

**An assessment of the antibacterial activity in larval excretion/secretion of
four species of insects recorded in association with corpses, using *Lucilia
sericata* Meigen as the marker species**

K.M. Barnes*, D.E. Gennard and R.A. Dixon

Department of Forensic and Biomedical Sciences, University of Lincoln, Brayford Pool,
Lincoln, UK LN6 7TS

*Correspondence and current address: K. M. Barnes, Department of Biosciences, Sheffield
Hallam University, City Campus, Sheffield, S1 1WB, UK. Tel: +44 (0) 114 2254256; Fax: +44
(0) 114 2254449.

E-mail: K.Barnes@shu.ac.uk

Abstract

The relative antibacterial activities of excretion/secretion (ES) from two carrion-feeding insects, *Calliphora vicina* Robineau-Desvoidy and *Dermestes maculatus* DeGeer and a detritivore, *Tenebrio molitor* Linnaeus were compared to that of *Lucilia sericata* Meigen, a species with ES of known antibacterial capacity, in order to explore the antimicrobial potential of other carrion and detritivore species. Viable counts were used to assess time-kill of ES, against five bacterial species, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Proteus mirabilis*. Antibacterial activity was recorded in all four insect species although *T. molitor* and *D. maculatus* were the most effective in controlling growth of *Proteus mirabilis*. The blowflies were more effective in controlling a wider range of both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. The larval ES from all

species was shown to reduce bacterial growth rate although differences in antibacterial spectrum were noted and the degree of potency varied between the four species. These differences may be explained ecologically by the different colonisation times of each insect species on the corpse. Overall, this study demonstrates that research into other carrion feeding insect species has potential to provide an increased source of antimicrobial chemicals to broaden the range of bacterial species beyond that currently controlled using *Lucilia sericata*.

Key words: *Lucilia sericata*, *Calliphora vicina*, *Tenebrio molitor*, *Dermestes maculatus*, antibacterial, secretions.

Introduction

Dead bodies and detritus provide nutrient sources and an oviposition site for some insect species. In such environments the newly hatched larvae are exposed to microorganisms, both immediately and as decomposition progresses. Such insects are under strong selective pressure to resist infection and maintain an effective immune response.

In addition to internal immune resources such as coagulation, phagocytosis, encapsulation and nodule formation (Sadd & Siva-Jothy, 2006) some insects are equipped with external antimicrobial defences. An example is provided by Hoback *et al.*, (2004) who showed oral secretions from several Nicrophorus beetle species and anal secretions from one species of Silphinae were active against *Vibrio fischerii* bacteria. Early twentieth century studies demonstrated antibacterial activity in external excretions/secretions (ES) from diptera such as *Lucilia sericata*, *Calliphora* species and *Phormia terraenovae* (Robineau-Desvoidy) (Simmons, 1935; Gwatkin & Fallis, 1938; Pavillard & Wright, 1957), species which are carrion

feeders. Recent work has extended this knowledge in the context of *L. sericata* and shown that ES from sterile larvae is antibacterial against organisms such as *MRSA* (Thomas *et al.*, 1999; Bexfield *et al.*, 2004; Kerridge *et al.*, 2005; Huberman *et al.*, 2007a). The antimicrobial activity has been identified from two fractions of the ES, one between 0.5 to 10 kDa and a second at less than 500 Da. The antimicrobial constituent from the latter fraction has been patented under the name 'Seraticin®' (Bexfield *et al.*, 2008).

The success of this recent work signals the need to review the antimicrobial capabilities of other insects inhabiting carrion and detritus in order to determine their potential for controlling a wider range of microorganisms. The present study investigated the antibacterial activities of un-induced ES from larvae of a comparable initial coloniser *C. vicina* and two beetle species modelling colonisers of later stages of decomposition; *D. maculatus* and *T. molitor*. The antibacterial activities of their un-induced ES are compared to that of ES from *L. sericata* larvae.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial viable counts were used to assess the antibacterial potency of ES from each of the four larval species against five bacterial reference species; *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 25923), *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 27853), *Proteus mirabilis* (ATCC 43071) and *Bacillus cereus* (NCIMB 3329). On at least two separate occasions, each experiment was replicated three times.

Marker insect species

Lucilia sericata, a member of the Calliphoridae, was used as the marker species as it has been studied intensively for decades as a result of its use in maggot debridement therapy (Simmons, 1935; Thomas *et al.*, 1999; Mumcuoglu *et al.*, 2001; Lerch *et al.*, 2003; Bexfield *et al.*, 2004; Kerridge *et al.*, 2005; Reinecke *et al.*, 2005; Daeschlein *et al.*, 2007; Huberman *et al.*, 2007b; Bexfield *et al.*, 2008; Jaklic *et al.*, 2008; van der Plas *et al.*, 2008). *Calliphora vicina*, also an initial coloniser, was used as a comparison to *L. sericata* since less is known about this species' antimicrobial excretion/secretion (Gwatkin & Fallis, 1938). *Dermestes maculatus* colonises a corpse during the decay stages of decomposition (Kulshrestha & Satpathy, 2001; Oliva, 2001; Grassberger & Frank, 2004) and Tenebrionidae, such as *Tenebrio molitor* have been associated with a corpse during the dry stages of decay (Méglin, 1894; Arnaldos *et al.*, 2005; Gennard, 2007).

Larval ES preparation

Colonies of the Calliphoridae and *T. molitor* were maintained under a lighting regime of 16:8 (L: D) hours, whilst cultures of *D. maculatus* were maintained in complete darkness. *Lucilia sericata* and *C. vicina* larvae were reared on a diet of *ad libitum* porcine liver and *D. maculatus* and *T. molitor* on dried porcine cubes supplemented with working dog biscuits. All colonies were maintained at a temperature of $25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$.

The excretion/secretion (ES) was collected from each species by adding a standardised amount of sterile, deionised water to a sample of larvae which were weighed and the numbers adjusted to give a fixed ratio of maggots to water (1g ml^{-1}). (Blowfly larvae were collected whilst in the third instar and beetle larvae from actively feeding stages with a

standard length of $12\pm 1\text{mm}$ for *D. maculatus* and $26\pm 2\text{mm}$ for *T. molitor*). Larvae were incubated at 30°C for 60 minutes (a method adapted from Bexfield *et al.*, 2004) after which ES was collected and micro-centrifuged at $7826 \times g$ for five minutes and filtered ($0.20\mu\text{m}$) to remove large particles and bacteria. The ES from each insect species was then stored frozen at -20°C until required.

Representative bacterial species

The bacterial species were chosen on the basis that they were representative of the changing micro-organism populations on a body during decomposition. There has been very limited research on the succession of microbial species on a corpse during decomposition and information about species, location, growth requirements on live bodies and habitats were the criteria used to determine which bacteria were to be chosen; *Staphylococci* as common skin bacteria and *E. coli* and *P. mirabilis* from the gut and *B. cereus*, *P. mirabilis* and *P. aeruginosa* associated with the environment, being present in soil and decomposing materials.

Preparation of bacteria

One colony was removed from a stock plate of nutrient agar (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England) and was inoculated into 20ml sterile tryptone soya broth (TSB) (Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England). The broth was incubated at 37°C with shaking for 17 hours. A sample of 0.1ml of the overnight bacterial culture was transferred to 10ml TSB broth and incubated at 37°C with shaking until the optical density reading at 600nm was in the range of 0.24-0.25.

Confirmation of antibacterial activity in ES from the marker species, Lucilia sericata

The antibacterial activity of *L. sericata* ES was quantified using liquid culture assays employing 10% TSB and dH₂O or ES to confirm its antibacterial activity before being used as the marker species in the experiments reported in this paper. Results demonstrated that *L. sericata* ES had a significant inhibitory action and there was >99% less bacterial growth in ES than controls throughout a 24-hour period (data not shown).

Sample preparation

To investigate the antibacterial activity of ES from *C. vicina*, *D. maculatus* and *T. molitor* against the known activity of *L. sericata*, defrosted insect excretion/secretion (ES) from each species was separated into 4ml aliquots. The purpose of this study was to model the antibacterial activity of ES in the insects' natural environment. Therefore additional media was not employed in this assay particularly as its effect on antibacterial activity of ES was not known. In order to demonstrate the pattern of normal bacterial growth, 4ml aliquots of TSB/ dH₂O were utilised as controls to replace the ES. Twenty µl of bacteria in TSB was added to all aliquots and the universals incubated at 37°C, with aeration, for a 24-hour period.

Effectiveness of bacterial control

Viable counts were prepared on nutrient agar at 0, 4, 8 and 24 hours after inoculation for each of the four insect species and the controls. The agar plates were incubated at 37°C for 20-24 hours and viable counts (CFU/ml) were used to provide a quantitative determination of bacterial growth.

Confirmation of absence of contamination

To confirm the sterility of samples of ES prior to bacterial inoculation, a loop (10 μ l) of ES from each insect, TSB, and sterile dH₂O were spread separately onto nutrient agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. This procedure was repeated at 4, 8 and 24 hours to ensure the media used to prepare dilutions for viable counts was contamination-free.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 14.0) on Log₁₀ transformed mean count data sets to compare bacterial growth in ES from the three insect species in relation to growth in ES from *L. sericata*. The Bonferroni test was used as a *post hoc* test on those significantly different data sets confirmed by ANOVA. The results are presented as the mean bacterial count over a 24-hour period.

The pH of insect ES

The pH of each aliquot of ES was recorded prior to each experiment in order to observe possible effects on antibacterial activity. No attempt was made to alter the pH of the ES as the intention was to observe its antibacterial activity in its natural state.

Results

A repeated measures ANOVA indicated that there was a significant difference between the mean bacterial counts in ES from each insect species ($F_{3,27} = 5.43$, $P = 0.005$). A Bonferroni *post hoc* test revealed no significant difference between the antibacterial effectiveness of ES from *L. sericata* and *C. vicina* against the five bacterial species ($p = 1.00$). Nor was any significant difference noted between the antibacterial activity of *L. sericata* and *T. molitor* ($p = 0.128$). However *L. sericata* was significantly more effective at inhibiting the growth of the five bacterial species than *D. maculatus* ($p = 0.006$).

Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 25923)

All insect species allowed some growth of *S. aureus* (Figure 1). Of the species compared, *L. sericata* was shown to be the most effective source of antibacterial ES for controlling *S. aureus*.

Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922)

Both Calliphorids exhibited good bactericidal activity against *E. coli*. *Lucilia sericata* and *C. vicina* ES inhibited *E. coli* growth over the 24-hour period (Figure 2) reducing the mean bacterial count by 84% and 63% respectively. Over the first four hours *C. vicina* ES reduced the initial count of *E. coli* by 55%; over an 8-hour period the bacterial count was 91% less than the original inoculum. In contrast bacteria treated with ES from *T. molitor* and *D. maculatus* showed exponential growth so that over eight hours there was a 10^2 increase in bacterial population indicating that they were not an effective means of control of this species.

Bacillus cereus (NCIMB 3329)

There was also a significant difference in the antibacterial effectiveness of the four insects' ES in controlling bacterial growth over a 24-period. In the first four hours both *L. sericata* and *C. vicina* ES (Figure 3) demonstrated a 100% bacterial count reduction of *B. cereus*. They were therefore much more efficient at inhibiting the growth of *B. cereus* than the ES of either *D. maculatus* or *T. molitor*, although the latter inhibited bacterial growth in the first four hours.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853)

P. aeruginosa was effectively inhibited over 24 hours by *L. sericata* ES (Figure 4) and ES from both blowflies was bactericidal during the first four hours of growth. Over the first four

hours *Calliphora vicina* ES was the more effective and reduced the mean bacterial count by 85% whilst *L. sericata* ES only reduced it by 58%. However over 24 hours *L. sericata* ES maintained its bacteriostatic activity (55% mean bacterial count reduction) whereas *C. vicina* ES allowed re-growth to occur in the period between eight and 24 hours. In contrast ES from both *D. maculatus* and *T. molitor* were an ineffective means of controlling *P. aeruginosa* since both allowed growth throughout the 24-hour period.

Proteus mirabilis (ATCC 43071)

Interestingly, beetle ES was much more effective against *P. mirabilis*, whilst blowfly ES had no effect (Figure 5). By the end of a four-hour period there was a 60% mean bacterial count reduction in *D. maculatus* ES and a 66% mean bacterial count reduction in *T. molitor* ES although after this point, *D. maculatus* ES allowed bacterial re-growth. *Tenebrio molitor* ES continued to inhibit the growth of *P. mirabilis* for a further four hours (77% mean bacterial count reduction). Therefore *T. molitor* ES was more efficient at inhibiting the growth of *P. mirabilis* than ES from *L. sericata*, *C. vicina* or *D. maculatus*.

The effect of pH on antibacterial activity of ES

The pH of ES from each insect had different ranges; *L. sericata* (8.67-8.82); *C. vicina* (8.53-8.68); *D. maculatus* (6.00-6.14) and *T. molitor* (5.14-5.28). However, a two-tailed Pearson's test demonstrated that there was no significant linear correlation between the pH of insect ES and its antibacterial potency against the five bacterial species tested. This was true for *L. sericata* ES ($r = -0.09$, $n=10$, $p=0.815$), *C. vicina* ES ($r = 0.07$, $n=10$, $p=0.859$), *D. maculatus* ES ($r=0.109$, $n=10$, $p=0.776$) and *T. molitor* ES ($r = -0.448$, $n=10$, $p=0.194$). Therefore pH did not influence antibacterial activity of ES from these species.

Discussion

All four insect species demonstrated differences in their potency against each of the five species of bacteria. However viable counts showed that, at the concentration tested, ES from all species was capable of reducing bacterial numbers for at least part of a twenty-four hour period (Figures 1-5); no lag phase was observed during this period.

The viable count results for *L. sericata* ES confirm those reported by Bexfield *et al.*, (2008) who showed a 97.4% decrease in *E. coli* populations over a six hour period. In contrast, research by Jaklic *et al.*, (2008) established a prolonged lag phase of 5-6 hours for *E. coli*, after which exponential growth occurred. He demonstrated this same lag phase for *P. aeruginosa*, which is also in contrast to the results reported here.

However, an equivalent level of antibacterial potency against *S. aureus* reported by both Bexfield *et al.*, (2008) and Jaklic *et al.*, (2008) was not demonstrated in this study. Bexfield *et al.*, (2008) showed that after a 6-hour period *L. sericata* ES was able to reduce the population of *S. aureus* (10^6) by 91.5% and Jaklic *et al.*, (2008) demonstrated a 100% reduction of *S. aureus* after eight hours. These differences may be due to variation in concentration of ES, the types of media used for the liquid culture assay, or the duration of the experimental period between these three studies.

Previous researchers have suggested that the pH of insect ES influenced its antibacterial activity (Gwatkin & Fallis, 1938; Thomas *et al.*, 1999; Bexfield *et al.*, 2004). Therefore the origin of the antibacterial activity demonstrated in the insect ES was explored by

investigating the pH ranges of ES from each insect species. Typically, the ES from *L. sericata* and *C. vicina* was alkaline (pH 8-9) compared to the ES from *D. maculatus* and *T. molitor*, which was found to be acidic (pH 5-6). However, there was no significant correlation between the pH of insect ES and bacterial growth, indicating pH had no effect on antibacterial potency. These results support work conducted by Bexfield and co-workers (2004) who showed that antibacterial activity in *L. sericata* ES was not dependant on the alkaline condition by changing the pH of *L. sericata* ES and demonstrating the same antibacterial activity existed in aliquots that were altered to pH 6 and 7 as was in native ES (pH 8-9). Therefore it appears that the effectiveness of insect ES relates to agents other than pH.

The fact that larval ES did not always maintain an antibacterial effect over the 24-hour experimental period does not minimise its potential as an antibacterial agent. Data from several experiments demonstrated that larval ES is produced continuously (unpublished data) therefore even short-lived antibacterial activity would still be an effective defence against microbes on a corpse.

The difference in antibacterial potency and spectrum between the blowflies and the beetles is not altogether surprising and may be explained ecologically by the fact that blowflies tend to frequent habitats with potentially more diverse species of bacteria present. As the initial colonisers of a corpse, blowflies encounter bacteria originating from the human body. As a corpse decomposes production of waste gases extends the body until eventually the skin is broken allowing the gut bacteria (and gases) to escape. It is assumed that most obligate

anaerobes will die off at this stage but facultative anaerobes, such as *Proteus* and *E. coli* will survive and be in direct competition with the insects now feeding on the corpse.

Beetle larvae are generally present on a corpse in the later stages of decomposition (advanced and post decay) when much of the soft tissue has been removed. It is assumed that most human-derived bacteria have been reduced in number by earlier insect colonisers and that bacteria from the environment probably dominate. Bacterial species, such as *P. mirabilis*, against which the beetle but not blowfly larvae ES in this study were active, may potentially be present at the time of coleopteran colonisation.

The blowflies, *L. sericata* and *C. vicina* produced excretion/secretion (ES), which was active against both the Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria tested in this study. This secretion/excretion had a broader spectrum and a more potent antibacterial activity than the ES of either of the beetles, *D. maculatus* and *T. molitor*. The blowflies were able to inhibit or reduce growth of four out of the five bacterial species used whereas the beetles were only effective against one, *Proteus mirabilis*. These results indicate the potential of using ES from carrion-feeding coleoptera as antibacterial agents as well as those of Calliphoridae and that the poorer antibacterial capacity may reflect the bacterial contact in the habitat to which the beetles, in contrast to the Calliphorids, are exposed.

Acknowledgements

John Flynn for statistical advice and support.

References

- Arnaldos, M.I., Garcia, M.D., Romera, E., Presa, J.J. and Luna, A.** (2005) Estimation of post-mortem interval in real cases based on experimentally obtained entomological evidence. *Forensic Science International* **149**, 57-65.
- Bexfield, A., Nigam, Y., Thomas, S. and Ratcliffe, N. A.** (2004) Detection and partial characterisation of two antibacterial factors from the excretions/secretions of the medicinal maggot *Lucilia sericata* and their activity against *methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Microbes and Infection* **6** (14), 1297-1304.
- Bexfield, A., Bond, A.E., Roberts, E.C., Dudley, E., Nigam, Y., Thomas, S., Newton, R.P. and Ratcliffe, N.A.** (2008) The antibacterial activity against MRSA strains and other bacteria of a <500Da fraction from maggot excretions/secretions of *Lucilia sericata* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Microbes and Infection* **10**, 325-333.
- Daeschlein, G., Mumcuoglu, K.Y., Assadian, O., Hoffmeister, B. and Kramer, A.** (2007) In Vitro Antibacterial Activity of *Lucilia sericata* Maggot Secretions. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology* **20**, 112-115.
- Gennard, D.E.** (2007) *Forensic entomology: an introduction*. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, England.
- Grassberger, M. and Frank, C.** (2004) Initial study of arthropod succession on pig carrion in a central European urban habitat. *Journal of Medical Entomology* **41** (3), 511-523.
- Gwatkin, R. and Fallis, A.M.** (1938) Bactericidal and antigenic qualities of the washings of blowfly maggots. *Canadian Journal of Research* **16** (12), 343-352.

- Hoback, W.W., Bishop, A.A., Kroemer, J., Scalzitti, J. and Shaffer, J.J.** (2004) Differences among antimicrobial properties of carrion beetle secretions reflect phylogeny and ecology. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **30** (4), 719-729.
- Huberman, L., Gollop, N., Mumcuoglu, K.Y., Block, C., Galun, R.** (2007a) Antibacterial properties of whole body extracts and haemolymph of *Lucilia sericata* maggots. *Journal of Wound Care* **16** (3): 123-127.
- Huberman, L., Gollop, N., Mumcuoglu, K.Y., Breuer, E., Bhusare, S.R., Shai, Y. & Galun, R.** (2007b) Antibacterial substances of low molecular weight isolated from the blowfly, *Lucilia sericata*. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* **21** (2): 127-131.
- Jaklic, D., Lapanje, A., Zupancic, K., Smrke, D. and Gunde-Cimerman, N.** (2008) Selective antimicrobial activity of maggots against pathogenic bacteria. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **57**, 617-625.
- Kerridge, A., Lappin-Scott, H. and Stevens, J.R.** (2005) Antibacterial properties of larval secretions of the blowfly, *Lucilia sericata*. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* **19**, 333-337.
- Kulshrestha, P. and Satpathy, D.K.** (2001) Use of beetles in forensic entomology. *Forensic Science International* **120**, 15-17.
- Lerch, K., Linde, H-J., Lehn, N. and Grifka., J.** (2003) Bacteria ingestion by blowfly larvae: an *in vitro* study. *Dermatology*, **207**, 306-366.
- Mégnin, P.** (1894) *La faune des cadavres: Application de l'entomologie a la médecine légale*. G. Masson, Paris, France.
- Mumcuoglu, K.Y., Miller, J., Mumcuoglu, M., Friger, M. and Tarshis, M.** (2001) Destruction of bacteria in the digestive tract of the maggot *Lucilia sericata* (Diptera: Calliphoridae). *Journal of Medical Entomology* **38** (2), 161-166.

Oliva, A. (2001) Insects of forensic significance in Argentina. *Forensic Science International* **120**, 145-154.

Pavillard, E.R. and Wright, E.A. (1957) An antibiotic from maggots. *Nature* **180**, 916-917.

Reinecke, M., Mayer, G. and Heisig, P. (2005) *Lucilia sericata*: latest results of antibacterial activity. Abstract – 15th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. Copenhagen/ Denmark, April 2-5.

Sadd B.M. and Siva-Jothy M.T. (2006) Self-harm caused by an insect's innate immunity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society: Part B Biological Sciences* **273** (1600), 2571-2574.

Simmons, S.W. (1935) The bactericidal properties of excretions of the maggot *Lucilia sericata*. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **26**, 559-563.

Thomas, S., Andrews, A.M., Hay, N.P. and Bourgoise, S. (1999) The anti-microbial activity of maggot secretions: results of a preliminary study. *Journal of Tissue Viability* **9** (4), 127-132.

van der Plas, M.J.A., Jukema, G.N., Wai, S-W., Dogterom-Ballering, H.C.M., Legendijk, E.L., van Gulpen, C., van Dissel, J.T., Bloemberg, G.V. & Nibbering, P.H. (2008) Maggot excretions/secretions are differentially effective against biofilms of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **61**: 117-122.

Figure 1: A typical 24-hour growth curve with aeration of *Staphylococcus aureus* in ES from *Lucilia sericata*, *Calliphora vicina*, *Dermestes maculatus* and *Tenebrio molitor* (error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals). Control represents normal bacterial growth.

Figure 2: A typical growth curve over a 24-hour period with aeration of *Escherichia coli* in ES from *Lucilia sericata*, *Calliphora vicina*, *Dermestes maculatus* and *Tenebrio molitor* (error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals). The Control reflects normal bacterial growth.

Figure 3: A typical *Bacillus cereus* growth curve over a 24-hour period with aeration in ES from *Lucilia sericata*, *Calliphora vicina*, *Dermestes maculatus* and *Tenebrio molitor* (error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals). Control represents normal bacterial growth.

Figure 4: A typical growth curve of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in ES from *Lucilia sericata*, *Calliphora vicina*, *Dermestes maculatus* and *Tenebrio molitor* over a 24-hour period with aeration (error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals). Control reflects normal bacterial growth.

Figure 5: Line graph showing a typical growth curve of *Proteus mirabilis* in ES from *Lucilia sericata*, *Calliphora vicina*, *Dermestes maculatus* and *Tenebrio molitor* over a 24-hour period with aeration (error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals). The Control represents normal bacterial growth.