

Tri-dimensional sustainability of artificial neural networks

Dilan Carsane

Outline

Context

Social:

- Bias and inaccuracy

- Bias-variance trade-off

Environmental:

- Impact mapping

- Water consumption

- Carbon Emissions

- Raw Material Consumption

- Tertiary Effects

Economic:

- Prediction

- Information asymmetry

- Inequality

Conclusion

Context

Aims:

High-level summation of sustainability impacts of ANN.

Terminology

Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

Umbrella term in literature: AI.

Derived of machine learning/deep learning.

ANN

Computer system based on the human brain

Social

Bias and inaccuracy

Uses:

Data analysis, user guidance, broaden decision-making, image recognition,

Issues:

Biases in training data lead to biases in ANN

Less effective in contexts underrepresented in their training data

No universal approach in mitigating bias and inaccuracy

Statistical analysis (which has limitations) required in ANN software

Effectiveness hampered by lack of human knowledge

Inductive bias increases training efficiency

Lack transparency

Potential to impact people's lives

Performance increase in image recognition has plateaued recently

Bias-variance trade-off

Model complexity inversely proportional to variance
Eventually leads to more error

Differing views on BVT:

Can be addressed with large, varied datasets
Trade off only partially true – variance has a weighted distribution in respect to complexity.

Environmental

Impact mapping

One study managed to map environmental impact via ANN models.

Caveats:

ANN must be optimised for specific purpose

Water consumption

Water needed to generate electricity or cool servers

Water consumption of AI models overlooked or suppressed

Will increase as models get more sophisticated

Remedies for reducing carbon emissions and water consumption are mutually exclusive.

One requires access to sunlight, the other requires avoiding it.

Carbon Emissions

Can be used to track emission profiles

Algorithm can develop in unintended ways

Proportionality framework: does the task an AI is used for justify it's carbon footprint?

As ANN and hardware get more powerful, they will use more energy.

Raw Material Consumption

ANN have the potential to reduce resource consumption in the automobile industry

ANN can be trained to adapt to hardware over it's lifetime preventing obsolesce.

ANN have hardware requirements and resource consumption across their lifecycle.

Can reduce the number of physical experiments needed

Issues:

Expensive and exclusive to those who can afford it.

Practical restrictions reduce potential for widespread usage.

Tertiary Effects

Short term gains in using AI will have unintended consequences:

Increase in energy efficiency - Increased energy use

Automated vehicles - Increase vehicle use

Increased demand for AI - Increased demand for raw materials

Mutually Exclusive Demands:

ANN require a lot of energy and water to function - This is energy and water that could be used elsewhere.

Economic

Prediction

ANN has uses in:

Cost estimation

Predicting bankruptcy

Financial distress

Stock forecasting

Caveats :

Cost estimation: only with similar projects

Studies only focuses on specific company types

Time frame for many studies is limited

Statistical differences between industries require ANN to be tailored.

Long term analysis revealed that share return prediction was variable, episodic and unstable

Information asymmetry

Information asymmetry:

Sellers have more information than buyers and use this to their advantage.

Buyers deterred from paying higher prices

Less high-quality goods available

Market suffers

Financial reports can be manipulated; stakeholders unaware of true companies' financials.

ANN across multiple studies has shown success in financial distress prediction, credit risk detecting fraud and investigating financial sustainability impact.

ANN alone is insufficient to increase economic sustainability
Lack transparency in their decision-making process.

Inequality

Cloud connections to more powerful devices needed to use ANN.
Security and implementation complications

Implications:

Consumer-grade hardware cannot natively support ANN

Compound economic inequalities with inequality in ANN implementation

Conclusion

ANNs have the potential to help with the collation and analysis of data, decision-making and forecasting.

Bias and inaccuracy can be borne of training data and human/statistical limitations.

They require energy and water to operate, and the mitigation strategies for both are mutually exclusive.

Unintended consequences may arise, including increased resource consumption and said resources being expended as opposed to others in more dire need.

Regarding finance, ANNs are not practical for long-term forecasting of share returns, though they can be effective in very specific conditions provided the ANN is tailored to the industry.

References

AKERLOF, G. A. (1978). THE MARKET FOR “LEMONS”: QUALITY UNCERTAINTY AND THE MARKET MECHANISM**The author would especially like to thank Thomas Rothenberg for invaluable comments and inspiration. In addition he is indebted to Roy Radner, Albert Fishlow, Bernard Saffran, William D. Nordhaus, Giorgio La Malfa, Charles C. Holt, John Letiche, and the referee for help and suggestions. He would also like to thank the Indian Statistical Institute and the Ford Foundation for financial support. In *Uncertainty in Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-214850-7.50022-x>

Babikir, A., Mohammed, M., Mwambi, H., Babikir, A., Mohammed, M., & Mwambi, H. (2018). Dynamic Factor Model and Artificial Neural Network Models: To Combine Forecasts or Combine Models? *Advanced Applications for Artificial Neural Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/INTECHOPEN.71536>

Baklacioglu, T., Turan, O., & Aydin, H. (2018). Metaheuristic approach for an artificial neural network: Exergetic sustainability and environmental effect of a business aircraft. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 63, 445–465. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trd.2018.06.013>

Bâra, A., & Oprea, S. V. (2018). Electricity Consumption and Generation Forecasting with Artificial Neural Networks. In *Advanced Applications for Artificial Neural Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.71239>

Bouvier, M., Valentian, A., Mesquida, T., Rummens, F., Reyboz, M., Vianello, E., & Beigne, E. (2020). Spiking Neural Networks Hardware Implementations and Challenges: A Survey. *ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems*, 15(2), 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3304103>

Chudziak, A. (2023). Predictability of stock returns using neural networks: Elusive in the long term. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.119203>

Dube, F., Nzimande, N., & Muzindutsi, P. F. (2023). Application of artificial neural networks in predicting financial distress in the JSE financial services and manufacturing companies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Investment*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2021.2017257>

El-Shahat, A. (2018). Introductory Chapter: Artificial Neural Networks. In A. El-Shahat (Ed.), *Advanced Applications for Artificial Neural Networks* (p. Ch. 1). IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.73530>

Feinman, R., & Lake, B. M. (2018). Learning Inductive Biases with Simple Neural Networks. *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, CogSci 2018*, 1657–1662.

Filho, A. O. B., & Viegas, I. M. A. (2018). Applications of Artificial Neural Networks in Biofuels. In *Advanced Applications for Artificial Neural Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.70691>

Gavurova, B., Jencova, S., Bacik, R., Miskufova, M., & Letkovsky, S. (2022). Artificial intelligence in predicting the bankruptcy of non-financial corporations. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 13(4). <https://doi.org/10.24136/oc.2022.035>

Gruber, M., Trüschel, A., & Dalenbäck, J. O. (2014). CO2 sensors for occupancy estimations: Potential in building automation applications. *Energy and Buildings*, 84, 548–556. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENBUILD.2014.09.002>

Gwyn, T., & Roy, K. (2022). Examining Gender Bias of Convolutional Neural Networks via Facial Recognition. *Future Internet*, 14(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi14120375>

Hardesty, L. (2017, April 14). *Explained: Neural networks*. MIT News Office. <https://news.mit.edu/2017/explained-neural-networks-deep-learning-0414>

Jamli, M. R., & Farid, N. M. (2019). The sustainability of neural network applications within finite element analysis in sheet metal forming: A review. *Measurement*, 138, 446–460. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2019.02.034>

Jan, C. L. (2021). Financial information asymmetry: Using deep learning algorithms to predict financial distress. *Symmetry*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13030443>

Jan, C. L. (2021). Detection of financial statement fraud using deep learning for sustainable development of capital markets under information asymmetry. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179879>

Kim, D. H., López, G., Kiedanski, D., Maduako, I., Ríos, B., Descoins, A., Zurutuza, N., Arora, S., & Fabian, C. (2021). Bias in deep neural networks in land use characterization for international development. *Remote Sensing*, 13(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13152908>

Lacoste, A., Luccioni, A., Schmidt, V., & Dandres, T. (2019). *Quantifying the Carbon Emissions of Machine Learning*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.09700v2>

Li, P., Yang, J., Islam, M. A., & Ren, S. (2023). *Making AI Less “Thirsty”: Uncovering and Addressing the Secret Water Footprint of AI Models*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.03271v1>

Ligozat, A.-L., Lefèvre, J., Bugeau, A., & Combaz, J. (2021). Unraveling the Hidden Environmental Impacts of AI Solutions for Environment. *“Towards the Sustainability of AI; Multi-Disciplinary Approaches to Investigate the Hidden Costs of AI.”* <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.11822v2>

Lobeev, I. (2021). Bankruptcy Prediction for Innovative Companies. *Journal of Corporate Finance Research / Корпоративные Финансы | ISSN: 2073-0438*, 15(4). <https://doi.org/10.17323/j.jcfr.2073-0438.15.4.2021.36-55>

Lu, K., Mardziel, P., Wu, F., Amancharla, P., & Datta, A. (2020). Gender Bias in Neural Natural Language Processing. In *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics): Vol. 12300 LNCS*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-62077-6_14

References

- Neal, B., Mittal, S., Baratin, A., Tantia, V., Scicluna, M., Lacoste-Julien, S., & Mitliagkas, I. (2018). A Modern Take on the Bias-Variance Tradeoff in Neural Networks. *CoRR*, *abs/1810.08591*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.08591>
- Pontes-Filho, S., Olsen, K., Yazidi, A., Riegler, M. A., Halvorsen, P., & Nichele, S. (2022). Towards the Neuroevolution of Low-level artificial general intelligence. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, *9*, 299. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FROBT.2022.1007547/BIBTEX>
- Serna, I., Peña, A., Morales, A., & Fierrez, J. (2020). Insidebias: Measuring bias in deep networks and application to face gender biometrics. *Proceedings - International Conference on Pattern Recognition*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPR48806.2021.9412443>
- Smith, C. J., & Wong, A. T. C. (2022). Advancements in Artificial Intelligence-Based Decision Support Systems for Improving Construction Project Sustainability: A Systematic Literature Review. In *Informatics* (Vol. 9, Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics9020043>
- Stock, P., & Cisse, M. (2018). ConvNets and imagenet beyond accuracy: Understanding mistakes and uncovering biases. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, *11210 LNCS*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01231-1_31
- SustainML. (n.d.). *Carbontracker: Predicting the Deep Learning CO2 footprint*. Retrieved August 14, 2023, from <https://sustainml.eu/index.php/news/17-sustainml-carbontracker>
- Turchin, A. (2019). Assessing the future plausibility of catastrophically dangerous AI. *Futures*, *107*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2018.11.007>
- van Wynsberghe, A. (2021). Sustainable AI: AI for sustainability and the sustainability of AI. *AI and Ethics 2021 1:3*, *1*(3), 213–218. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S43681-021-00043-6>
- Vărzaru, A. A., Bocean, C. G., Mangra, M. G., & Mangra, G. I. (2022). Assessing the Effects of Innovative Management Accounting Tools on Performance and Sustainability. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14*(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14095585>
- Xu, Y. Z., Zhang, J. L., Hua, Y., & Wang, L. Y. (2019). Dynamic credit risk evaluation method for e-commerce sellers based on a hybrid artificial intelligence model. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *11*(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11195521>
- Yang, Z., Yu, Y., You, C., Steinhardt, J., & Ma, Y. (2020). Rethinking Bias-Variance Trade-off for Generalization of Neural Networks. In H. D. III & A. Singh (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning* (Vol. 119, pp. 10767–10777). PMLR. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/yang20j.html>