaded to the decompression algorithm, which applies the reversible CSC equations for each of the three matrices as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| R(i, j-1) = Rc(i, j) + Rc(i, j-1) ∀ 1≤ i ≤m & n-1≤ j ≤2 | (5) |
| G`(i, j-1) = G`c(i, j) – G`c(i, j-1) ∀ 1≤ i ≤m & n-1≤ j ≤2 | (6) |
| B`(i, j-1) = B`c(i, j) – B`c(i, j-1)  ∀ 1≤ i ≤m & n-1≤ j ≤2 | (7) |

Fig.3 shows the matrices obtained using the proposed CSC method. LICA-CS, which consists of the Reversible Color Transform and CSC, is simple and fast, with a time complexity of O(n2) for the encoding and decoding phases. In addition to its application for color images, the proposed LICA-CS method can also be effectively utilized for greyscale images. When compressing greyscale images, the compression phase involves the use of Eq.2, which performs the necessary size reduction. Similarly, during the decompression phase, Eq.5 is applied to recover the original image.



Fig.3. Sample of 8x8 Block Before and after Compression Phase.

The LICA-CS is designed as a simple and high-speed algorithm, thanks to its low complexity and scalability, which allow it to effectively manage large-scale image datasets. Notably, LICA-CS seamlessly accommodates images of any size. Its method of subtracting values for image columns makes it straightforward and adaptable for all image types, as images can be uniformly converted into integer matrix values. This inherent flexibility highlights its capability for diverse image compression tasks.

# **Numerical Results**

Thorough experiments were performed to evaluate the performance of our proposed LICA-CS. In this section, we briefly describe the used datasets, the baseline approaches that we compared our work to, then we discuss the obtained results.

## About the Datasets

The following datasets of 8-bit RGB images were used for the evaluation:

* Waterloo [61], which is sourced from the Fractal Coding and Analysis Group - University of Waterloo, is a well-known dataset and widely used by researchers to evaluate the performance of various algorithms across different imaging tasks. It includes three sets: Greyscale Set 1, Greyscale Set 2, and Color Set, consisting of 12 small, 12 medium, and 8 large images respectively.
* Kodak[62], which is sourced from Kodak company, is used as a benchmark dataset in the field of image processing and compression. It consists of 24 photographic images that cover various subjects and scenes.
* EPFL[63], which is sourced from Ecole Polytechnique Féderale de Lausanne, is also a widely recognized set of images used in various research studies, particularly in the field of image processing and computer vision. It consists of 10 high-resolution colored images.

## Experiments Setup

The experiments were conducted on a computer system with the following specifications: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-10510U CPU running at 1.80-2.30 GHz, 8 GB of RAM, and 1 GB of virtual memory. The algorithms were implemented using the MATLAB programming environment.

## Experimental Results

#### Compression ratios compared with standard algorithms: We start by studying the compression ratios for transformed images, which are expressed in bits per pixel (bpp). The ratio is measured using:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| $$\frac{8 × s}{n}$$ | **(8)** |

where n denotes the number of pixels in the studied image, and s represents the combined size, measured in Bytes, of the separately compressed three components of the transformed image. The results obtained from this formula align with those obtained when dividing the compressed image size by the number of pixels. Therefore, smaller ratios indicate more effective compression.

We compare our proposed transformation (RG`B`) and CSC compression (RcG`cB`c) methods to a combination of different standards. The latter include: RCT and YCoCg transformations along with JPEG2000, JPEG-LS, JPEG XR, and Huffman algorithms. All the mentioned methods are applied on the three datasets. The obtained compression ratio results are quantified in Table 1, where the best three ratio values are indicated in bold. We can notice that our methods outperform in most cases the standard approaches, which are very popular and well-recognized. The highest performance is achieved when combining YCoCg with our CSC compression (method #J), followed by RCT + CSC (method #E), and then RG`B` + CSC (method #N).

The findings indicate that employing the CSC algorithm as a postprocessing phase following transformation produces the highest performance. The most favorable outcomes emerge when combining YCoCg with the proposed CSC, showcasing an average compression ratio of 6.53. This integration highlights the efficacy of utilizing YCoCg alongside the proposed CSC for optimal compression results. This is attained by the YCoCg color space, which separates luminance from chrominance data, thereby diminishing redundancy and amplifying compression effectiveness. Such results show how robust our *CSC* method is. Specifically, in method *#G*, when comparing *YCoCg* with the best-performing standard approach, namely JPEG-LS, the results show that *CSC* achieves an average improvement of *33.03%*. Similar interpretation applies when studying the performance of *RCT* (method *#B*), leading to *29.99%* improvement for *CSC*. Furthermore, it is noteworthy that the *CSC* method, without any accompanying transformation, surpasses all the studied algorithms that apply *RCT* or YCoCg transformations. On the other hand, the proposed transformation by itself demonstrates favorable performance, surpassing *RCT* by an average of *2.11 bpp* when applied to Huffman.

#### Running time compared with standard algorithms: For the best three reported methods in terms of compression ratio (methods J, E, and N), we studied their running time for all the datasets. Tables 2, 3, and 4 show the compression and decompression time for Waterloo, Kodak, and EPFL respectively. Although methods J and E have better compression ratios, method N achieves faster running times for every image in the compression and decompression phases. On average, RG`B` + CSC (method N) is 1.79 and 1.5 seconds faster in compressing EPFL images than methods J and E respectively. Faster results for method N are also reported for Waterloo and Kodak images, according to the values presented in Tables 2, 3 and 4.

 This is accomplished through employing RG`B` transformations as a preprocessing step. This decorrelation minimizes redundancy within the image data, enabling more efficient compression. Additionally, RG`B` transformations are computationally simpler compared to certain other color spaces, leading to quicker compression and decompression processes. Another reason for achieving fast execution time is the simplicity of implementing the CSC, which requires fewer resources, further enhancing the overall speed of the compression algorithm.

Table 1 Average compression ratios (bpp) for our proposed RG`B` and CSC methods compared with standard algorithms

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Method** | **Comp** | **Datasets** | **Average** |
| **No** | **TF** | **Waterloo** | **Kodak** | **EPFL** |
| **A** | **RCT** | **JPEG2000** | **11.21** | **9.51** | **10.84** | **10.52** |
| **B** | **RCT** | **JPEG LS** | **8.96** | **9.57** | **10.47** | **9.67** |
| **C** | **RCT** | **JPEG XR** | **13.32** | **10.92** | **11.76** | **12.00** |
| **D** | **RCT** | **Huffman** | **16.55** | **15.06** | **16.9** | **16.17** |
| **E** | **RCT** | **CSC** | **6.81** | **6.33** | **7.17** | **6.77** |
| **F** | **YCoCg** | **JPEG2000** | **11.21** | **9.49** | **10.98** | **10.56** |
| **G** | **YCoCg** | **JPEG-LS** | **9.02** | **9.60** | **10.61** | **9.75** |
| **H** | **YCoCg** | **JPEG-XR** | **13.27** | **10.86** | **11.9** | **12.01** |
| **I** | **YCoCg** | **Huffman** | **17.59** | **16.04** | **17.74** | **17.12** |
| **J** | **YCoCg** | **CSC** | **6.6** | **6.16** | **6.84** | **6.53** |
| **K** | **-** | **Huffman** | **15.25** | **16.49** | **16.91** | **16.22** |
| **L** | **RG`B`** | **Huffman** | **13.65** | **13.52** | **15.01** | **14.06** |
| **M** | **-** | **CSC** | **7.94** | **9.08** | **9.12** | **8.71** |
| **N** | **RG`B`** | **CSC** | **7** | **6.66** | **7.48** | **7.05** |

Fig.4 presents a concise visual representation of the data provided in Table 1, facilitating easier comprehension. It outlines the Average Compression Ratios (BPP) attained by the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm in comparison with Standard Algorithms.



Fig.4. Average Compression Ratios (BPP) for the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm Compared with Standard Algorithms.

Table 2 Compression and Decompression time (in secs) for our proposed RG`B` and CSC methods using Waterloo images.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Image** | **Compression Time (s)** | **Decompression Time (s)** |
| **Method #J** | **Method #E** | **Method #N** | **Method #J** | **Method #E** | **Method #N** |
| **Clegg** | **1.40** | **1.26** | **0.78** | **0.03** | **0.04** | **0.03** |
| **Frymire** | **3.40** | **2.74** | **1.55** | **0.06** | **0.05** | **0.04** |
| **Lena3** | **0.26** | **0.23** | **0.12** | **0.02** | **0.02** | **0.01** |
| **Monarch** | **0.31** | **0.30** | **0.13** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Peppers3** | **0.23** | **0.22** | **0.12** | **0.02** | **0.02** | **0.01** |
| **Sail** | **0.32** | **0.33** | **0.15** | **0.03** | **0.02** | **0.01** |
| **Serrano** | **0.66** | **0.60** | **0.35** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **Tulips** | **0.32** | **0.30** | **0.15** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **Average** | **0.86** | **0.75** | **0.42** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |

Illustrating Table 2 allows us to observe in Fig.5 the cumulative compression and decompression time in seconds for the proposed LICA-CS algorithms when applied to Waterloo Images.



Fig.5. Total Compression and Decompression Time (S) for the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithms Using Waterloo Images.

Table 3 Compression and Decompression time (in secs) for our proposed RG`B` and CSC Method using Kodak images.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Image** | **Compression Time (s)** | **Decompression Time (s)** |
| **Method #J** | **Method #E** | **Method #N** | **Method #J** | **Method #E** | **Method #N** |
| **kodim01** | **0.34** | **0.35** | **0.15** | **0.02** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **kodim02** | **0.28** | **0.26** | **0.13** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **kodim03** | **0.27** | **0.25** | **0.14** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **kodim04** | **0.26** | **0.25** | **0.13** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **kodim05** | **0.37** | **0.37** | **0.17** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **kodim06** | **0.34** | **0.31** | **0.15** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **kodim07** | **0.26** | **0.26** | **0.13** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **kodim08** | **0.42** | **0.41** | **0.15** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **kodim09** | **0.32** | **0.34** | **0.14** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim10** | **0.34** | **0.35** | **0.17** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim11** | **0.34** | **0.31** | **0.14** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim12** | **0.33** | **0.30** | **0.14** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **Kodim13** | **0.40** | **0.38** | **0.16** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim14** | **0.35** | **0.35** | **0.17** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **Kodim15** | **0.33** | **0.31** | **0.14** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim16** | **0.22** | **0.21** | **0.09** | **0.01** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim17** | **0.34** | **0.33** | **0.16** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **Kodim18** | **0.44** | **0.40** | **0.18** | **0.02** | **0.04** | **0.02** |
| **Kodim19** | **0.35** | **0.34** | **0.16** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **Kodim20** | **0.37** | **0.40** | **0.17** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim21** | **0.34** | **0.33** | **0.14** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim22** | **0.39** | **0.37** | **0.17** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Kodim23** | **0.29** | **0.30** | **0.14** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |
| **Kodim24** | **0.41** | **0.37** | **0.18** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.01** |
| **Average** | **0.34** | **0.33** | **0.15** | **0.03** | **0.03** | **0.02** |

Fig.6 elucidates the total compression and decompression time is seconds that extracted from Table 3, contrasting the performance of the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm when applied to Kodak Images.

****

Fig.6. Total Compression and Decompression Time (S) for the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm Compared with Standard Algorithms using Kodak Images.

Table 4 Compression and Decompression time (in secs) for our proposed RG`B` and CSC methods using EPFL images

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Image** | **Compression Time (s)** | **Decompression Time (s)** |
| **Method #J** | **Method #E** | **Method #N** | **Method #J** | **Method #E** | **Method #N** |
| **Bike** | **4.72** | **4.36** | **2.17** | **0.09** | **0.08** | **0.08** |
| **Cafe** | **5.88** | **5.37** | **2.83** | **0.08** | **0.08** | **0.07** |
| **P01** | **3.31** | **3.12** | **1.81** | **0.1** | **0.1** | **0.07** |
| **P04** | **3.79** | **3.45** | **2.46** | **0.09** | **0.08** | **0.08** |
| **P06** | **4.44** | **4.11** | **2.49** | **0.1** | **0.09** | **0.09** |
| **P10** | **3.01** | **2.86** | **1.47** | **0.11** | **0.08** | **0.09** |
| **P14** | **3.05** | **2.87** | **1.71** | **0.09** | **0.09** | **0.08** |
| **P22** | **2.85** | **2.58** | **1.57** | **0.09** | **0.09** | **0.08** |
| **P30** | **3.91** | **3.47** | **2.4** | **0.1** | **0.09** | **0.08** |
| **Women** | **3.5** | **3.36** | **1.65** | **0.1** | **0.09** | **0.08** |
| **Average** | **3.84** | **3.55** | **2.05** | **0.1** | **0.09** | **0.08** |

Fig.7 illustrates the total compression and decompression time in seconds for the Proposed LICA-CS algorithm when applied to EPFL images.

****

Fig.7. Total Compression and Decompression Time (In Secs) for the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm Compared with Standard Algorithm using EPFL Images.

#### Compression ratios compared with state-of-the-art schemes: We also evaluated the performance of our proposed methods with other state-of-the-art schemes, including BWCA [27], [57], GST (based on KMTF-BWCA) [28], [58], and BBWCA [29], [59], [60]. Table 5 shows their images sizes and their compression ratios using Eq.8 for selected Kodak images. On average, our method outperforms the others with an improvement of 59.8, 57.87, and 5.2% in the compression ratio compared to BWCA, GST, and BBWCA respectively.

#### Running time compared with state-of-the-art schemes: To accurately assess the computational efficiency of our proposed method and provide a fair and meaningful comparison, we conducted experiments using identical experimental setups and settings as the existing methods presented in the previous section. For this specific set of experiments only, we utilized a different machine with the following specifications: Intel Core 2 Quad CPU running at 2.4 GHz, 2 GB of RAM, and 1 GB of virtual memory. By adopting this approach, we compare the running time of our method with the previously reported results in Table 6. Significant improvements were observed in the compression and decompression processes of RG`B`-CSC when applied to the presented images. This demonstrates the robustness and efficiency of the proposed transformation and compression methods.

Table 5 Image sizes (KB) and Compression ratios (bpp) for our proposed RG`B` and CSC methods compared with state-of-the-art schemes using Kodak images.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **BWCA** | **GST** | **BBWCA** | **LICA-CS** |
| **Image** | **Size** | **Ratio** | **Size** | **Ratio** | **Size** | **Ratio** | **Size** | **Ratio** |
| **kodim02** | **765** | **15.89** | **750** | **15.58** | **381** | **7.95** | **320** | **6.67** |
| **kodim05** | **991** | **20.69** | **947** | **19.67** | **244** | **5.08** | **376** | **7.82** |
| **kodim07** | **780** | **16.22** | **743** | **15.48** | **350** | **7.29** | **282** | **5.88** |
| **kodim08** | **1008** | **21.05** | **981** | **20.51** | **463** | **9.64** | **395** | **10.48** |
| **kodim11** | **837** | **17.39** | **800** | **16.67** | **297** | **6.19** | **307** | **6.40** |
| **kodim13** | **1020** | **21.24** | **965** | **20.17** | **352** | **7.34** | **396** | **8.25** |
| **kodim20** | **591** | **12.31** | **560** | **11.65** | **332** | **6.92** | **270** | **5.63** |
| **kodim21** | **827** | **17.27** | **783** | **16.33** | **480** | **10.00** | **311** | **6.49** |
| **kodim22** | **891** | **18.60** | **839** | **17.52** | **358** | **7.45** | **355** | **7.41** |
| **kodim23** | **791** | **16.44** | **739** | **15.38** | **346** | **7.21** | **297** | **6.20** |
| **Average** | **850** | **17.71** | **811** | **16.9** | **360** | **7.51** | **330.9** | **7.12** |

Fig.8 displays a chart describing the compressed image size in kilobytes (KB) for the Proposed LICA-CS algorithm in contrast to State-of-the-Art schemes when applied to KODAK images.



Fig. 8. Compressed Image Size (KB) for the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm Compared with State-of-the-Art Schemes using KODAK Images.

Table 6 Compression and Decompression time (in secs) for our proposed **RG**`**B**` and CSC methods compared with state-of-the-art schemes using selected Kodak images.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Compression Time (s)** | **Decompression Time (s)** |
| **Image** | **BWCA** | **GST** | **BBWCA** | **LICA-CS** | **BWCA** | **GST** | **BBWCA** | **LICA-CS** |
| **kodim02** | **16.46** | **17.21** | **17.70** | **1.43** | **23.76** | **25.39** | **28.06** | **0.1** |
| **kodim05** | **16.07** | **16.82** | **19.14** | **2.03** | **23.85** | **28.09** | **30.50** | **0.1** |
| **kodim07** | **17.58** | **18.33** | **18.41** | **1.48** | **22.46** | **25.95** | **30.60** | **0.1** |
| **kodim08** | **16.32** | **17.07** | **19.77** | **1.79** | **21.32** | **24.82** | **27.80** | **0.1** |
| **kodim11** | **18.02** | **18.77** | **19.49** | **1.82** | **23.34** | **23.24** | **28.55** | **0.1** |
| **kodim13** | **17.37** | **18.12** | **18.18** | **1.99** | **22.77** | **25.55** | **28.66** | **0.1** |
| **kodim20** | **18.82** | **19.57** | **20.98** | **2.07** | **21.17** | **24.40** | **27.46** | **0.1** |
| **kodim21** | **19.17** | **19.92** | **19.48** | **1.85** | **21.46** | **22.63** | **28.95** | **0.1** |
| **kodim22** | **16.91** | **17.66** | **19.60** | **2.21** | **21.97** | **22.19** | **22.87** | **0.1** |
| **kodim23** | **16.78** | **17.53** | **19.95** | **1.69** | **22.90** | **25.98** | **29.23** | **0.1** |
| **Average** | **17.35** | **18.10** | **19.27** | **1.83** | **22.50** | **24.82** | **28.27** | **0.1** |

Fig.9 summarizes the data extracted from Table 6, presenting the total compression and decompression time (in seconds) for the Proposed LICA-CS algorithm in comparison to State-of-the-Art schemes when applied to KODAK images.



Fig. 9. Total Compression and Decompression Time (In Secs) for the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm Compared with State-of-the-Art Schemes using KODAK Images.

#### Comparison with Benchmark Schemes: Besides the reported results, we compared our LICA-CS method with several benchmark software and compression algorithms that are commonly used in the field of image compression. The performance of each method [29] is evaluated in terms of compression efficiency using Kodak dataset. Table 7 presents the obtained compressed file sizes in KBs after applying the respective compression methods. We can observe significant improvements in terms of compression efficiency for LICA-CS. Specifically, our method compresses the 11520 KBs of data to 3310 KBs, which is a size reduction of 71.27%, outperforming all benchmark software/algorithm approaches. The interesting observation that was made from Table 1 when analyzing the results of YCoCg-CSC is better shown in this comparison in Table 7, where the images are compressed to 3093 KBs. Additionally, BBWCA demonstrated competitive compression ratios, with a total file size of 3553 KBs, a reduction of 69.16%. HEVC and LZ4X, on the other hand, achieved impressive compression results with an average reduction of 63.37% and 62.6% respectively.

Table 7 Compressed image sizes (KB) for our methods along with several benchmark software and compression algorithms

|  |
| --- |
| **KODAK TEST IMAGE** |
| **Scheme** | **Kodim02** | **Kodim08** | **Kodim13** | **Kodim21** | **Kodim22** | **Kodim21** | **Kodim07** | **Kodim20** | **Kodim05** | **Kodim11** | **Total** |
| ADVANCE COMP | 608 | 422 | 495 | 493 | 477 | 666 | 460 | 468 | 614 | 451 | 5154 |
| ALLUME | 386 | 576 | 487 | 503 | 699 | 407 | 788 | 370 | 410 | 372 | 4998 |
| BBWCA | 381 | 463 | 352 | 480 | 308 | 346 | 350 | 332 | 244 | 297 | 3553 |
| BCM | 457 | 785 | 628 | 490 | 532 | 737 | 375 | 381 | 507 | 390 | 5282 |
| BULK ZIP | 450 | 710 | 372 | 441 | 753 | 613 | 469 | 751 | 482 | 603 | 5644 |
| CAESIUM | 475 | 422 | 496 | 518 | 797 | 500 | 706 | 407 | 736 | 411 | 5468 |
| C-MIX | 453 | 510 | 457 | 497 | 625 | 520 | 761 | 666 | 657 | 456 | 5602 |
| COMPRESSOR.IO | 413 | 765 | 425 | 597 | 380 | 415 | 392 | 391 | 603 | 668 | 5049 |
| CRUSH | 409 | 692 | 556 | 536 | 544 | 795 | 646 | 612 | 583 | 376 | 5749 |
| FILE MINIMIZER | 560 | 758 | 471 | 521 | 740 | 681 | 691 | 596 | 573 | 495 | 6086 |
| FILE OPTIMIZER | 409 | 432 | 721 | 665 | 559 | 502 | 401 | 447 | 618 | 518 | 5272 |
| HEVC (x265) | 403 | 493 | 343 | 487 | 397 | 538 | 326 | 418 | 462 | 353 | 4220 |
| LICA-CS | 320 | 395 | 396 | 311 | 355 | 297 | 282 | 270 | 376 | 348 | 3310 |
| LZ4X | 370 | 420 | 412 | 373 | 402 | 537 | 465 | 456 | 444 | 429 | 4308 |
| MRP | 497 | 760 | 550 | 511 | 513 | 791 | 534 | 474 | 628 | 409 | 5667 |
| NANOZIP | 475 | 556 | 472 | 519 | 446 | 543 | 490 | 431 | 551 | 715 | 5198 |
| PAQ8PXD\_V4 | 450 | 490 | 598 | 607 | 489 | 655 | 733 | 596 | 372 | 569 | 5559 |
| UPACK 0.25 | 661 | 710 | 379 | 675 | 503 | 529 | 371 | 572 | 587 | 568 | 5555 |
| WINRK 3.1.2 | 598 | 515 | 398 | 783 | 674 | 457 | 374 | 611 | 456 | 593 | 5459 |
| YCoCg-CSC | 296 | 380 | 380 | 287 | 334 | 265 | 254 | 259 | 355 | 283 | 3093 |
| ZCM 0.92 | 495 | 631 | 772 | 542 | 408 | 714 | 565 | 597 | 416 | 450 | 5590 |

Fig.10 illustrates the data from Table 7 through a chart representing the compressed image size (KB) for the Proposed LICA-CS algorithm, alongside various benchmark software and compression algorithms.



Fig.10. Compressed Image Size (KB) for the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm Along with Several Benchmark Software and Compression Algorithms.

#### Comparison of Compression ratios for grayscale images: In the comparison of 12 selected images from the Waterloo grayscale image sets 1 and 2, we evaluated the compression ratios achieved by applying the algorithms from [30]. The results are presented in Table 8, indicating the extent to which each algorithm compressed the image. On average, our method demonstrated superior performance compared to all other algorithms, achieving a compression ratio that was 13% better than the IWT-HF.

Table 8 Compression ratios (bpp) for our proposed RG`B` - CSC methods compared with state-of-the-art schemes from [30] using 12 selected Waterloo images.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Image** | **Huffman** | **Arithmetic** | **J2K** | **JLS** | **7-Zip** | **IWT-HF** | **LICA-CS** |
| bird.TIF | 6.8016 | 6.7747 | 3.1390 | 3.4712 | 4.2333 | 2.8630 | 2.45 |
| bridge.TIF | 7.6937 | 7.6689 | 5.9086 | 5.7904 | 6.3164 | 4.9043 | 4.00 |
| circles.TIF | 1.8484 | 1.7811 | 1.2627 | 0.1526 | 0.1136 | 1.3375 | 1.11 |
| crosses.TIF | 1.0001 | 0.1879 | 1.4285 | 0.3855 | 0.1786 | 2.0281 | 1.24 |
| slope.TIF | 7.5411 | 7.5177 | 1.0643 | 1.5713 | 1.6929 | 1.6449 | 1.77 |
| squares.TIF | 1.3517 | 1.0776 | 0.2505 | 0.0771 | 0.0500 | 0.6989 | 1.05 |
| boat.TIF | 7.1468 | 7.1238 | 4.1005 | 4.2498 | 5.2881 | 3.5184 | 3.13 |
| goldhill2.TIF | 7.4970 | 7.4779 | 4.6544 | 4.7116 | 5.5985 | 3.8035 | 3.29 |
| lena2.TIF | 7.4683 | 7.4456 | 4.0166 | 4.2437 | 5.5190 | 3.2545 | 3.12 |
| library.TIF | 5.8704 | 5.8490 | 5.8350 | 5.1011 | 4.2544 | 5.1664 | 3.72 |
| mandrill.TIF | 7.3804 | 7.3580 | 6.0232 | 6.0365 | 6.3869 | 5.0441 | 4.24 |
| peppers.TIF | 7.5951 | 7.5716 | 4.4042 | 4.4887 | 5.5480 | 3.5105 | 3.16 |
| **Average** | **5.7662** | **5.6528** | **3.5073** | **3.3566** | **3.7650** | **3.1478** | **2.69** |

Fig.11 depicts the average Compression Ratios (BPP) for the proposed LICA-CS algorithm compared to State-of-the-Art schemes, utilizing selected Waterloo images as described in Table 8.



Fig.11. Average Compression Ratios (BPP) for the Proposed LICA-CS Algorithm with State-of-the-Art Schemes Using Selected Waterloo Images.

# Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed a lossless image compression algorithm (LICA-CS) that uses a transformation method to reduce the correlation between image components to prepare the image for the next compression stage that requires less correlation, followed by a compression method called Columnar Subtraction Model to reduce image intensity. by taking advantage of the similarity and proximity of pixel values within adjacent columns, providing a clear gain in reducing image size, this is accomplished by subtracting neighboring columns from each other in each of the three-color matrices within the targeted image. Thorough experiments were conducted to compare LICA-CA with cutting-edge algorithm and various performance benchmarks demonstrated substantial enhancements for LICA-CS, particularly in compression ratio and execution time.

Improvement for LICA-CS in term of compression ratio, our method compresses the 11520 KBs of data to 3310 KBs, which is a size reduction of 71.27%, outperforming all benchmark software/algorithm approaches. The interesting observation that was made from Table 1 when analyzing the results of YCoCg-CSC is better shown in this comparison in Table 7, where the images are compressed to 3093 KBs. Additionally, BBWCA demonstrated competitive compression ratios, with a total file size of 3553 KBs, a reduction of 69.16%. HEVC and LZ4X, on the other hand, achieved impressive compression results with an average reduction of 63.37% and 62.6%, respectively.

Improvement for LICA-CS in terms of execution time, we compare the running time of our method with the previously reported results in Table 6. Significant improvements were observed in the compression and decompression processes of LICA-CS when applied to the presented images. The proposed method achieved an average of 1.93 seconds, compared with 40.35 seconds needed by BWCA.

Improvement for LICA-CS in terms of implementation complexity, while the fundamental concepts of BBWCA are relatively simple, implementing it can become complex, particularly when handling large images. The efficient encoding and decoding of the BBWCA imposes detailed management of indices and suffix arrays, which can impose significant computational demands. In contrast, LICA-CS offers a simpler implementation and is a high-performance algorithm capable of effectively managing large image sizes or complex data.

As a future direction, our aim is to expand the scope of this work to include the compression of low-resolution images, specifically focusing on raster map images which often have less redundancy compared to higher-resolution images, making it more challenging for compression algorithms to identify and exploit redundancy for efficient compression. By extending our methods to address the unique challenges posed by this type of imagery, we anticipate further advancements in efficient and effective compression algorithms.
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